1 2 3 4	Peter R. Afrasiabi (Bar No. 193336) pafrasiabi@onellp.com Nathaniel L. Dilger (Bar No. 196203) ndilger@onellp.com ONE LLP 4000 MacArthur Blvd.	
5	East Tower, Suite 500 Newport Beach, CA 92660	
6	Telephone: (949) 502-2870 Facsimile: (949) 258-5081	
7	Joanna Ardalan (Bar No. 285384)	
8	jardalan@onellp.com ONE LLP	
9	9301 Wilshire Blvd. Penthouse Suite	
10	Beverly Hills, CA 90210	
11	Telephone: (310) 437-8665 Facsimile: (310) 943-2085	
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Targus Group International, Inc.	
14		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
15 16	UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
		T OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
16 17	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL,	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION
16 17 18 19	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation,	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v.	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
16 17 18 19 20 21	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff,	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v.	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. LOGITECH, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1-10,	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. LOGITECH, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1-10,	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CENTRAL DISTRIC SOUTHERN TARGUS GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. LOGITECH, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1-10,	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Targus Group International, Inc. ("Targus") hereby complains and alleges against Defendant Logitech, Inc. ("Logitech" or "Defendant") as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Targus is a Delaware corporation with its principal offices located at 1211 N. Miller Street, Anaheim, California 92806.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Logitech is a California corporation with a principal place of business at 7600 Gateway Blvd., Newark, California 94560.
- 3. Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Targus, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Targus will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint and insert the true names and capacities of said Defendants when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, upon such, alleges that each of the Defendants designated herein as "DOE" is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein alleged, and that plaintiff's damages as alleged herein were proximately caused by such Defendants.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe, contribute to the infringement of, and/or actively induce others to infringe Plaintiff's U.S. Patent Nos. 8,746,449 (the "449 patent") and 8,783,458 (the "458 patent").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant does and has done substantial business in this judicial District, including: (i) committing acts of patent infringement and/or contributing to or inducing acts of patent infringement by others in this judicial District and elsewhere in this State; (ii) regularly conducting business in this State and judicial District; (iii) directing advertising to

or soliciting business from persons residing in this State and judicial District through at least in-person sales efforts; and (iv) engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to persons in this District and State.

8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. For more than two decades, the Targus group of companies has been recognized worldwide as an innovative creator and distributor of quality mobile computing bags, cases, and accessories. Targus has developed and introduced a highly successful line of cases and accessories for use with the popular mobile computing devices offered by Apple, Inc. under the iPad® brand.
- 10. Targus has sought protection for its technological innovations, which has resulted in the issuance of the asserted '449 and '458 patents.
- 11. The '449 Patent issued on June 10, 2014, and is titled "Portable Electronic Device Case Accessories and Related Systems and Methods." Targus is the owner by assignment of the '449 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '449 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 12. The '458 Patent issued on July 22, 2014, and is titled "Portable Electronic Device Case Accessories and Related Systems and Methods." Targus is the owner by assignment of the '458 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '458 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 13. According to Defendant's website, Defendant "is a world leader in products that connect people to the digital experiences they care about." In 2014, it touted the release of its new tablet case product-line that was "designed to make IPad adjust to you."
- 14. One of these products is described and marketed as the "Logitech Turnaround" which is a "stylish, versatile case that rotates to give you the optimal screen position, landscape or portrait, while protecting your tablet from everyday bumps, scratches and spills." The Logitech Turnaround comes in specific models for different size tablets,

1	2
_	

specifically, iPad Air and iPad mini. The Logitech Turnaround is an exemplary product that infringes on both the '449 and '458 Patents.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of the '449 Patent against Logitech – 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.)

- 15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. On information and belief, Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '449 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant acquired various of Targus commercial embodiments of the '449 Patent, and because these commercial embodiments are marked by Targus in accordance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287, Defendant thus had not only constructive knowledge of the '449 Patent, but also actual knowledge of that patent.
- 17. Despite having full knowledge of the '449 patent, Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '449 Patent by developing, making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, in this District, elsewhere in the United States, and internationally, the Logitech Turnaround and other similar products that infringe the '449 patent.
- 18. Defendant has contributed to the infringement of and continues to contributorily infringe one or more claims of the '449 Patent by developing, making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, in this District, elsewhere in the United States, and internationally the Logitech Turnaround. In particular, Defendant developed, made, used, offered to sell, sold and/or imported the Logitech Turnaround case with full knowledge of the '449 patent and its applicability to at least the Logitech Turnaround case. In addition, the Logitech Turnaround case has no substantial use other than in a manner that infringes the '449 patent.
- 19. On information and belief, Defendant has induced infringement of and continues to induce infringement one or more claims of the '449 Patent by developing, making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, in this District and elsewhere in the United States, the Logitech Turnaround case. Among other things, Defendant has –

with full knowledge of the '449 patent and its applicability to its products – specifically designed the Logitech Turnaround case in a manner that infringes the '449 patent and has also specifically instructed purchasers of these products – via instructional packaging and/or online instructional materials – to configure and/or use the Logitech Turnaround case in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '449 patent.

- 20. Defendant's actions constitute direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or active inducement of infringement of one or more claims of the '449 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 21. Plaintiff has sustained damages and will continue to sustain damages as a result of Defendant's aforesaid acts of infringement.
- 22. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages sustained as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 23. Defendant's infringement of Targus's rights under the '449 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff's business, causing irreparable harm, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.
- 24. In addition, Defendant has infringed the '449 patent directly, contributorily, and by inducement with full knowledge of the '449 patent and despite having full knowledge that its actions constituted infringement of that patent. For at least this reason, Defendant has willfully infringed the '449 Patent, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of the '458 Patent against Logitech – 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.)

- 25. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. On information and belief, Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '458 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant acquired various of Targus commercial embodiments of the '458 Patent, and because these commercial embodiments are marked

by Targus in accordance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. Sec. 287, Defendant thus had not only constructive knowledge of the '458 Patent, but also actual knowledge of that patent.

- 27. Despite having full knowledge of the '458 patent, Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '458 Patent by developing, making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, in this District, elsewhere in the United States, and internationally, the Logitech Turnaround case and other similar products that infringe the '458 patent.
- 28. Defendant has contributed to the infringement of and continues to contributorily infringe one or more claims of the '458 Patent by developing, making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, in this District, elsewhere in the United States, and internationally the Logitech Turnaround case. In particular, Defendant developed, made, used, offered to sell, sold and/or imported, the Logitech Turnaround case with full knowledge of the '458 patent and its applicability to the Logitech Turnaround case. In addition, the Logitech Turnaround case has no substantial use other than in a manner that infringes the '458 patent.
- 29. On information and belief, Defendant has induced infringement of and continues to induce infringement one or more claims of the '458 Patent by developing, making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, in this District and elsewhere in the United States, the Logitech Turnaround case. Among other things, Defendant has with full knowledge of the '458 patent and its applicability to its products specifically designed the Logitech Turnaround case in a manner that infringes the '458 patent and has also specifically instructed purchasers of these products via instructional packaging and/or online instructional materials to configure and/or use the Logitech Turnaround case in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '458 patent.
- 30. Defendant's actions constitute direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or active inducement of infringement of one or more claims of the '458 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

- 31. Plaintiff has sustained damages and will continue to sustain damages as a result of Defendant's aforesaid acts of infringement.
- 32. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages sustained as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 33. Defendant's infringement of Targus's rights under the '458 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff's business, causing irreparable harm, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.
- 34. In addition, Defendant has infringed the '458 patent directly, contributorily, and by inducement with full knowledge of the '458 patent and despite having full knowledge that its actions constituted infringement of that patent. For at least this reason, Defendant has willfully infringed the '458 Patent, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks this Court to enter judgment in their favor and against Defendant and grant the following relief:

- A. An adjudication that Defendant has willfully infringed and continues to infringe the '449 and '458 patents.
- B. Orders of this Court temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoining Defendant, its agents, servants, and any and all parties acting in concert with them, from directly or indirectly infringing in any manner any of the claims of the '449 and '458 patents pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- C. An award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement of the '449 and '458 patents in an amount to be proven at trial;
- D. A finding that this is an exceptional case and an award of Plaintiff's costs and attorney fees;
 - E. A trebling of the damage award to Plaintiff;

An assessment and award of pre- and post-judgment interest on all damages F. awarded; and Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper. I. Dated: September 2, 2015 **ONE LLP** By: /s/ Joanna Ardalan Peter R. Afrasiabi Nathaniel L. Dilger Joanna Ardalan Attorneys for Plaintiff, Targus Group International, Inc.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims and all issues properly triable thereby. Dated: September 2, 2015 **ONE LLP** By: /s/ Joanna Ardalan Peter R. Afrasiabi Nathaniel L. Dilger Joanna Ardalan Attorneys for Plaintiff, Targus Group International, Inc.

COMPLAINT