UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ENDEAVOR MESHTECH, INC.,	
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO
V	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
V.	JUNI IRIAL DEMANDED
S&C ELECTRIC COMPANY	
Defendant.	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Endeavor MeshTech, Inc. (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or "Endeavor"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against S&C Electric Company (hereinafter, "Defendant" or "S&C") as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent Nos. 7,379,981 (hereinafter, the "'981 Patent"), 8,700,749 (hereinafter, the "'749 Patent"), and 8,855,019 (hereinafter, the "'019 Patent") (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"), copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits A, B and C, respectively. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PARTIES

2. Endeavor is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and maintains its principal place of business at 3140 Broadway, 46th Floor, New York, New York, 10005.

Case: 1:15-cv-10772 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/15 Page 2 of 12 PageID #:2

Based upon public information, Defendant is a corporation duly organized and

existing under the laws of the Illinois and has its principal place of business (corporate

headquarters) located at 6601 North Ridge Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60626-3997 (Cook

County). Defendant may be served in Chicago, Illinois at its corporate headquarters (Cook

County).

3.

4. Based upon public information, Defendant ships, distributes, makes, uses, offers

for sale, sells, and/or advertises it products under the S&C Grid Connectivity Solutions,

Intellicom®, SpeedNet®, IntelliCom View Pro®, and IntelliTeam® CNMS product lines,

including the Intellicom® Mesh Radios, SpeedNet® Radios, SpeedNet® ME Mesh End-Point

Radios, SpeedNet® SDR Software Defined Radios, IntelliCom® View Pro Network

Management Software, and IntelliTeam® CNMS Communication Network Management

System. See Exhibits D-I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et

seq., including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter

jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: Defendant has

minimum contacts within the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois; Defendant

has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Illinois and

in the Northern District of Illinois; Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of

the State of Illinois; Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of Illinois and within

the Northern District of Illinois, and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendant's

Case: 1:15-cv-10772 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/15 Page 3 of 12 PageID #:3

business contacts and other activities in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of

Illinois.

7. More specifically, Defendant, directly and/or through its intermediaries, ships,

distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises its products and

affiliated services in the United States, the State of Illinois, and the Northern District of Illinois.

Based upon public information, Defendant has committed patent infringement in the State of

Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois. Defendant solicits customers in the State of

Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois. Defendant has many paying customers who are

residents of the State of Illinois and the Northern District of Illinois and who use Defendant's

products in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois.

8. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Illinois pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391

and 1400(b).

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

9. The Patents-in-Suit were duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and

Trademark Office on May 27, 2008 (the '981 Patent), April 15, 2014 (the '749 Patent), and

October 7, 2014 (the '019 Patent) after full and fair examinations. Plaintiff is the owner of the

Patents-in-Suit, and possesses all right, title and interest in the Patents-in-Suit including the right

to enforce the Patents-in-Suit, the right to license the Patents-in-Suit, and the right to sue

Defendant for infringement and recover past damages.

10. Based upon public information, Defendant owns, operates, advertises, and/or

controls the website http://www.sandc.com, through which Defendant advertises, sells, offers to

sell, provides and/or educates customers about its products and services, including but not

limited to the following products and related services (the "Accused Product and Services"):

N.D.III.: Endeavor MeshTech v. S&C Electric Company PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Case: 1:15-cv-10772 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/15 Page 4 of 12 PageID #:4

S&C Grid Connectivity Solutions, Intellicom®, SpeedNet®, IntelliCom View Pro®, and

IntelliTeam® CNMS product lines, including the Intellicom® Mesh Radios, SpeedNet® Radios,

SpeedNet® ME Mesh End-Point Radios, SpeedNet® SDR Software Defined Radios,

IntelliCom® View Pro Network Management Software, and IntelliTeam® CNMS

Communication Network Management System See Exhibits D-I.

<u>COUNT I</u> INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,379,981

11. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-10 above.

12. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has infringed and continues to

infringe the '981 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, through the

manufacture and sale of infringing products under the S&C Grid Connectivity Solutions,

Intellicom®, SpeedNet®, IntelliCom View Pro®, and IntelliTeam® CNMS product lines,

including the Intellicom® Mesh Radios, SpeedNet® Radios, SpeedNet® ME Mesh End-Point

Radios, SpeedNet® SDR Software Defined Radios (also referred to as "S&C Nodes")

IntelliCom® View Pro Network Management Software, and IntelliTeam® CNMS

Communication Network Management System (as used in combination with S&C Nodes and

backhaul, employing an "S&C Gateway"). Based upon public information, Defendant has

infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '981 Patent because it ships

distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises devices that form a self-

configuring wireless network that incorporates a group of virtual network nodes (S&C Nodes)

coupled to a gateway (S&C Gateway) to provide a communication access point between the

nodes and an external network, including at least the Accused Products and Services. For

example, the Accused Products and Services infringe one or more of the claims of the '981

Patent by providing to Defendant's customers its wireless modules mesh network that "is self-

Case: 1:15-cv-10772 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/15 Page 5 of 12 PageID #:5

forming and self-healing, ensuring rapid deployment and dependable operation anywhere." See

Exhibit J, at p. 5; see also, e.g., Exhibits D-L. Defendant's Accused Products and Services are

available for sale on its website and through various retailers located in this district and

throughout the United States. See, e.g., Exhibits D-L.

13. Based upon public information, Defendant has intentionally induced and

continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '981 Patent in this district and

elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have, among other things,

successfully encouraged, instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use

the Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '981

Patent as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant, based

upon public information, continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its

customers to use its products and services, in a manner which infringes the '981 Patent. Based

upon public information, Defendant's source of revenue and business focus is the provision of

and sale of the Accused Products and Services. Based upon public information, Defendant has

specifically intended its customers to use its products and services in such a way that infringes

the '981 Patent by, at a minimum, providing and supporting the Accused Products and Services

and instructing its customers on how to use them in an infringing manner, at least through

information available on Defendant's website including information brochures, promotional

material, and contact information. See e.g. Exhibits D-L. Specifically, Defendant offers design

services to select, deploy and integrate Defendant's products to assist its customers in

establishing and using mesh systems. See e.g. Exhibits D-L. Based upon public information,

Defendant knew that its actions, including, but not limited to any of the aforementioned products

and services, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its

Case: 1:15-cv-10772 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/15 Page 6 of 12 PageID #:6

customers by continuing to sell, support, and instruct its customers on using the Accused

Products and Services.

14. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from

Plaintiff.

15. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff

as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law,

cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court

under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

16. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '981 Patent will continue

to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is no adequate remedy

at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,700,749

17. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-10 above.

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has infringed and continues to

infringe the '749 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, through the

manufacture and sale of infringing products under the S&C Grid Connectivity Solutions,

Intellicom®, SpeedNet®, IntelliCom View Pro®, and IntelliTeam® CNMS product lines,

including the Intellicom® Mesh Radios, SpeedNet® Radios, SpeedNet® ME Mesh End-Point

Radios, SpeedNet® SDR Software Defined Radios (also referred to as "S&C Nodes")

IntelliCom® View Pro Network Management Software, and IntelliTeam® CNMS

Communication Network Management System (as used in combination with S&C Nodes and

backhaul, employing an "S&C Gateway"). Based upon public information, Defendant has

infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '749 Patent because it ships

N.D.Ill.: Endeavor MeshTech v. S&C Electric Company PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Case: 1:15-cv-10772 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/15 Page 7 of 12 PageID #:7

distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises devices that form a self-

configuring wireless network that incorporates a group of virtual network nodes (S&C Nodes)

coupled to a gateway (S&C Gateway) to provide a communication access point between the

nodes and an external network, including at least the Accused Products and Services. For

example, the Accused Products and Services infringe one or more of the claims of the '749

Patent by providing to Defendant's customers its wireless modules mesh network that "is self-

forming and self-healing, ensuring rapid deployment and dependable operation anywhere." See

Exhibit J, at p. 5; see also, e.g., Exhibits D-L. Defendant's Accused Products and Services are

available for sale on its website and through various retailers located in this district and

throughout the United States. See, e.g., Exhibits D-L.

19. Based upon public information, Defendant has intentionally induced and

continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '749 Patent in this district and

elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have, among other things,

successfully encouraged, instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use

the Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '749

Patent as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant, based

upon public information, continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its

customers to use its products and services, in a manner which infringes the '749 Patent. Based

upon public information, Defendant's source of revenue and business focus is the provision of

and sale of the Accused Products and Services. Based upon public information, Defendant has

specifically intended its customers to use its products and services in such a way that infringes

the '749 Patent by, at a minimum, providing and supporting the Accused Products and Services

and instructing its customers on how to use them in an infringing manner, at least through

information available on Defendant's website including information brochures, promotional

material, and contact information. See e.g. Exhibits D-L. Specifically, Defendant offers design

services to select, deploy and integrate Defendant's products to assist its customers in

establishing and using mesh systems. See e.g. Exhibits D-L. Based upon public information,

Defendant knew that its actions, including, but not limited to any of the aforementioned products

and services, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its

customers by continuing to sell, support, and instruct its customers on using the Accused

Products and Services.

20. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from

Plaintiff.

21. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff

as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law,

cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court

under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

22. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '749 Patent will continue

to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is no adequate remedy

at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT III **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,855,019**

23. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-10 above.

24. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has infringed and continues to

infringe the '019 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, through the

manufacture and sale of infringing products under the S&C Grid Connectivity Solutions,

Intellicom®, SpeedNet®, IntelliCom View Pro®, and IntelliTeam® CNMS product lines,

N.D.Ill.: *Endeavor MeshTech v. S&C Electric Company* PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

including the Intellicom® Mesh Radios, SpeedNet® Radios, SpeedNet® ME Mesh End-Point

Radios, SpeedNet® SDR Software Defined Radios (also referred to as "S&C Nodes")

IntelliCom® View Pro Network Management Software, and IntelliTeam® CNMS

Communication Network Management System (as used in combination with S&C Nodes and

backhaul, employing an "S&C Gateway"). Based upon public information, Defendant has

infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '019 Patent because it ships

distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises devices that form a self-

configuring wireless network that incorporates a group of virtual network nodes (S&C Nodes)

coupled to a gateway (S&C Gateway) to provide a communication access point between the

nodes and an external network, including at least the Accused Products and Services. For

example, the Accused Products and Services infringe one or more of the claims of the '019

Patent by providing to Defendant's customers its wireless modules mesh network that "is self-

forming and self-healing, ensuring rapid deployment and dependable operation anywhere." See

Exhibit J, at p. 5; see also, e.g., Exhibits D-L. Defendant's Accused Products and Services are

available for sale on its website and through various retailers located in this district and

throughout the United States. See, e.g., Exhibits D-L.

25. Based upon public information, Defendant has intentionally induced and

continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '019 Patent in this district and

elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other

things, encouraged, instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the

Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '019 Patent

as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant, based upon

public information, continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to

N.D.Ill.: Endeavor MeshTech v. S&C Electric Company PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

use its products and services, in a manner which infringes the '019 Patent. Based upon public

information, Defendant's source of revenue and business focus is the provision of and sale of the

Accused Products and Services. Based upon public information, Defendant has specifically

intended its customers to use its products and services in such a way that infringes the '019

Patent by, at a minimum, providing and supporting the Accused Products and Services and

instructing its customers on how to use them in an infringing manner, at least through

information available on Defendant's website including information brochures, promotional

material, and contact information. See, e.g., Exhibits D-L. Specifically, Defendant offers design

services to select, deploy and integrate Defendant's products to assist its customers in

establishing and using mesh systems. See, e.g., Exhibits D-L. Based upon public information,

Defendant knew that its actions, including, but not limited to any of the aforementioned products

and services, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its

customers by continuing to sell, support, and instruct its customers on using the Accused

Products and Services.

26. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from

Plaintiff.

27. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff

as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law,

cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court

under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

28. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '019 Patent will continue

to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is no adequate remedy

at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

N.D.Ill.: Endeavor MeshTech v. S&C Electric Company PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

JURY DEMAND

29. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

30. Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit has been

infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the

Defendant;

B. An adjudication that Defendant has induced infringement of one or more

claims of the Patents-in-Suit;

C. An award of damages to be paid by Defendant adequate to compensate

Plaintiff for Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future

infringement up until the date such judgment is entered, including interest,

costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary

to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an

accounting of all infringing sales including, but not limited to, those sales not

presented at trial;

D. A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining the

Defendant and its respective officers, agents, servants, employees, and

attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who

receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from

further acts of infringement with respect to any one or more of the claims of

the Patents-in-Suit;

- E. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and,
- F. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 1, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

/s/Mark R. Miller

Mark R. Miller, Esq.

WEXLER WALLACE LLP

55 West Monroe, Suite 3300

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: (312) 346-2222 Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Email: MRM@wexlerwallace.com

Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff

Jacqueline K. Burt (Bar No. 425322, GA) Jonathan R. Miller (Bar No. 507179, GA) James F. McDonough, III (Bar No. 117088, GA) **HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC**

COLLEGE GARRISON DAVIS, I

3621 Vinings Slope, Suite 4320

Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Telephone: (404) 996-0861, 0869, 0863 Facsimile: (205) 547-5502, 5504, 5506

Email: jburt@hgdlawfirm.com

Email: jmcdonough@hgdlawfirm.com Email: jmiller@hgdlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Endeavor MeshTech. Inc.