	Case 2.15-cv-00423 Document 1 Filed 08	721/15 Page 1 01 5 Page ID #.1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROBERT E. FREITAS (SBN 80948) rfreitas@fawlaw.com JASON S. ANGEL (SBN 221607) jangell@fawlaw.com FREITAS ANGELL & WEINBERG LL 350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 593-6300 Facsimile: (650) 593-6301 Attorneys for Plaintiff Transport Technologies, LLC UNITED STATES	P DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	WESTERN DIVISION	
11		
12	TRANSPORT TECHNOLOGIES,	Case No.
13	LLC, a California limited liability company,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
14	Plaintiff,	INFRINGEMENT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
15	v.	
16	LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, a public entity,	
17		
18 19	Defendant.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

remedies for infringement of the '101 Patent.

Metro operates high occupancy / toll lanes on the I-110 and I-10

freeways known as ExpressLanes. The ExpressLanes are located in stretches of the

26

27

28

7.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- I-110 and I-10 freeways. Drivers wishing to use the ExpressLanes are required to carry a FasTrak transponder in their cars. The FasTrak transponder includes a switch that may be set to indicate that the car contains 1, 2, or 3 or more passengers. By contract and otherwise, Metro conditions use of the ExpressLanes upon performance by drivers of steps required by Metro, and Metro establishes the manner and timing of the performance required of drivers wishing to use the ExpressLanes.
- 8. Metro has deployed a series of wireless readers at various points on the ExpressLanes. Metro controls and operates communications infrastructure that communicates with FasTrak transponders to receive claims about car occupancy, and network infrastructure that transfers that information to Metro's data processing facilities. Metro uses the identity information it receives to charge drivers for their use of the ExpressLanes.
- 9. Metro was notified of the '101 Patent in August 2012 and was thereafter offered an opportunity to obtain a license to the '101 Patent. Metro reviewed the '101 Patent, and obtained actual knowledge of the subject matter covered by the claims of the '101 Patent, and of Metro's infringement of the '101 Patent. Despite Metro's knowledge of the '101 Patent and of its infringement of the '101 Patent, Metro refused to license the '101 Patent or to provide proper compensation for its infringement. Since being advised of the '101 Patent, Metro has knowingly and willfully infringed the '101 Patent.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Patent Infringement

- 10. Transport Technologies realleges and incorporates by the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 11. Metro, through its operation of the ExpressLanes, has infringed and continues to infringe Claims 1, 3, 6, and 8 of the '101 patent by using the inventions claimed therein.

Metro's infringement of the '101 Patent is willful and in knowing 1 12. 2 disregard of the rights of Transport Technologies under the '101 patent. 3 13. As a direct and proximate result of Metro's infringement of the '101 4 Patent, Transport Technologies has been damaged in an amount to be proved at 5 trial, but no less than a reasonable royalty. 6 14. Metro's willful infringement of the '101 Patent demonstrates that it 7 will continue to infringe unless enjoined from doing so by the Court. Transport Technologies will therefore be required to initiate a multiplicity of proceedings 8 9 during the life of the '101 Patent to obtain proper compensation for Metro's 10 infringement. Metro's ongoing willful infringement of the '101 Patent has caused 11 and will cause irreparable harm to Transport Technologies. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 12 WHEREFORE, Transport Technologies prays for judgment as follows: 13 14 1. Compensatory damages in an amount according to proof, but no less 15 than a reasonable royalty; 2. 16 Enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; 3. 17 A preliminary and a permanent injunction restraining Metro, its 18 officers, agents, employees, and all others in active concert or participation with 19 them from further acts of infringement of the '101 Patent; 20 4. Costs of suit and, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, reasonable attorneys' 21 fees incurred herein: 22 5. Prejudgment interest in the amount provided by law; 23 6. Post-judgment interest in the amount provided by law; and 24 7. Such other relief as the Court deems proper. 25 /// 26 /// 27 ///

28

	Case 2:15-cv-06423 Document 1	Filed 08/21/15 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:5
1 2	Dated: August 21, 2015	ROBERT E. FREITAS JASON S. ANGELL FREITAS ANGELL & WEINBERG LLP
3		
4		/s/Robert E. Freitas
5		Robert E. Freitas
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff Transport Technologies, LLC
7		Transport Technologies, Elec
8		
9		
10		
11	DEMA	AND FOR JURY TRIAL
12	Transport Technologies demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.	
13		
14	Dated: August 21, 2015	ROBERT E. FREITAS
15		JASON S. ANGELL
16		FREITAS ANGELL & WEINBERG LLP
17		/ /D
18		<u>/s/Robert E. Freitas</u> Robert E. Freitas
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff
20		Transport Technologies, LLC
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-4- COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT