IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

)

JDS THERAPEUTICS, LLC and NUTRITION 21, LLC,
Plaintiffs,
V.
CVS Health Corporation and CVS Pharmacy, Inc.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:15-cv-04365 (JSR)(AJP)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs JDS Therapeutics, LLC and Nutrition 21, LLC (collectively "Plaintiffs") hereby assert claims of patent infringement against CVS Health Corporation and CVS Pharmacy, Inc. ("Defendants" or "CVS"), and allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff JDS Therapeutics, LLC ("JDS") is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware, having a principal place of business in Purchase, New York.

2. Plaintiff Nutrition 21, LLC ("Nutrition 21") is a limited liability company organized under the laws of New York, having a principal place of business in Purchase, New York. Nutrition 21 is a wholly-owned subsidiary of JDS.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant CVS Health Corporation ("CVS Health") is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware having a principal place of business in Woonsocket, Rhode Island.

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 2 of 34

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant CVS Pharmacy, Inc. ("CVS Pharmacy") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of CVS Health, and is a corporation organized under the laws of Rhode Island having a principal place of business in Woonsocket, Rhode Island.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.*

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction, general and specific, over Defendants because, among other things, Defendants are engaged in substantial and not isolated activity within this judicial district by regularly transacting business within this judicial district, regularly selling product within this judicial district, and deriving substantial revenues from sales in this judicial district. Also, Defendants have performed and continue to perform infringing activity, including selling the Accused Products, within this judicial district.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

8. Plaintiffs own numerous patents, including the patents asserted in this Complaint, which cover compositions and formulations that include chromium picolinate in combination with other ingredients, such as nicotinic acid, ascorbic acid, citric acid and/or biotin, and their equivalents, and uses for such compositions and formulations. Upon information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents, have received notice of and had knowledge of the patents asserted in this action.

9. This civil action for patent infringement arises out of the activities of Defendants, and/or employees, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents and/or predecessor entities of Defendants ("Defendants"), in making, having made for their benefit, using, distributing,

-2-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 3 of 34

offering to sell, promoting, marketing, selling and/or importing into the United States nutritional and dietary supplements that combine chromium picolinate in combination with other ingredients, such as nicotinic acid, niacinamide (amide of nicotinic acid), ascorbic acid, citric acid and/or biotin.

10. Defendants, upon information and belief, have had made or made, offered for sale, sold, used, promoted, marketed, imported and/or distributed private label multivitamin and dietary supplements, including, for example, CVS private label multivitamins under the Spectravite brand name, which use chromium picolinate from suppliers other than Plaintiffs or their predecessors, in combination with other ingredients such as biotin, ascorbic acid, citric acid, niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid, or their equivalents, in violation of the asserted patents. Defendants, and/or their agents, upon information and belief, have knowledge of the asserted patents, and have undertaken the manufacture, marketing, promotion, offer for sale, sale and distribution of CVS private label vitamins and dietary supplements, including Spectravite multivitamins, with knowledge of their infringement, but without a license from Plaintiffs to use Plaintiffs' patented technology in Defendants' private label multivitamin and dietary supplement product lines, including Spectravite multivitamins. Defendants' making, having had made, using, distributing, offering for sale, selling, marketing, promoting and/or importing of Defendants' private label multivitamin and dietary supplements, including Spectravite multivitamins, purposefully and willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the asserted patents, directly and/or contributorily, and/or willfully induced others and continues to willfully induce others to infringe the asserted patents.

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

11. Plaintiffs and Nutrition 21, Inc. ("N21") are, *inter alia*, developers, marketers, sellers, and suppliers of efficacious, clinically substantiated ingredients to the nutritional and

-3-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 4 of 34

dietary supplement industry. Plaintiffs' market segments include glucose metabolism and insulin resistance, appetite control and weight management. In particular, Plaintiffs and N21 researched, marketed, sold and sell a highly refined dietary form of chromium picolinate, under the brand Chromax®, to leading nutritional and dietary supplement manufacturers. Plaintiffs are small entities (as was N21) having intellectual property as one of their primary assets.

12. Plaintiffs and N21 conceived and developed their intellectual property at much expense and effort, and have developed and maintained an extensive patent portfolio to cover its research, development and marketing of formulations comprising chromium picolinate in combination with other ingredients and compounds, such as, for example, biotin, ascorbic or citric acid, and/or nicotinic acid, and their equivalents, and uses for such formulations.

13. For example, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,948,772 ("the '772 patent"), entitled "Chromium Picolinate Compositions and Uses Thereof" on September 7, 1999, to AMBI Inc., later known as N21. A true and correct copy of the '772 patent is attached as Exhibit A. JDS received by assignment all rights, title, and interest in and to the '772 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. By further agreement, Nutrition 21 jointly owns the '772 patent with JDS as co-owners, whereby Nutrition 21 and JDS each have an equal and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and interest in and to the '772 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements.

14. Also, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,136,317("the '317 patent"), entitled "Chromium Picolinate Compositions" on October 24, 2000, toAMBI Inc., later known as N21. A true and correct copy of the '317 patent is attached as Exhibit

-4-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 5 of 34

B. JDS received by assignment all rights, title, and interest in and to the '317 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. By further agreement, Nutrition 21 jointly owns the '317 patent with JDS as co-owners, whereby Nutrition 21 and JDS each have an equal and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and interest in and to the '317 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. The '317 patent is related to and claims priority to the '772 patent.

15. Additionally, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,251,889 ("the '889 patent"), entitled "Chromium Picolinate Compositions" on June 26, 2001, to AMBI Inc., later known as N21. A true and correct copy of the '889 patent is attached as Exhibit C. JDS received by assignment all rights, title, and interest in and to the '889 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. By further agreement, Nutrition 21 jointly owns the '889 patent with JDS as co-owners, whereby Nutrition 21 and JDS each have an equal and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and interest in and to the '889 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. The '889 patent is related to and claims priority to the '772 patent.

16. And, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,143,301 ("the '301 patent"), entitled "Chromium Picolinate Compositions and Uses Thereof" on November 7, 2000, to AMBI Inc., later known as N21. A true and correct copy of the '301 patent is attached as Exhibit D. JDS received by assignment all rights, title, and interest in and to the '301 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. By further agreement, Nutrition 21 jointly owns the '301 patent with JDS as co-owners, whereby Nutrition 21 and JDS each have an equal and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and

-5-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 6 of 34

interest in and to the '301 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. The '301 patent is related to and claims priority to the '772 patent.

17. Still further, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Reissue Patent No. 39,480 ("the Re '480 patent"), entitled "Chromium/Biotin Treatment of Type II Diabetes" on January 23, 2007, to N21. A true and correct copy of the Re '480 patent is attached as Exhibit E. The Re '480 patent is a reissue of United States Patent No. 5,929,066 ("the '066 patent"), the scope of claims 1-10 of the Re '480 patent being substantially identical, if not identical, to the scope of claims 1-10 of the '066 patent. JDS received by assignment all rights, title, and interest in and to the Re '480 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements. By further agreement, Nutrition 21 jointly owns the Re '480 patent with JDS as co-owners, whereby Nutrition 21 and JDS each have an equal and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and interest in and to the Re '480 patent, including the right in and to the Re '480 patent, including the right is and to the Re '480 patent, including the right of the Re '480 patent and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and interest in and to the Re '480 patent, including the right in and to the Re '480 patent, including the right is a reise of the Re '480 patent, including the right is a reise of the Re '480 patent, including the right is a reise of the Re '480 patent with JDS as co-owners, whereby Nutrition 21 and JDS each have an equal and undivided one-half interest in all rights, title, and interest in and to the Re '480 patent, including the right to enforce and collect damages for all past, present and future infringements.

18. The '772 patent, '317 patent, '889 patent, '301 patent, and Re '480 patent (collectively referred to as "the Patents-in-Suit") relate to compositions comprising chromium picolinate in combination with other compounds, such as, for example, nicotinic acid, ascorbic acid, citric acid and/or biotin, and their equivalents, and methods for their use.

19. The Patents-in-Suit are among approximately 20 patents and pending patent applications owned by Plaintiffs that concern compositions containing chromium and uses thereof.

BACKGROUND

20. As an ingredient supplier, N21 sold Chromax® chromium picolinate to numerous customers who, in many circumstances, upon information and belief, incorporated Chromax®

-6-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 7 of 34

chromium picolinate into multiple product lines and SKUs, and even resold Chromax® chromium picolinate and/or compositions that contain Chromax® chromium picolinate with other ingredients to their customers as contract manufacturers. Many of N21's customers incorporated Chromax® chromium picolinate into dietary and nutritional supplements including multivitamins. Prior to 2009, and from 2009 through November 2011, N21 sold Chromax® chromium picolinate by weight and its price was dependent upon the volume (weight amount) of Chromax® chromium picolinate purchased by a customer.

21. To support its sales, N21 sold its Chromax® chromium picolinate according to a business policy and practice that granted its customers a limited license under the Patents-in-Suit to use Chromax® chromium picolinate in their products and for uses covered by those patents. The fee for the limited license was bundled on an unallocated basis with the price that N21 charges to its customers for the Chromax® chromium picolinate product that N21 sold to them. When a customer purchased Chromax® chromium picolinate from N21 it received this limited license to use and practice the Patents-in-Suit, but only for the specific Chromax® chromium picolinate purchased from N21. Chromium picolinate purchased from other suppliers was not subject to this limited license.

22. N21 promoted Chromax® chromium picolinate and its patent portfolio to the nutritional and dietary supplement industry. N21 worked with and educated one of its customers, Wyeth, Inc. (and its successors), the developer, maker, marketer, promoter and seller of Centrum® multivitamins, on the improved benefits of chromium picolinate, and compositions covered by its chromium picolinate patent portfolio; and as a result, the formulation for Centrum® multivitamins was improved to include, chromium picolinate in combination with, *inter alia*, biotin, niacinamide and ascorbic acid in or about May 2007. The change to chromium

-7-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 8 of 34

picolinate in combination with biotin, niacinamide and ascorbic acid was and is an important ingredient combination in Centrum® multivitamins.

23. On or about November 22, 2011, N21 Acquisition Holding, LLC purchased certain assets and the Patents-in-Suit from N21, and further retained and employed key employees of N21. N21 Acquisition Holding, LLC later renamed itself JDS. By further agreement, Nutrition 21 jointly owns the Patents-in-Suit with JDS.

24. Plaintiffs have continued the practice of selling Chromax® chromium picolinate by weight with its price dependent upon the volume (weight amount) of Chromax® chromium picolinate purchased by a customer. As an ingredient supplier, Nutrition 21 sells and has sold Chromax® chromium picolinate to numerous customers who, in many circumstances, upon information and belief, incorporate Chromax® chromium picolinate into multiple product lines and SKUs, and even resell Chromax® chromium picolinate and/or compositions that contain Chromax® chromium picolinate with other ingredients to their customers as contract manufacturers.

25. To support its sales, Nutrition 21 has sold and continues to sell its Chromax® chromium picolinate according to a business policy and practice that grants its customers a limited license under Nutrition 21's patents to use Chromax® chromium picolinate in their products and for uses covered by the Patents-in-Suit. The fee for the limited license continues to be bundled on an unallocated basis with the price that Nutrition 21 charges to its customers for the Chromax® chromium picolinate product that Nutrition 21 sells to them. When a customer purchases Chromax® chromium picolinate from Nutrition 21 it receives a limited license to use and practice the Patents-in-Suit, but only for the specific Chromax® chromium picolinate

-8-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 9 of 34

purchased from Nutrition 21. Chromium picolinate purchased from other suppliers is not and was not subject to this limited license.

26. Defendants comprise a multi-billion dollar promoter and retail seller of brand name goods and private label goods, including dietary and nutritional supplements. Defendants have retail stores throughout the United States including in this district where they market, offer to sell, sell and distribute, among other products and services, nutritional supplements, dietary supplements and/or multivitamins. On information and belief, Defendants make or have made at their request and according to their specifications, private label products which are a large part of Defendants' business in the United States. Upon information and belief, Defendants have made or have had made for their benefit or contracted for the manufacture of private label products, including private label multivitamins, including under the brand name Spectravite®, a multivitamin that copies the ingredients and dosages of the active ingredients used in Centrum® multivitamins.

27. Over a period of years, Defendants, on information and belief, made, or had made on their request, for their benefit and according to their specification, and/or contracted for the manufacture of, CVS private label multivitamins, including Spectravite multivitamins that copy the active ingredients and dosages of several of Centrum® multivitamins. A number of these CVS private label multivitamins, including the Spectravite multivitamins, include chromium picolinate in combination with other ingredients such as biotin, ascorbic acid, citric acid, niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid, or their equivalents. Defendants advertise and promote that several of their Spectravite line of multivitamin products are equivalent to and comparable to the Centrum® line of products, relying on advertising, promotional materials, their website, product packaging and labels, and store positioning to promote the equivalence of Spectravite

-9-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 10 of 34

multivitamins to Centrum® multivitamins. For example, a prominent label on Defendants' Spectravite Adult 50+ products states "Compare to Centrum® Silver." Other Spectravite multivitamins and labels use similar language directing potential Spectravite multivitamin customers to compare to other Centrum® multivitamins.

28. Upon information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents or manufacturer, do not purchase or use Chromax® chromium picolinate, but rather instead purchased and continue to purchase chromium picolinate from a supplier other than Plaintiffs, for use in their CVS private label multivitamins, including their Spectravite multivitamins. Defendants or Defendants' manufacturer purchased and used in CVS private label multivitamins, including their Spectravite multivitamins, chromic tripicolinate, referred to on the product label as chromium picolinate. In addition, a number of Defendants' private label multivitamins, including their Spectravite multivitamins, contain nicotinic acid, niacinamide, ascorbic acid, citric acid and/or biotin, or their equivalents, in combination with the chromium picolinate received from other suppliers and without license from Plaintiffs or N21. Defendants' making, having made for them, or contracting for its manufacture, offering for sale, selling, distributing, promoting, marketing, and/or importing CVS private label multivitamins, including the Spectravite multivitamins, without a license from Plaintiffs to use Plaintiffs' patented technology, purposefully and willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the Patentsin-Suit, directly and/or contributorily; and/or willfully induced others and continues to willfully induce others to infringe the Patents-in-Suit, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.

29. As a result of previous litigation, on or about March 8, 2014, Pfizer, Inc. settled a patent infringement action with Plaintiffs on its Centrum® multivitamin product line wherein Pfizer acknowledged the validity of and took a license under the Patents-in-Suit ("Pfizer

-10-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 11 of 34

License"). The Pfizer License covering the use of chromium picolinate ingredient combinations in the Centrum® multivitamin product line was well publicized in the nutritional supplement market, including being reported in the Tan Sheet and Engredea, well known nutritional and dietary supplement trade publications. On March 11, 2014, Nutrition 21 sent a copy of a press release that announced the settlement and the license Pfizer received for Centrum® multivitamins under the Patents-in-Suit to known entities in the nutritional and dietary supplement industry.

30. On or about April 15, 2014, Church & Dwight entered into a multi-year license with Nutrition 21 under the Patents-in-Suit for the use of chromium picolinate ingredient combinations in Church & Dwight's dietary supplements including Vitafusion and L'il Critters nutritional supplements (the "Church & Dwight License"). The Church & Dwight License agreement was well publicized in the nutritional supplements market, including being reported in Engredea and Natural Products Insider, well known nutritional and dietary supplement trade publications. On April 22, 2014, Nutrition 21 sent a copy of a press release that announced the license Church & Dwight received for their Vitafusion and L'il Critters nutritional supplements under the Patents-in-Suit to known entities in the nutritional and dietary supplement industry.

31. On or about February 24, 2015, Schwabe North America and Nature's Way paid Plaintiffs for a multi-year license ("Nature's Way License") under the Patents-in-Suit for the use of chromium picolinate ingredient combinations in its Alive® multivitamins. Schwabe North America and Nature's Way also acknowledged the validity of the Patents-in-Suit. On or about March 3, 2015, Nutrition 21 sent a copy of a press release that announced the license that Schwabe North America and Nature's Way received to the Patents-in-Suit for their Alive® multivitamin and nutritional supplements to known entities in the nutritional and dietary

-11-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 12 of 34

supplement industry, including many contract manufacturers, who, upon information and belief, make Spectravite Products for the Defendants. The Nature's Way License was well reported in the nutritional supplements market, including a March 4, 2015 article in Nutra-Ingredients-USA.com, a well-known nutritional and dietary supplement trade publication, that referenced the "Pfizer License" for Centrum® multivitamins under the Patents-in-Suit.

32. Upon information and belief, and based upon the well-publicized nature of the Pfizer License wherein Pfizer took a license for Centrum® multivitamins under the Patents-in-Suit, Defendants and/or their agents knew of or were willfully blind as to the existence of the Patents-in-Suit.

33. As described in more detail below, Defendants engaged in behavior purposely designed and orchestrated to violate Plaintiffs' patent rights. In so doing, Defendants willfully and intentionally violated and infringed the patent rights owned by Plaintiffs. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs have been severely damaged by Defendants' willful and deliberate infringement of the Patents-in-Suit as described in more detail below.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '772 PATENT

34. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

35. Defendants among other things, make, have made for them, use, offer for sale, sell, market, promote, distribute and/or import within the United States, now and in the past, multivitamins and dietary supplements, such as, for example, products that contain chromium picolinate in combination with niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid. Exemplary products made and/or sold by Defendants that include chromium picolinate in combination with nicotinic acid and/or niacinamide include a number of CVS private label multivitamins, including Spectravite multivitamins, including, but not limited to, Spectravite Adult Multivitamin, Spectravite Ultra

-12-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 13 of 34

Women Multivitamin, Spectravite Ultra Men Multivitamin, Spectravite Adult 50+ Multivitamin, Spectravite Ultra Men 50+ Multivitamin, Spectravite Ultra Women 50+ Multivitamin (hereinafter collectively the "Spectravite Products"), CVS Women's Multivitamin/Multimineral, CVS Men's Multivitamin/Multimineral and CVS Diabetes Health Support supplements (collectively the "CVS Private Label Products"). Discovery may uncover other products made, made for them, used, offered for sale, sold, marketed, promoted, imported, and/or distributed by the Defendants, now and in the past, that include chromium picolinate in combination with nicotinic acid, or its equivalent, that may infringe the '772 patent. In addition, Defendants among other things, have created, distributed and continue to create and distribute suggested instructions for use, labels and marketing materials for such CVS Private Label Products, and have promoted the sale of and sold, now and in the past, and continue to promote the sale of and sell such CVS Private Label Products to end users and customers.

36. On information and belief, Defendants directly or indirectly through the actions of other entities, have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '772 patent directly, indirectly (by inducing or contributing to the infringement by others), jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, marketing, distributing, selling, offering for sale, promoting, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products that embody, or include instructions and product labels encouraging the practice of, at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent.

37. On information and belief, Defendants have, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers have, infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '772 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for

-13-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 14 of 34

them, using, distributing, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, which contain chromium picolinate in combination with niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid, or its equivalent, that embody at least claim 1 of the '772 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendants have contract manufacturers and/or other agents make for them, and according to specifications known to and specified by them, the CVS Private Label Products, including the Spectravite Products that are sold as equivalent to and intended to match the active ingredients and dosage amounts in Centrum® brand multivitamins.

38. On information and belief, end users and consumers take CVS Private Label Products according to their suggested instructions of orally ingesting them. End users and consumers have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents by using the CVS Private Label Products in the United States in a manner that practices at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent.

39. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, had and continue to have actual and constructive knowledge of and are aware of or willfully blind to the Patents-in-Suit, including the '772 patent. Plaintiffs have notified the industry of the Patents-in-Suit and its settlement of a patent infringement action with, and license of the '772 patent to, Pfizer for its Centrum® multivitamins, the same Centrum® multivitamins that the Defendants have copied with their Spectravite Products and that the Defendants direct consumers to compare with their Spectravite Products.

40. With knowledge of the '772 patent and the specific intent to encourage, promote, instruct, contribute to and induce the infringement of the '772 patent, Defendants have infringed,

-14-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 15 of 34

now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent, indirectly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents, by, among other things, encouraging, promoting, instructing, teaching, contributing to and inducing end users and customers to infringe at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent by, among other things, (1) making, having made for them, using, offering for sale, selling, distributing, marketing and/or importing within the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and (2) creating and distributing suggested instructions for use, labels and promotional advertising materials that Defendants knew, and continue to know, encourages, promotes, instructs, teaches, contributes to and induces use of the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, in a manner that Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent. For example, Defendants, with knowledge of the '772 patent, make, have made for them, distribute, market, promote, offer for sale, sell and/or import into the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and created, provided, and continue to create and provide, instructions of use for consumers of their CVS Private Label Products, and otherwise promote and market such products, now and in the past, such that customers and end users orally take and use the CVS Private Label Products according to Defendants' instructions and in a manner that Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent.

41. On information and belief, Defendants' nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, are specifically made to be taken orally, are a material part of the method of at least one of claims 8 and 15 of the '772 patent, and are not staple articles nor commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

-15-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 16 of 34

42. At the very least, Defendants were, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers were, willfully blind as to the existence of the '772 patent, and/or willfully blinded themselves to end users' direct infringement of the '772 patent as a result of end users' use of the CVS Private Label Products in a manner that follows the suggested use of the CVS Private Label Products on the product label, whereby the Defendants' instructions and promotional activities encourage, promote, instruct, and teach methods and uses, now and in the past, that meet at least the limitations of at least one of claims 1, 8 and 15 of the '772 patent.

43. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, knew of the '772 patent, ignored and/or disregarded that their actions constituted infringement of a valid patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of the '772 patent, and therefore Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, wanton, deliberate and without license, thereby rendering this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

44. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiffs for which Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

45. Unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined, Defendants have and will continue to infringe the '772 patent to Plaintiffs' substantial and irreparable harm.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '317 PATENT

46. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

47. Defendants, among other things, make, have made for them, use, offer for sale, sell, market, promote, distribute and/or import within the United States, now and in the past, multivitamins and dietary supplements, such as, for example, products that contain chromium picolinate in combination with niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid. Exemplary products made

-16-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 17 of 34

and/or sold by Defendants that include chromium picolinate in combination with nicotinic acid and/or niacinamide include, but are not necessarily limited to, the CVS Private Label Products. Discovery may uncover other products made, made for them, used, offered for sale, sold, distributed, marketed, promoted, and/or imported by the Defendants, now and in the past, that include chromium picolinate in combination with nicotinic acid, or its equivalent, that may infringe the '317 patent. In addition, Defendants among other things, have created, distributed and continue to create and distribute suggested instructions for use, labels and marketing material for such CVS Private Label Products, and have promoted the sale of and sold, now and in the past, and continue to promote the sale of and sell such CVS Private Label Products to end users and customers.

48. On information and belief, Defendants directly or indirectly through the actions of other entities, have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '317 patent directly, indirectly (by inducing or contributing to the infringement by others), jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, marketing, distributing, selling, offering for sale, promoting, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products that embody, or include instructions and product labels encouraging the practice of, at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent.

49. On information and belief, Defendants have, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers have, infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '317 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, distributing, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the

-17-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 18 of 34

CVS Private Label Products, which contain chromium picolinate in combination with niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid, or its equivalent, that embody at least claim 12 of the '317 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendants have contract manufacturers and/or other agents make for them, and according to specifications known to and specified by them, the CVS Private Label Products, including the Spectravite Products that are sold as equivalent to and which are intended to match the active ingredients and dosage amounts in Centrum® brand multivitamins.

50. On information and belief, end users and consumers take the CVS Private Label Products according to their suggested instructions of orally ingesting them. End users and consumers have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents by using the CVS Private Label Products in the United States in a manner that practices at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent.

51. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, had and continue to have actual and constructive knowledge of and are aware of or willfully blind to the Patents-in-Suit, including the '317 patent. Plaintiffs have notified the industry of the Patents-in-Suit and its settlement of a patent infringement action with, and license of the '317 patent to, Pfizer for its Centrum® multivitamins on which the Spectravite Products are based and on which the Defendants direct consumers to compare with their Spectravite Products.

52. With knowledge of the '317 patent and the specific intent to encourage, promote, instruct, contribute to and induce the infringement of the '317 patent, Defendants have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317

-18-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 19 of 34

patent, indirectly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents, by, among other things, encouraging, promoting, instructing, teaching, contributing to and inducing end users and customers to infringe at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent by, among other things, (1) making, having made for them, using, offering for sale, selling, distributing, marketing and/or importing within the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and (2) creating and distributing suggested instructions for use, labels and promotional advertising materials that Defendants knew, and continue to know, encourages, promotes, instructs, teaches, contributes to and induces use of the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, in a manner that Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent. For example, Defendants with knowledge of the '317 patent, make, have made for them, distribute, market, promote, offer for sale, sell and/or import into the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and created, provided, and continue to create and provide instructions of use for consumers of its CVS Private Label Products, and otherwise promote and market such products, now and in the past, such that customers and end users orally take and use the CVS Private Label Products according to Defendants' instructions and in a manner that Defendants know, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent.

53. On information and belief, Defendants' nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, are specifically made to be taken orally, are a material part of the method of at least one of claims 33 and 49 of the '317 patent and are not staple articles nor commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

-19-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 20 of 34

54. At the very least, Defendants were, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers were, willfully blind as to the existence of the '317 patent, and/or willfully blinded themselves to end users' direct infringement of the '317 patent as a result of end users' use of the CVS Private Label Products in a manner that follows the suggested use of the CVS Private Label Products on the product labels, whereby the Defendants' instructions and promotional activities encourage, promote, instruct, and teach methods and uses, now and in the past, that meet at least the limitations of at least one of claims 12, 33 and 49 of the '317 patent.

55. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, knew of the '317 patent, ignored and/or disregarded that their actions constituted infringement of a valid patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of the '317 patent, and therefore Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, wanton, deliberate and without license, thereby rendering this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

56. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiffs for which Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

57. Unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined, Defendants have and will continue to infringe the '317 patent to Plaintiffs' substantial and irreparable harm.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '889 PATENT

58. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

59. Defendants, among other things, make, have made for them, use, offer for sale, sell, market, promote, distribute and/or import within the United States, now and in the past, multivitamins and dietary supplements, such as, for example, products that contain chromium picolinate in combination with niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid. Exemplary products made

-20-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 21 of 34

and/or sold by Defendants that include chromium picolinate in combination with nicotinic acid and/or niacinamide include, but are not necessarily limited to, the CVS Private Label Products. Discovery may uncover other products made, made for it, used, offered for sale, sold, distributed, marketed, promoted and/or imported by the Defendants, now and in the past, that include chromium picolinate in combination with nicotinic acid, or its equivalent, that may infringe the '889 patent. In addition, Defendants, among other things, have created, distributed and continue to create and distribute suggested instructions for use, labels and marketing materials for such CVS Private Label Products, and have promoted the sale of and sold, now and in the past, and continue to promote the sale of and sell such CVS Private Label Products to end users and customers.

60. On information and belief, Defendants directly or indirectly through the actions of other entities, have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '889 patent directly, indirectly (by inducing or contributing to the infringement by others), jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, marketing, distributing, selling, offering for sale, promoting, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products that embody, or include instructions and product labels encouraging the practice of, at least claim 9 of the '889 patent.

61. On information and belief, Defendants have, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers have, infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least claim 9 of the '889 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, distributing, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or

-21-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 22 of 34

multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products that contain chromium picolinate in combination with niacinamide and/or nicotinic acid, or its equivalents, that embody at least claim 9 of the '889 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendants have contract manufactures and/or other agents make for them, and according to specifications known to and specified by them, the CVS Private Label Products, including the Spectravite Products that are sold as equivalent to the active ingredients and dosage amounts in the Centrum® brand multivitamins.

62. On information and belief, end users and consumers take the CVS Private Label Products according to their suggested instructions of orally ingesting them. End users and consumers have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least claim 9 of the '889 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents by using the CVS Private Label Products in the United States in a manner that practices at least claim 9 of the '889 patent.

63. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, had and continue to have actual and constructive knowledge of and are aware of or willfully blind to the Patents-in-Suit, including the '889 patent. Plaintiffs have notified the industry of the Patents-in-Suit and its settlement of a patent infringement action with, and license of the '889 patent to, Pfizer for its Centrum® multivitamins on which the Spectravite Products are based and on which the Defendants direct consumers to compare with their Spectravite Products.

64. With knowledge of the '889 patent and the specific intent to encourage, promote, instruct, contribute to and induce the infringement of the '889 patent, Defendants have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least claim 9 of the '889 patent, indirectly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents, by, among other things, encouraging, promoting,

-22-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 23 of 34

instructing, teaching, contributing to and inducing end users and customers to infringe at least claim 9 of the '889 patent by, among other things, (1) making, having made for them, using, offering for sale, selling, distributing, marketing and/or importing within the United States, now and in the past, CVS Private Label Products, and (2) creating and distributing suggested instructions for use, labels and promotional advertising materials that Defendants knew and continue to know, encourages, promotes, instructs, teaches, contributes to and induces use of the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, in a manner that Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices the method of at least claim 9 of the '889 patent. For example, Defendants, with knowledge of the '317 patent, make, have made for them, distribute, market, promote, offer for sale, sell and/or import into the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and created, provided, and continue to create and provide, instructions of use for consumers of their CVS Private Label Products, and otherwise promote and market such products, now and in the past, such that customers and end users orally take and use the CVS Private Label Products according to Defendants' instructions and in a manner that the Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least the method of claim 9 of the '889 patent.

65. On information and belief, Defendants' nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, are specifically made to be taken orally, are a material part of the method of at least claim 9 of the '889 patent, and are not staple articles nor commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

66. At the very least, Defendants were, and/or its agents and contract manufacturers were, willfully blind as to the existence of the '889 patent, and/or willfully blinded themselves to

-23-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 24 of 34

end users' direct infringement of the '889 patent as a result of end users' use of the CVS Private Label Products in a manner that follows the suggested use of the CVS Private Label Products on the product labels, whereby the Defendants' instructions and promotional activities encourage, promote, instruct, and teach methods and uses, now and in the past, that meet at least the limitations of claim 9 of the '889 patent.

67. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, knew of the '889 patent, ignored and/or disregarded that its actions constituted infringement of a valid patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of the '889 patent, and therefore Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, wanton, deliberate and without license, thereby rendering this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

68. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiffs for which Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

69. Unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined, Defendants have been and will continue to infringe the '889 patent to Plaintiffs' substantial and irreparable harm.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '301 PATENT

70. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

71. Defendants, among other things, make, have made for them, use, offer for sale, sell, market, promote, distribute and/or import within the United States, now and in the past, multivitamins and dietary supplements, such as, for example, products that contain chromium picolinate in combination with ascorbic acid or citric acid. Exemplary Products made and/or sold by Defendant that include chromium picolinate in combination with ascorbic acid or citric acid, or their equivalents, include, but are not necessarily limited to, the CVS Private Label

-24-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 25 of 34

Products. Discovery may uncover other products made, made for them, used, offered for sale, sold, distributed, marketed, promoted and/or imported by the Defendants, now and in the past, that include chromium picolinate in combination with ascorbic acid and/or citric acid, or their equivalents, that may infringe the '301 patent. In addition, Defendants, among other things, have created, distributed and continue to create and distribute suggested instructions for use, labels and marketing materials for such CVS Private Label Products, and have promoted the sale of and sold, now and in the past, and continue to promote the sale of and sell such CVS Private Label Products to end users and customers.

72. On information and belief, Defendants directly or indirectly through the actions of other entities, have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '301 patent directly, indirectly (by inducing or contributing to the infringement by others), jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, marketing, selling, distributing, offering for sale, promoting, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, that embody, or include instructions and product labels encouraging the practice of, at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent.

73. On information and belief, Defendants have, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers have, infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the '301 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or equivalents, by, among other things, making, having made for them, distributing, marketing, using, promoting, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, which contain chromium picolinate in combination with ascorbic acid or citric acid, or their equivalents, that embody at least claim 21

-25-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 26 of 34

of the '301 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendants have contract manufacturers and/or other agents make for them, and according to specifications known to and specified by them, the CVS Private Label Products, including the Spectravite Products that are sold as equivalent to and which are intended to match the active ingredients and dosage amounts in Centrum® brand multivitamins.

74. On information and belief, end users and consumers take the CVS Private Label Products according to their suggested instructions of orally ingesting them. End users and consumers have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents by using the CVS Private Label Products in the United States in a manner that practices at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent.

75. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, had and continue to have actual and constructive knowledge of and are aware of or willfully blind to the Patents-in-Suit, including the '301 patent. Plaintiffs have notified the industry of the Patents-in-Suit and its settlement of a patent infringement action with, and license of the '301 patent to, Pfizer for its Centrum® multivitamins on which the Spectravite Products are based and on which the Defendants direct consumers to compare with its Spectravite Products.

76. With knowledge of the '301 patent and the specific intent to encourage, promote, instruct, contribute to and induce the infringement of the '301 patent, Defendants have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent, indirectly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents, by, among other things, encouraging, promoting, instructing, teaching, contributing to and inducing end users and customers to

-26-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 27 of 34

infringe at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent by, among other things, (1) making, having made for them, using, offering for sale, selling, distributing, marketing and/or importing within the United States, now or in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and (2) creating and distributing suggested instructions for use, labels and promotional advertising materials that Defendants know and continue to know, encourages, promotes, instructs, teaches, contributes to and induces use of the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, in a manner that Defendants know, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent. For example, Defendants, with knowledge of the '301 patent, make, have made for them, distribute, market, promote, offer for sale, sell and/or import into the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Products, and created, provided, and continues to create and provide, instructions of use for consumers of their CVS Private Label Products, and otherwise promote and market such products, now and in the past, such that customers and end users orally take and use the CVS Private Label Products according to Defendants' instructions and in a manner that the Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent.

77. On information and belief, Defendants' nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Products, now and in the past, are specifically made to be taken orally, are a material part of the method of at least one of claims 27 and 33 of the '301 patent, and are not staple articles nor commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

78. At the very least, Defendants were, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers were, willfully blind as to the existence of the '301 patent, and/or willfully blinded itself to end users' direct infringement of the '301 patent as a result of end users' use of the CVS Private

-27-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 28 of 34

Label Products in a manner that follows the suggested use of the CVS Private Label Products, on the product labels, whereby the Defendants' instructions and promotional activities encourage, promote, instruct, and teach methods and uses, now and in the past, that meet at least the limitations of at least one of claims 21, 27 and 33 of the '301 patent.

79. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, knew of the '301 patent, ignored and/or disregarded that their actions constituted infringement of a valid patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of the '301 patent, and therefore Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, wanton, deliberate and without license, thereby rendering this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

80. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiffs for which Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

81. Unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined, Defendants' have and will continue to infringe the '301 patent to Plaintiffs' substantial and irreparable harm.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF THE Re '480 PATENT

82. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

83. Defendants, among other things, make, have made for them, use, offer for sale, sell, market, promote, distribute and/or import within the United States, now and in the past, multivitamins and dietary supplements, such as, for example, products that contain chromium picolinate in combination with Biotin. Exemplary products made and/or sold by Defendants that include chromium picolinate in combination with Biotin, or its equivalent, include, but are necessarily limited to, Spectravite Ultra Men's multivitamin, Spectravite Adult 50+ multivitamin, Spectravite Ultra Men's 50+ multivitamin, Spectravite Ultra Women's 50+

-28-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 29 of 34

multivitamin (collectively the "Biotin Spectravite Products"), and CVS Diabetes Support Supplements (collectively the "CVS Private Label Biotin Products"). Discovery may uncover other products made, made for it, used, offered for sale, sold, distributed, marketed, promoted, and/or imported by the Defendants, now and in the past, that include chromium picolinate in combination with Biotin, or its equivalents, that may infringe the '480 patent. In addition, Defendants, among other things, have created and distributed, and continue to create and distribute suggested instructions for use, labels and marketing materials for such CVS Private Label Biotin Products, and have promoted the sale of and sold, now and in the past, and continue to promote the sale of and sell such CVS Private Label Biotin Products to end users and customers.

84. On information and belief, Defendants, directly or indirectly through the actions of other entities, have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the Re '480 patent directly, indirectly (by inducing or contributing to the infringement by others), jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for it, using, marketing, distributing, selling, offering for sale, promoting, and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including, but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, that embody, and include instructions and product labels encouraging the practice of, at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent.

85. On information and belief, Defendants have, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers have, infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe the Re '480 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or equivalently, by, among other things, making, having made for them, using, selling, distributing, marketing, promoting, offering for sale and/or importing into the United States, now and in the past, nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including,

-29-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 30 of 34

but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, which contain chromium picolinate in combination with Biotin, or its equivalent, that embody at least claim 10 of the Re '480 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendants have contract manufacturers and/or other agents make for them, and according to specifications known to and specified by them, the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, including the Biotin Spectravite Products that are sold to users as equivalent to and which are intended to match the active ingredients and dosage amounts in Centrum® brand multivitamins.

86. Upon information and belief, end users and consumers take the CVS Private Label Biotin Products according to their suggested instructions of orally ingesting them. End users and customers have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent directly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents by, among other things, orally taking and using the CVS Private Label Biotin Products in a manner that practices at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent.

87. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, had and continue to have actual and constructive knowledge of and are aware of or willfully blind to the Patents-in-Suit, including the Re '480 patent. Plaintiffs have notified the industry of the Patents-in-Suit and its settlement of a patent infringement action with, and license of the Re '480 patent to, Pfizer for its Centrum® multivitamins on which the Spectravite Products are based and on which the Defendants direct consumers to compare to its Spectravite Products.

88. With knowledge of the Re '480 patent and the specific intent to encourage, promote, instruct, contribute to and induce the infringement of the Re '480 patent, Defendants have infringed, now and in the past, and continue to infringe at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11

-30-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 31 of 34

of the Re '480 patent, indirectly, jointly, literally and/or by equivalents, by, among other things, encouraging, promoting, instructing, teaching, contributing to and inducing end users and customers to infringe at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent by, among other things, (1) making, having made for them, using, offering for sale, selling, distributing, marketing and/or importing within the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, and (2) creating and distributing suggested instructions for use, labels and promotional advertising materials that Defendants knew and continue to know, encourages, promotes, instructs, teaches, contributes to and induces use of the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, now and in the past, in a manner that Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent. For example, Defendants with knowledge of Re '480 patent, make, have made for them, distribute, market, promote, offer for sale, sell and/or import into the United States, now and in the past, the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, and created, provided, and continue to create and provide, instructions for use to consumers for their CVS Private Label Biotin Products, and otherwise promote and market such products, now and in the past, such that customers and end users orally take and use these CVS Private Label Biotin Products according to Defendants' instructions in a manner that the Defendants knew, and continue to know, practices at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent.

89. On information and belief, Defendants' nutritional supplements and/or multivitamins, including but not limited to, the CVS Private Label Biotin Products, now and in the past, are specifically made to be taken orally, are a material part of at least one of claims 1 and 11 of the Re '480 patent, and are not staple articles nor commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

-31-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 32 of 34

90. At the very least, Defendants were, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers were, willfully blind as to the existence of the Re '480 patent, and/or willfully blinded themselves to end users' direct infringement of the Re '480 patent as a result of the end users' use of the CVS Private Label Biotin Products in a manner that follows the suggested use of the CVS Private Label Biotin Products on the product labels, whereby the Defendants' instructions and promotional activities encourage, promote, instruct, and teach methods, now and in the past, that meet at least the limitations of at least one of claims 1, 10 and 11 of the Re '480 patent.

91. On information and belief, Defendants, and/or their agents and contract manufacturers, knew of the Re '480 patent, ignored and/or disregarded that their actions constituted infringement of a valid patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of the Re '480 patent, and therefore Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, wanton, deliberate and without license, thereby rendering this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

92. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiffs for which Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

93. Unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined, Defendants have and will continue to infringe the Re '480 patent to Plaintiffs' substantial and irreparable harm.

DAMAGES AND RELIEF

94. Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit has caused Plaintiffs irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

95. Furthermore, as a consequence of Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in an amount not yet determined, and which will be determined at trial, for the infringement committed at least within six years prior to the filing of this Complaint, and any further damages for infringement committed after filing of the

-32-

Complaint (the 'Damages Period"), and that at a minimum Plaintiffs are entitled to a reasonable royalty during the Damages Period.

96. This is an exceptional case as that term is used in 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter judgment against Defendants:

a) Determining that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '772 patent;

b) Determining that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '317 patent;

c) Determining that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '889 patent;

d) Determining that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '301 patent;

e) Determining that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the Re '480 patent;

f) Permanently enjoining Defendants, their respective officers, agents, servants, directors, employees and attorneys, and all persons acting in concert or participation with them, directly or indirectly, or any of them who receive actual notice of the judgment, from further infringing the Patents-in-Suit, including enjoining the making, use of, offer for sale, sale, distribution and/or importation of the infringing products;

g) Ordering Defendants to recall all infringing products not yet sold to an end user, and further requiring Defendants to publicize such recall;

-33-

Case 1:15-cv-04365-JSR Document 15 Filed 07/08/15 Page 34 of 34

 h) Ordering Defendants to account for and pay to Plaintiffs all past, present and future damages suffered by Plaintiffs during the Damages Period as a consequence of Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court;

i) Ordering Defendants to pay to Plaintiffs on-going royalties to compensate
Plaintiffs for any further direct or indirect infringement by Defendants of any claim of the
Patents-in-Suit;

j) Trebling or otherwise increasing Plaintiffs' damages under U.S.C. § 284 on the grounds that Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit was deliberate and willful;

k) Declaring that this case is exceptional and awarding to Plaintiffs their costs and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

1) Granting Plaintiffs such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby request a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

Dated: July 1, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Brian Rothery Brian M. Rothery Joseph Diamante Madelon Witte STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038 Telephone: (212) 806-5400

> Attorneys for Plaintiffs JDS Therapeutics, LLC and Nutrition 21, LLC