

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC., d/b/a CMS TECHNOLOGIES and CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC,  Plaintiffs,  v.  KEYSKAN, INC.,  Defendant.	Civil Action No. 6:15-cv-00583-JRG-JDL LEAD CASE  PATENT CASE  <b>Jury Trial Demanded</b>
v. ADVANCED NETWORK DEVICES	6:15-cv-00577-JRG-JDL (consolidated case)
v. BIAMP SYSTEMS CORP.	6:15-cv-00578-JRG-JDL (consolidated case)
v. HAWK-I SECURITY, INC.	6:15-cv-00580-JRG-JDL (consolidated case)
v. IPITOMY COMMUNICATIONS LLC	6:15-cv-00582-JRG-JDL (consolidated case)

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Chrimar Systems, Inc. d/b/a CMS Technologies ("Chrimar") and Chrimar Holding Company, LLC ("Holding") file this First Amended Complaint against the above-named Defendant for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 8,155,012 ("the '012 Patent"), 8,942,107 ("the '107 Patent") and 9,049,019 ("the '019 Patent"), collectively the "Patents-in-Suit."

**THE PARTIES**

1. Chrimar is a Michigan corporation with a place of business located at 36528 Grand River Avenue, Suite A-1, Farmington Hills, Michigan 48335.
2. Holding is a Texas limited liability company with a place of business located at 922 NW Loop 281, Suite 211-30, Longview, Texas 75604.
3. Chrimar and Holding are collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs" or CMS.

4. Biamp Systems Corporation ("Biamp Systems") is an Oregon corporation with a place of business located at 9300 SW Gemini Drive, Beaverton, Oregon 97008. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Biamp Systems.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §101 *et seq.*

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28. U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338 (a).

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§1391 and 1400 (b).

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Biamp Systems because Biamp Systems has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in the State of Texas, including in this district. In addition, Biamp Systems has committed, and continues to commit acts of infringement in the State of Texas, including in this district.

#### **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

9. Chrimar is the owner and assignee of the '012 Patent, entitled "System and Method for Adapting a Piece of Terminal Equipment" and Holding is the exclusive licensee of the '012 Patent. CMS owns all substantial rights in the '012 Patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '012 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. The '012 Patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

11. Chrimar is the owner and the assignee of the '107 Patent, entitled "Piece of Ethernet Terminal Equipment" and Holding is the exclusive licensee of the '107 Patent. CMS has ownership of all substantial rights in the '107 Patent, including the right to exclude others and

to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '107 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.

12. The '107 Patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

13. Chrimar is the owner and assignee of the '019 Patent, entitled "Network Equipment And Optional Tether" and Holding is the exclusive licensee of the '019 Patent. CMS has ownership of all substantial rights in the '019 Patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '019 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.

14. The '019 Patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

15. The '102, '107 and '019 Patents are collectively the "Patents-in-Suit."

**BIAMP SYSTEMS' ACCUSED PRODUCTS**

16. Upon information and belief, Biamp Systems makes, uses, offers to sell, sells, and/or imports Power over Ethernet (PoE) powered devices (PDs) that comply with and/or are compatible with the IEEE 802.3af and/or 802.3at. Such product(s) include, but are not limited to, (collectively the "Accused Product(s)"):

Control and Interface Expander	Tesira® EX-LOGIC Control and Interface Expander
Mini-Expanders for Audia®	AudiaEXPI-4 AudiaEXPO-4 AudiaEXPI/0-2
VOICA® Life Safety Interface	VOICA® Life Safety Interface (LSI-16) VOICA® Life Safety Interface (LSI-16e)

Tesira Expanders	Tesira EX-IN (expander box) Tesira EX-AEC (expander box) Tesira EX-OUT (expander box) Tesira EX-IO (expander box) Tesira EX-LOGIC (expander box)
Tesira Hardware Dialer	HD-1
Tesira Ethernet Control	TEC-1s (remote control) TEC-1i (remote control)
Voica® Wall Remote	Voica® Wall Remote (WR-1)
Vocia® Ambient Noise Compensation 1	Vocia® Ambient Noise Compensation 1 (ANC-1)
Vocia® Emergency Wall Station	Vocia® Emergency Wall Station 4 (EWS-4) Vocia® Emergency Wall Station 10 (EWS-10)
Vocia® General Purpose I/O Device	Vocia® General Purpose I/O Device (GP10-1)
Remote Ethernet Device	RED-1 RED-1F
Vocia® End of Line Device	Vocia® ELD-1 End of Line Device
Vocia® Input	Vocia® Input (VI-6)
Vocia® Output	Vocia® Output (VO-4) Vocia® Output (VO-4e)
Networked Paging Station	Networked Paging Station 1
Vocia® Desktop Paging Station	Vocia® Desktop Paging Station DS-4 Vocia® Desktop Paging Station DS-10
Vocia® PSKIT-1	Paging Starter Kit
Vocia® Wall Paging Station	Vocia® Wall Paging Station WS-4

17. Upon information and belief, the Accused Products are offered for sale and sold throughout the United States, including within the Eastern District of Texas.

18. Biamp Systems has purposefully and voluntarily placed the Accused Products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that these products will be purchased and used by end users in the United States, including end users in the Eastern District of Texas.

19. Biamp Systems provides direct and indirect support concerning the Accused Products to end users, including end users within the Eastern District of Texas.

**COUNT I**  
**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,155,012**

20. CMS incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

21. Biamp Systems has and continues to directly infringe the '012 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §271(a) by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.

22. Biamp Systems has been on notice of the '012 Patent since at least the filing of the original Complaint in this matter.

23. Biamp Systems has not produced or indicated that it intends to rely upon an opinion of counsel suggesting that the '012 Patent is invalid or that the Accused Products do not infringe the '012 Patent.

24. CMS has been and will continue to be damaged as a result of Biamp Systems' infringing conduct described in this Court.

**COUNT II**  
**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,942,107**

25. CMS incorporates paragraphs 1 through 24 herein by reference.

26. Biamp Systems has and continues to directly infringe the '107 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §271(a) by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.

27. Biamp Systems has been on notice of the '107 Patent since at least the filing date of the original Complaint in this matter.

28. Biamp Systems has not produced or indicated that it intends to rely upon an opinion of counsel suggesting that the '107 Patent is invalid or that the Accused Products do not infringe the '107 Patent.

29. CMS has been and will continue to be damaged as a result of Biamp Systems' infringing conduct described in this Court.

**COUNT III  
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,049,019**

30. CMS incorporates paragraphs 1 through 29 herein by reference.

31. Biamp Systems has and continues to directly infringe the '019 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §271(a) by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.

32. Biamp Systems has been on notice of the '019 Patent since at least the filing date of the original Complaint in this matter.

33. Biamp Systems has not produced or indicated that it intends to rely upon an opinion of counsel suggesting that the '019 Patent is invalid or that the Accused Products do not infringe the '019 Patent.

34. CMS has been and will continue to be damaged as a result of Biamp Systems' infringing conduct described in this Court.

**ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS**

35. CMS has complied with 35 U.S.C. §287.

**JURY DEMAND**

CMS hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

CMS requests that this Court find in its favor and against Biamp Systems, and that this Court grant CMS the following relief;

- A. Enter judgment that Biamp Systems has infringed the '012 Patent;
- B. Enter judgment that Biamp Systems has infringed the '107 Patent;
- C. Enter judgment that Biamp Systems has infringed the '019 Patent;
- D. Award Plaintiffs damages in an amount adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for Biamp Systems' infringement of the '012, '107 and '019 Patents, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284;
- E. Award Plaintiffs pre-judgment and post-judgment interest to the full extent allowed under the law, as well as their costs;
- F. Declare that this is an exceptional case and award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action;
- G. Enter an appropriate order requiring Biamp Systems to pay Plaintiffs ongoing royalties for any continued infringement of the '012, '107 and '019 Patents; and
- H. Award such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Date: November 11, 2015

Respectfully submitted,  
by /s/ Richard W. Hoffmann  
RICHARD W. HOFFMANN (MI BAR 42352)  
Reising Ethington PC  
755 W. Big Beaver Road, Suite 1850  
Troy, Michigan 48084  
Telephone: 248.689.3500  
Facsimile: 248.689.4071  
E-mail: hoffmann@reising.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Chrimar Systems, Inc.  
d/b/a CMS Technologies and Chrimar Holding  
Company, LLC*