

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

Motile Optics, LLC

Plaintiff,

V.

SAVV Entertainment Systems, Inc.

Defendant.

[illegible]

Case No. 6:15-cv-1118

JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Motile Optics, LLC, (“Plaintiff” or “Motile”), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against Defendant SAVV Entertainment Systems, Inc., (“Defendant”) makes the following allegations. These allegations are made upon information and belief.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action against Defendant for infringement of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,047,223 (“the ‘223 Patent”).

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Motile Optics, LLC is a Texas limited liability company with its principal office located in Texas, at 719 W. Front Street, Suite 211, Tyler, Texas 75702.

3. Defendant SAVV Entertainment Systems, Inc., is a Corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of California and has an office and principal place of business at 14741 Carmenita Road, Norwalk, CA 90650.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This patent infringement action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et seq.

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § § 1331 and 1338(a) because it arises under United States Patent law.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because they (either directly or through their subsidiaries, divisions, groups or distributors) have sufficient minimum contacts with the forum as a result of business conducted within the State of Texas and this district; and/or specifically over the Defendant (either directly or through their subsidiaries, divisions, groups or distributors) because of their infringing conduct within or directed at the State of Texas and this district. At a minimum, upon information and belief, Defendant have offered for sale, both over the internet and/or at various retail stores such as Best Buy and WalMart in this district, consumer electronic products that infringe on one or more claims of the '223 Patent.

7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is deemed to reside in this district. In addition, at a minimum, Defendant is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction in that the acts and transactions including the sale of consumer electronic products, which incorporate the technology covered by the patents identified herein through the State of Texas and this district.

FACTS

8. Plaintiff is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 6,047,223 ("the '223 Patent"), entitled "Electronic computer having a magneto-optic unit," which was duly and legally issued on April 4, 2000, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

9. A copy of the '223 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

10. The claims of the '223 Patent are valid and enforceable.

**COUNT I: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 ('223 PATENT)**

11. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 10 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

12. Defendant makes, has made, sells, offers for sale, use and/or imports into the United States consumer electronic products, namely digital disc playback devices, including without limitation the products having the following model numbers: LM-98D, LM-78D, LM-T9070DVH, LM-T7070DVH - Headrest DVD Players; LOH-T1080DVH, LOH-U1210DVD, LOH-U1010DVD - Overhead Systems; AVH-7020 In-Dash Multimedia Player, AVH-8080 Multimedia Player (the "Accused product(s)").

13. Each Accused Product(s) is a consumer electronics device comprising a central processing unit (CPU), memory connected to the CPU for storing data and programs, and a compact disk (CD) reading unit connected to the CPU for reading data pre-recorded on a removable disk of different types (e.g. CD, DVD, Blu-Ray, etc.).

14. Each of Defendant's Accused Product infringes on at least Claim 1 of the '223 patent.

15. Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendant's ongoing infringement of the '223 patent.

16. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '223 Patent, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined, including but not limited to Plaintiff's lost profits and/or a reasonable royalty.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendant as follows:

A. In favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '223 Patent;

B. Requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '223 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, but not less than a reasonable royalty; and

C. For such other and further relief as may be just and equitable.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues and causes of action triable to a jury.

DATED: November 30, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel C. Cotman

Daniel C. Cotman

CA Bar No. 218315

dan@cotmanip.com

Rasheed M. McWilliams

CA Bar No. 281832

rasheed@cotmanip.com

Cotman IP Law Group, PLC

35 Hugus Alley, Suite 210

Pasadena, CA 91103

(626) 405-1413/FAX (626) 316-7577