017-021-2

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

SALMON LICENSING, LLC,	
Plaintiff,	
	C.A. No
V.	TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED
MAXIMUM SIGNAL LLC,	TRIAL DI JUNI DEMANDED
Defendant.	

## **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Salmon Licensing, LLC (õSalmon Licensingö), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against Maximum Signal LLC (õMaximum Signalö and/or õDefendantö), alleges as follows:

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271.

## THE PARTIES

- 2. Salmon Licensing is a Delaware limited liability company whose place of business is located at 320 Wilmette Avenue, Glenview, IL 60025.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant is a New York limited liability company with its principal place of business at 3843 Union Rd., Ste. 15, #139 Cheektowaga, New York, 14225.
- 4. Defendant is in the business of making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing repeater systems, such as, for example, consumer signal boosters.
  - 5. On April 6, 2011, the Federal Communications Commission (õFCCö) issued a

Notice of Proposed Rule Making (õNPRMö) with the following title and citation: Amendment of Parts 1, 2, 22, 24, 27, 90 and 95 of the Commission Rules to Improve Wireless Coverage Through the Use of Signal Boosters, *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 26 FCC Rcd 5490, 5527, ¶ 106 (2011).

- 6. After receiving comments and proposals, such as the Joint Proposal and Consolidated Proposal, from numerous and various stakeholders, the Federal Communications Commission (õFCCö) issued a Report and Order that implemented new requirements for consumer signal boosters on February 20, 2013.
- 7. In the February 20, 2013 Report and Order, the FCC found othat the public interest would be served by requiring all Consumer Signal Boosters to comply with a Network Protection Standard.ö Report and Order at p. 23. As stated in the Report and Order, other Network Protection Standard, all Consumer Signal Booster must: (1) comply with existing technical parameters for the applicable spectrum band of operation; (2) automatically self-monitor certain operations and shut down if not in compliance with our new technical rules; (3) automatically detect and mitigate oscillations in the uplink and downlink bands; (4) power down or shut down automatically when a device is not needed, such as when the device approaches the base station with which it is communicating; (5) be designed so that these features cannot be easily defeated; and (6) incorporate interference avoidance for wireless subsystems.ö *Id*.
- 8. The final rules adopted in the Report and Order were published in the Federal Register on April 11, 2013, see 78 FR 21559, and became effective on May 13, 2013, except for amendments to §§ 1.1307(b)(1), 20.3, 20.21(a)(2), 20.21(a)(5), 20.21(e)(2), 20.21(e)(8)(i)(G), 20.21(e)(9)(i)(H), 20.21(f), 20.21(h), 22.9, 24.9, 27.9, 90.203(q),

90.219(b)(1)(i), 90.219(d)(5), and 37 47 C.F.R. § 90.219(e)(5), which contain information collection requirements that are not effective until approved by the Office of Management and Budget (``OMB").

- 9. The rules in the Report and Order required that by March 1, 2014, the sale and marketing deadline in Section 20.21(g), all Consumer Signal Boosters marketed, distributed or sold in the United States must comply with Section 20.21 of the Commission® rules.
- 10. Following the release of the Report and Order, the Telecommunications Certification Body Council began developing laboratory test procedures in order to test signal boosters for compliance with the newly adopted Section 20.21 requirements.
- 11. On February 11, 2014, the FCC

  wireless Telecommunications Bureau waived the March 1, 2014, sale and marketing deadline in Section 20.21(g) and extended it for 60 days, until April 30, 2014, the deadline by which all Consumer Signal Boosters marketed, distributed or sold in the United States must comply with Section 20.21 of the Commission

  rules.
- 12. The FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau has granted no other extensions for by which all Consumer Signal Boosters marketed, distributed or sold in the United States must comply with Section 20.21 of the Commission of rules.
- 13. Accordingly, at the time of the filing of this complaint, all Consumer Signal Boosters, including Defendantøs, marketed, distributed or sold in the United States must comply with Section 20.21.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35

U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seg*.

15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction, as well as because of the injury to Salmon Licensing and the cause of action Salmon Licensing has raised, as alleged herein.

16. Jeffrey W. Salmon (õMr. Salmonö) is the sole member and manager of Salmon Licensing, as well as the sole member and manager of the former owner of the Asserted Patent, Wildcat Licensing LLC. Both Salmon Licensing and Wildcat Licensing LLC are run out of Mr. Salmonøs office in Glenview, IL. Wildcat Licensing LLC is an Illinois LLC. Mr. Salmon conducted all of the negotiations regarding the patent in suit with its former owner KT Corporation from his office in Glenview.

17. Defendant is subject to this Court specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Illinois Long-Arm Statute, due to at least its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringement alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Illinois.

18. Defendant has conducted and does conduct business within the state of Illinois, directly or through intermediaries, resellers, agents, or offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises products in Illinois that infringe the Asserted Patent (as defined below).

19. In addition to Defendant continuously and systematically conducting business in Illinois, the causes of action against Defendant are connected (but not limited) to Defendant purposeful acts committed in the state of Illinois, including Defendant making, using, importing, offering for sale, or selling products which include features that

fall within the scope of at least one claim of the Asserted Patent.

20. Venue lies in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, and has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this District. For example, Defendant has used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported infringing products in this District.

## THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

- 21. There is one patent at issue in this action: United States Patent No. 6,748,194 (the õøl 94 Patentö or the õAsserted Patentö). A copy of the øl 94 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 22. On June 8, 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (ŏUSPTOö) duly and legally issued the ø194 Patent, entitled ŏRepeater system having oscillation preventing function and automatic reverse output disabling function for non-subscriber and control method thereofö after a full and fair examination.
  - 23. The Øl 94 Patent contains two independent claims and four dependent claims.
- 24. Salmon Licensing presently is the owner of the Øl94 Patent and possesses all right, title and interest in and to the Øl94 Patent.
- 25. Salmon Licensing owns all rights of recovery under the Ø194 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past infringement.
- 26. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, the Ø194 Patent is presumed valid and enforceable. Defendant commercializes, *inter alia*, devices which include all of the elements recited in one or more claims of the Ø194 Patent.

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE ACCUSED INSTRUMENTALITIES**

- 27. Defendantøs Infringing Products include but are not limited to: õH Max Amp Mobile RV Kit.ö
- 28. Defendantøs infringing products, when used, receive data from an external base station transceiver system, amplify the data, and transmit the data to a mobile device after converting the amplified data to a service frequency, as well as, transmit data received from a mobile device to the base station transceiver system, but only when the output signal of the receiver is not above a first reference value during a first time or not below a second reference value during a second time (hereinafter, õInfringing Productsö).
- 29. Each of Defendant in Infringing Products contains components that perform at least the actions as described in paragraph 28.

## COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '194 PATENT

- 30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-29.
- 31. Defendant directly infringes at least claim 1 of the øl 94 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing the Infringing Products.
- 32. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe the Ø194 Patent by actively inducing its customers, users, and/or licensees to directly infringe by using the Infringing Products.
- 33. Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the Ø194 Patent at least as of the service of the present complaint.
- 34. Accordingly, at least as of service of the present complaint, Defendant has engaged or will have engaged in inducing its customers to directly infringe the Øl94 Patent at least by using the Infringing Products with knowledge that its customersø acts constitute

direct infringement. For example, at least as of service of the present complaint, Defendant knows that its customersø use of the Infringing Products is facilitated by the system described in the øl 94 Patent.

- 35. Furthermore, through its website, Defendant sells, offers to sell and advertises the Infringing Products, in this District and elsewhere in the United States, specifically intending that its customers use the Infringing Products.
- 36. Moreover, Defendant website provides information related to the features and benefits of the Infringing Products, thus encouraging its customers to use the Infringing Products.
- 37. In addition, Defendant induces infringement through its technical support services by instructing its customers to use the Infringing Products.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant indirect infringement by inducement of the Ø194 Patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.
- 39. Defendant has contributorily infringed and continues to contributorily infringe the Ø194 Patent by selling and/or offering to sell the Infringing Products, whose infringing features are not a staple article of commerce and when used by a third-party, such as a customer, can only be used in a way that infringes the Ø194 Patent.
- 40. At least as of service of the complaint, Defendant has sold or offered to sell or will have sold or offered to sell the Infringing Products with knowledge of the Ø194 Patent and knowledge that the Infringing Products constitute a material part of the invention claimed in the Ø194 Patent, such that their use by third-parties, such as a customer, constitute infringement of the Ø194 Patent.
  - 41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant contributory infringement of the

Ø194 Patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

- 42. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured Salmon Licensing and is thus liable for infringement of the øl 94 Patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 43. As a result of Defendant infringement of the Ø194 Patent, Salmon Licensing has suffered harm and monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant past infringement, together with interests and costs.
- 44. Salmon Licensing will continue to suffer harm and damages in the future unless Defendant is infringing activities are enjoined by this Court. As such, Salmon Licensing is entitled to compensation for any continuing or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

45. Salmon Licensing demands a trial by jury of any and all causes of action.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Salmon Licensing respectfully prays for the following relief:

- 1. That Defendant be adjudged to have infringed the Asserted Patent;
- 2. That Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, affiliates, divisions, branches, parents, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and enjoined from directly and/or indirectly infringing the Asserted Patent;
- 3. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 sufficient to compensate Salmon Licensing for Defendant past infringement and any continuing and/or

future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently

enjoined from further infringement, including compensatory damages;

4. An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interests and costs against

Defendant, together with an award of such interests and costs, in accordance with

35 U.S.C. § 284;

5. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including Salmon

Licensing of attorneys fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to

35 U.S.C. § 285; and

6. That Salmon Licensing be given such other and further relief as this Court may

deem just and proper.

Dated: November 30, 2015

By: <u>/s/ Frank Andreou</u> (6228760)

Andreou & Casson, Ltd. 661 West Lake Street

Suite 2 North

Chicago, Illinois 60661-1034

Tel (312) 935-2000

Fax (312) 935-2001

*Of Counsel:* 

Eugenio J. Torres-Oyola

Ferraiuoli LLC

221 Plaza, 5th Floor

221 Ponce de León Avenue

San Juan, PR 00917

Telephone: (787) 766-7000

Facsimile: (787) 766-7001

Email: <a href="mailto:etorres@ferraiuoli.com">etorres@ferraiuoli.com</a>

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF SALMON LICENSING DE, LLC

9