

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

CYPRESS LAKE SOFTWARE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

**ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC., and ASUS
COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,**

Defendants.

Case No. 6:15-cv-1104

PATENT CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Cypress Lake Software, Inc., (“Plaintiff”) files this Complaint against Defendants ASUSTeK Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer International (“Defendants” or “ASUS”) for infringement of United States Patent No. 8,780,130 (the “130 patent”).

THE PARTIES

PLAINTIFF

1. Cypress Lake Software, Inc., is a Texas company with its principal place of business at 318 W. Dogwood Street, Woodville, TX 75979.

DEFENDANTS

2. On information and belief, Defendant ASUSTeK Computer Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business at No. 15, Li-Te Road, Beitou, Taipei, Taiwan.

3. On information and belief, Defendant ASUS Computer International, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, with its principal place of business at 800 Corporate Way, Fremont, CA 94539.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action for patent infringement under Title 35 of the United States Code. Plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief as well as damages.

5. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents) because this is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the United States' patent statutes, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

6. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), 1391(c) and 1400(b) because Defendants have committed acts of infringement in this district.

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants and venue is proper in this district because Defendants have committed, and continue to commit, acts of infringement in the state of Texas, including in this district, have conducted business in the state of Texas, including in this district, and/or have engaged in continuous and systematic activities in the state of Texas.

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,780,130)

8. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

9. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of the '130 patent, entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Binding Attributes Between Visual Components," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '130 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '130 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. The '130 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

11. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of ASUS's infringing conduct described in this Court. ASUS is thus liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately compensates it for its infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

Direct Infringement

12. On information and belief, ASUS has and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '130 patent in this judicial district and/or elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 22, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing infringing devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8, by practicing infringing methods by way of ASUS's devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8, and/or by directing or controlling the performance of infringing methods, including by customers and/or end-users of ASUS's devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8. ASUS is thereby liable for infringement of the '130 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

Indirect Infringement – Inducement

13. Based on the information presently available to Plaintiff, absent discovery, and in addition or in the alternative to direct infringement, Plaintiff contends that ASUS indirectly infringes one or more claims of the '130 patent, including at least claim 22, by inducing others, including customers and/or end-users of ASUS's devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8, to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import devices and/or to practice infringing methods in violation of one or more claims of the '130 patent, including at least claim 22.

14. On information and belief, Defendants have been on notice of the '130 patent since at least service of this action, or before, but have continued since that time to cause others to directly infringe the '130 patent as alleged herein. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b)(3),

Plaintiff will likely have additional evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery on this issue.

15. On information and belief, since ASUS has been on notice of the '130 patent, ASUS has knowingly induced infringement of the '130 patent, including at least claim 22 of the '130 patent, and possessed specific intent to encourage others' infringement.

16. On information and belief, since ASUS has been on notice of the '130 patent, ASUS knew or should have known that its actions would induce actual infringement of the '130 patent, including at least claim 22 of the '130 patent, by customers and/or end-users of ASUS's devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8.

17. On information and belief, for example, since ASUS has been on notice of the '130 patent, ASUS has purposefully and voluntarily made available devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8, with the expectation that they would be utilized by customers and/or end-users in the United States in a way that infringes at least claim 22 of the '130 patent.

18. On information and belief, since ASUS has been on notice of the '130 patent, ASUS has also instructed and/or encouraged customers and/or end-users of ASUS's devices, including but not limited to the VivoTab 8, to utilize such devices in a way that results in the infringement of at least claim 22 of the '130 patent and has provided support to such customers and/or end-users.

ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS

19. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described herein. ASUS is thus liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately compensates Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

20. Defendants' actions complained of herein will continue unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court.

21. Plaintiff has complied with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

22. Defendants' actions complained of herein are causing irreparable harm and monetary damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until Defendants are enjoined and restrained by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests that this Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that this Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- a. Enter judgment for Plaintiff on this Complaint;
- b. Enter judgment that one or more claims of the '130 patent have been infringed, either directly or indirectly by Defendants;
- c. Enter judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all damages to and costs incurred by Plaintiff because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. Award Plaintiff damages resulting from Defendants' infringement in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- e. Enter a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert or participation with them, from infringing or inducing infringement of

the '130 patent, or, in the alternative, judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff a reasonable royalty and an ongoing post-judgment royalty because of Defendants' past, present and future infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

f. That Plaintiff be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

g. Find the case to be exceptional under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 285;

h. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

DATED: November 30, 2015

Respectfully submitted,
THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C.

/s/ Anthony G. Simon
Anthony G. Simon, #38745MO
Michael P. Kella, #64284MO
Benjamin R. Askew, #58933MO
Timothy D. Krieger, #57832MO
800 Market Street, Suite 1700
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
P. 314.241.2929
F. 314.241.2029
asimon@simonlawpc.com
mkella@simonlawpc.com
baskew@simonlawpc.com
tkrieger@simonlawpc.com

T. John Ward, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
Claire Abernathy Henry
Texas State Bar No. 24053063
WARD, SMITH & HILL, PLLC
P.O. Box 1231
1127 Judson Road, Ste. 220
Longview, Texas 75606-1231
(903) 757-6400
(903) 757-2323 (fax)
jw@wsfirm.com
Claire@wsfirm.com

***ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
CYPRESS LAKE SOFTWARE, INC.***