

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

PAPST LICENSING GmbH & CO. KG,

Plaintiff,

v.

JVCKENWOOD CORPORATION and
JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG (“Papst”), for its Complaint against defendants JVCKenwood Corporation (“JVC Japan”) and JVCKENWOOD USA Corporation (“JVC USA”) (collectively, “JVC defendants”), hereby alleges as follows:

The Parties

1. Plaintiff Papst is a company organized under the laws of The Federal Republic of Germany with its principal place of business at Bahnhofstrasse 33, 78112, St. Georgen, Germany.

2. JVC Japan is a Japanese corporation with its principal place of business at 3-12, Moriyacho, Kanagawa-ku, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa, 221-0022, Japan. JVC Japan manufactures and sells a wide range of consumer electronics products, including camcorders.

3. JVC USA is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Long Beach, California with an agent for service of process is located at 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA 95833. JVC USA imports and sells a wide range of consumer electronics products, including camcorders. JVC USA is registered to do business in Delaware.

4. JVC USA is a wholly owned subsidiary of JVC Japan.

Nature Of The Action

5. This is a civil action for infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,504,746 (“the ’746 patent”) (attached as Exhibit A) and 8,966,144 (“the ’144 patent”) (attached as Exhibit B) (collectively, the “Patents-in-Suit”) under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

Jurisdiction And Venue

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patents laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.*

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the JVC defendants because, among other things, the JVC defendants have committed, aided, abetted, contributed to, and/or participated in the commission of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere that led to foreseeable harm and injury to Papst.

8. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the JVC defendants because, among other things, the JVC defendants have established minimum contacts within the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over the JVC defendants will not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. For example, the JVC defendants have placed products that practice and/or embody the claimed inventions of the Patents-in-Suit into the stream of commerce with the reasonable expectation and/or knowledge that purchasers and users of such products were located within this district. In addition, the JVC defendants have sold, advertised, marketed, and distributed products in this district that practice the claimed inventions of the Patents-in-Suit. The JVC defendants derive substantial revenue from the sale of infringing products distributed

within the district, and/or expect or should reasonably expect their actions to have consequences within the district, and derive substantial revenue from interstate and international commerce. Moreover, JVC USA is registered to do business in Delaware.

9. In addition, the JVC defendants knowingly, actively induced and continue to knowingly actively induce (or are willfully blind to the) infringement of one or more of the Patents-in-Suit within this district by making, using, offering for sale, and selling infringing products, as well as by contracting with others to use, market, sell, and offer to sell infringing products, all with knowledge of the asserted Patents-in-Suit, and their claims, with knowledge that their customers will use, market, sell, and offer to sell infringing products in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and with the knowledge and specific intent to encourage and facilitate infringing sales and use of the products by others within this district and the United States by creating and disseminating promotional and marketing materials, instructional materials, and product manuals, and technical materials related to the infringing products.

10. Moreover, the JVC defendants knowingly contributed to the infringement of one or more of the Patents-in-Suit by others in this district, and continue to contribute to the infringement of one or more of the Patents-in-Suit by others in this district by selling or offering to sell components of infringing products in this district, which components constitute a material part of the inventions of the Patents-in-Suit, knowing of the patents-in-suit and their claims, knowing those components to be especially made or especially adapted for use to infringe one or more of the Patents-in-Suit, and knowing that those components are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

11. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b), because the JVC defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district and have committed acts of infringement in this district.

The Patents-In-Suit

12. United States Patent No. 8,504,746 (“the ’746 patent”), titled “Analog Data Generating And Processing Device For Use With A Personal Computer,” was duly and lawfully issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on August 6, 2013. A copy of the ’746 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Papst is the assignee of all rights, title, and interest in the ’746 patent, and it possesses all rights to sue and recover for any current or past infringement of the ’746 patent.

13. United States Patent No. 8,966,144 (“the ’144 patent”), titled “Analog Data Generating And Processing Device Having A Multi-Use Automatic Processor,” was duly and lawfully issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on February 24, 2015. A copy of the ’144 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Papst is the assignee of all rights, title, and interest in the ’144 patent, and it possesses all rights to sue and recover for any current or past infringement of the ’144 patent.

14. The ’746 patent was published by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 2, 2011 (as US Patent Publication No. 2011/0131353) with claims substantially similar to the later issued claims of the ’746 patent.

15. The ’746 patent issued from a continuation application to United States Patent Applications 10/219,105 and 09/331,002, which issued as United States Patent Nos. 6,895,449 and 6,470,399, respectively.

16. Papst and the JVC defendants have been engaged in litigation regarding United States Patent Nos. 6,895,449 and 6,470,399 in the case entitled *Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., Ltd. et al. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG*, 1:07-cv-1222 (D.D.C.) on July 6, 2007 and consolidated in *In re Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG Litig.*, Misc. No. 07-493 (D.D.C.) on November 11, 2007.

17. On information and belief, the JVC defendants have monitored Papst's patent prosecution activities at least since entering litigation with Papst in 2008.

COUNT I

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746

18. Paragraphs 1 through 17 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

19. The '746 patent is valid and enforceable.

20. The JVC defendants have infringed, and continue to infringe, one or more claims of the '746 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, products encompassed by those claims, including for example, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States JVC digital video cameras that use or are able to be accessed via the Mass Storage Device/Mass Storage Class ("MSD") protocol, including without limitation models GC-XA2, GZ-R70B, GZ-R30B, GZ-R10B, GZ-R10A, GC-R10R, GC-PX100B, and other models using or able to be accessed via MSD (collectively, "the '746 Infringing Products").

21. The JVC defendants' customers (e.g., distributors, retailers, and online vendors) directly infringe one or more claims of the '746 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by selling, offering to sell, or importing the '746 Infringing Products in the United States. The JVC

defendants have actively induced infringement of, and continue to actively induce infringement of, one or more claims of the '746 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by selling, importing, and/or offering for sale the '746 Infringing Products to its customers with the knowledge of the '746 patent and its claims, with knowledge that its customers will sell, offer to sell, and/or import into the United States the '746 Infringing Products, and with knowledge and specific intent to encourage and facilitate those infringing sales of the '746 Infringing Products through distributing the products to retailers, distributors, and online vendors and creating and disseminating promotional and marketing materials, instructional manuals, product manuals and other technical materials related to the '746 Infringing Products.

22. The JVC defendants have contributed to the infringement of, and continue to contribute to the infringement of, one or more claims of the '746 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) and/or 271(f), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, the '746 Infringing Products, knowing that those products constitute a material part of the inventions claimed in the '746 patent, knowing that those products are especially made or adapted to infringe the '746 patent, and knowing that those products are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for non-infringing use; rather that the components are used for or in systems that infringe one or more claims of the '746 patent.

23. The JVC defendants have had knowledge of the '746 patent and their infringement of that patent since at least as early as August 7, 2013, through a letter sent by Papst concerning that infringement.

24. On information and belief, the JVC defendants have also had knowledge of the '746 patent since the time it published as US Patent Publication No. 2011/0131353 on June 2, 2011, as a result of monitoring Papst's patent prosecution activities.

25. The JVC defendants have infringed, and continue to infringe the '746 patent.

26. Papst has been and continues to be damaged by the JVC defendants' infringement of the '746 patent.

27. The JVC defendants have willfully infringed, and continue to willfully infringe, the '746 patent despite having knowledge of the '746 patent at least through Papst's August 7, 2013, letter concerning their infringement.

28. The JVC defendants' conduct in infringing the '746 patent renders this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT II

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,966,144

29. Paragraphs 1 through 28 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

30. The '144 patent is valid and enforceable.

31. The JVC defendants have infringed, and continue to infringe, one or more claims of the '144 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, products encompassed by those claims, including for example, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States JVC digital video cameras that use or are able to be accessed via the MSD, Media Transfer Protocol ("MTP"), or Picture Transfer Protocol ("PTP") protocols, including without limitation models GC-XA2, GZ-R70B, GZ-R30B, GZ-R10B, GZ-R10A, GC-R10R, GC-PX100B, and other

models using or able to be accessed via the MSD, MTP, or PTP protocols (collectively, “the ’144 Infringing Products”).

32. The JVC defendants’ customers (e.g., distributors, retailers, and online vendors) directly infringe one or more claims of the ’144 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by selling, offering to sell, or importing the ’144 Infringing Products in the United States. The JVC defendants have actively induced infringement of, and continue to actively induce infringement of, one or more claims of the ’144 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by selling, importing, and/or offering for sale the ’144 Infringing Products to its customers with the knowledge of the ’144 patent and its claims, with knowledge that its customers will sell, offer to sell, and/or import into the United States the ’144 Infringing Products, and with knowledge and specific intent to encourage and facilitate those infringing sales of the ’144 Infringing Products through distributing the products to retailers, distributors, and online vendors and creating and disseminating promotional and marketing materials, instructional manuals, product manuals and other technical materials related to the ’144 Infringing Products.

33. The JVC defendants have contributed to the infringement of, and continue to contribute to the infringement of, one or more claims of the ’144 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) and/or 271(f), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, the ’144 Infringing Products, knowing that those products constitute a material part of the inventions claimed in the ’144 patent, knowing that those products are especially made or adapted to infringe the ’144 patent, and knowing that those products are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for non-infringing use;

rather that the components are used for or in systems that infringe one or more claims of the '144 patent.

34. The JVC defendants have had knowledge of the '144 patent and their infringement of that patent since at least as early as March 13, 2015, through a letter sent by Papst concerning that infringement.

35. The JVC defendants have infringed, and continue to infringe, the '144 patent.

36. Papst has been and continues to be damaged by the JVC defendants' infringement of the '144 patent.

37. The JVC defendants have willfully infringed, and continue to willfully infringe, the '144 patent despite having knowledge of the '144 patent at least through Papst's March 13, 2015, letter concerning their infringement.

38. The JVC defendants' conduct in infringing the '144 patent renders this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Papst prays for judgment as follows:

A. That the JVC defendants have directly and/or indirectly infringed each of the Patents-in-Suit;

B. That the JVC defendants have willfully infringed each of the Patents-in-Suit;

C. That Papst be awarded all damages adequate to compensate it for the JVC defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, including damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and provisional damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 154(d), such damages to be determined by a jury and, if necessary to adequately compensate Papst for the infringement, an accounting, and

that such damages be trebled and awarded to Papst with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

D. That this case be declared an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Papst be awarded the attorney fees, costs, and expenses that it incurs prosecuting this action; and

E. That Papst be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Demand For Jury Trial

Plaintiff Papst hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: June 15, 2015

FARNAN LLP

Of Counsel:

John M. Desmarais
Jonas R. McDavit
Richard M. Cowell
DESMARAIS LLP
230 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10169
(212) 351-3400 (Telephone)
(212) 351-3401 (Facsimile)
jdesmarais@desmaraisllp.com
jmcdavit@desmaraisllp.com
rcowell@desmaraisllp.com

/s/ Brian E. Farnan

Brian E. Farnan (Bar No. 4089)
Michael J. Farnan (Bar No. 5165)
919 North Market Street
12th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 777-0300 (Telephone)
(302) 777-0301 (Facsimile)
bfarnan@farnanlaw.com
mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff
Papst Licensing GmbH Co. KG