IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

TROVER GROUP, INC.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-cv-2088
	§	JURY DEMAND
SPECO TECHNOLOGIES	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "Trover") files its Complaint for patent infringement. Plaintiff asserts claims for patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,751,345 (the "'345 Patent") and/or 5,751,346 (the "'346 Patent"), copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B" against Defendant Speco Technologies ("Defendant" or "Speco") under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq*. In support thereof, Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. would respectfully show the Court the following:

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. ("Trover") is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located at 101 East Park Blvd., Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75074. Trover was formerly known as Dozier Financial Corporation.
- 3. Defendant Speco Technologies ("Speco") has its principal place of business located at 200 New Highway, Amityville, NY 11701. Speco does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. Speco does not maintain a registered agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, Speco may be served through the Texas Secretary of State under the Texas Long Arm Statute. Alternatively, Speco may be served through any other means permitted by law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Speco. Speco conducts business within the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas. Speco directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others) ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its products in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Speco has purposefully and voluntarily placed infringing products in the stream of commerce with the expectation that its products will be purchased by end users in the Eastern District of Texas. Speco has committed the tort of patent infringement within the State of Texas and this District.
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400.
- 7. Trover has enforced its rights under the '345 and '346 Patents against numerous infringers over the last several years. Companies against whom Trover has enforced its patent include: Diebold Corporation, Verint Systems, Inc., Tyco Integrated Security, LLC; Sensormatic, LLC; ADT, LLC; March Networks, Inc., 3VR Security, Inc., Vicon Industries, Inc., i3 International, Inc., Dedicated Micros USA, Kaltec Electronics, Inc. d/b/a Digital Watchdog, Pelco, Inc., Panasonic Corporation of North America, USA Vision Systems, Inc., FireKing Security Group, Say Security Group, USA, LLC, HikVision USA, Inc., Hunt Electronics USA, Inc., AXIS Communications, Inc., T.S. MicroTech, Inc., Unix CCTV Corp, Vitek Industrial Video Products,

Inc., Watchnet, Inc., Avant Technologies, Aver Information, Inc., Milestone Systems, Inc., Observint Technologies, Inc., Samsung Techwin America and Telexper Incorporated.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 8. On May 12, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") issued the '345 and '346 Patents, entitled "Image Retention and Information Security System," after a full and fair examination. The '345 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store and retrieve images and related transaction data by the use of computer equipment and digital storage. The '346 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store images based on the detection of changes in the pixilation between images.
- 9. The '345 Patent includes three independent claims and six dependent claims. The '346 Patent contains five independent and two dependent claims.
- 10. The '345 and '346 Patents were originally assigned to Dozier Financial Corporation. Dozier Financial Corporation later changed its name to Trover Group, Inc. Plaintiff Trover is the successor-in-interest to Dozier Financial Corporation and is the assignee of all rights, title and interest in and to the '345 and '346 Patents and possesses all rights of recovery under the '345 and '346 Patents.

Infringement of the '345 and '346 Patents

11. Speco manufactures and/or sells to customers within the United States numerous video recording devices, including digital video recorders ("DVRs") and digital cameras that infringe the '345 and/or '346 Patents, including but not limited to the following (collectively "Accused Products"):

Dagandans	D4M500	DoDG	Comanas
Recorders	D4M500	D8DS	Cameras
DOUT	D8LS DVR4HD	D4VS	LITEO 41T
D8VT D16VT	DVK4HD D16CS	D8VS D16VS	HT5941T HT6041T
D16WVT	D16LS	N16NSF	HT7040T
D16WVTM	D16RS	N16NS	HT7041T
D4VT	D16WRS	N16NSP	HT7246T
D4WVT	D4CS	N16WNS	HTINT591T
D4WVTM	D4RS	N16WNSM	HTINT601T
D4WVTP	D4WRS	N16WNSP	HTINT701T
D4WVTPM	D4WRSP	N4NS	HTINT70T
D8WVT	D8CS	N4NSL	HT5940T
D8WVTM	D8RS	N4NSP	
D8WVTP	D8WRS	N4WNS	
D8WVTPM	DVR82HD	N4WNSM	
D12LX	D24GS	N4WNSP	
D8HS	D24PS	N4WNSPM	
D16CX	DVR16PCT	N8NS	
D16DS	D32GS	N8NSL	
D16HS	D32PS	N8NSP	
D16WDS	D4WCS	N8WNS	
D16WDSM	D8WCS	N8WNSM	
D16WHS	D16WCS	N8WNSP	
D16WHSM	D4WRSM	N8WNSPM	
D16WVS	D8WRSM	NVRP4	
D16WVSM	D16WRSM	NVRWP4	
D20LX	D4WRSPM	NVRWP4P	
D4CX	D4WCSP	ZIPL4B1	
D4WVS	D8WCSP	ZIPL4D1	
D4WVSM	D8WRSP	ZIPL84B2	
D4WVSP	D8WRSPM	ZIPL84D2	
D4WVSPM	D4WCSM	ZIPL88B2	
D8CX	D8WCSM	ZIPL88D2	
D8WDS	D16WCSM	ZIPL8BD2	
D8WDSM	DVRWM		
D8WVS	D4WCSPM		
D8WVSM	D8WCSPM		
D8WVSP	TH DVR		
D8WVSPM	TL DVR		
	— — · - ·		
			I

12. The Accused Products allow images to be compressed in various digital formats.

The Accused Products also allow images to be captured along with transaction data, such that the images and the transaction data can be stored and later retrieved for examination. The Accused

Products also include motion detection functionality that compares two digitized images to measure the extent of change from the first image to the second. If the extent of change is greater than a reference value, then the second image is saved. Later the saved images can be retrieved for examination.

Products in the United States. For example, Speco routinely participates in industry trade shows such as the ISC West trade show held every year in Las Vegas, Nevada. At this trade show, Speco has displayed one or more of the Accused Products and has provided demonstrations of the various functions and features of those products, including the motion detection feature. In addition, Speco provides demonstrations of many of its products over its internet website, through which Speco uses one or more of the Accused Products to teach and demonstrate features such as motion detection. Upon information and belief, Speco routinely tests the Accused Products in the United States to verify that the products operate as they are designed and intended.

Speco's Knowledge of the '345 and '346 Patents

14. The commercial embodiments of the '345 and '346 Patents, namely the IRIS DVS, IRIS Total Vision, and EyzOn Camera products were consistently marked with the patent numbers for the '345 and '346 Patents since each of those products were first introduced. The IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products have been publicly displayed at numerous industry trade shows and conventions held at various locations through the years. Further, the EyzOn Camera, together with the IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products, were publicly displayed at the 2013 ISC West trade show and convention in Las Vegas in May of 2013. Speco was also an exhibitor at that same trade show. Upon information and belief, employees or representatives from Speco visited the

booth where the IRIS and EyzOn products, and a copy of the '345 and '346 Patents were being displayed.

15. The '345 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to at least 13 patent applications considered by the PTO. More significantly, the '346 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to 68 patent applications.

COUNT ONE: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '345 PATENT

- 16. Trover realleges paragraphs 1 through 15 herein.
- 17. Defendant Speco has infringed the '345 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, systems, products and devices, and/or by undertaking processes and methods embodying the patented inventions without authority. By way of example, and without limitation, Speco manufactures and/or sells within the United States the Accused Products identified above. By manufacturing and selling these products, Speco has directly infringed one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States and instructing those customers to use these products such that those customers would directly infringe one or more claims of the '345 Patent, Speco has actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly induced or contributed to the infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent, either directly or through the doctrine of equivalents.
 - 18. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that did not infringe the '345 Patent.

COUNT TWO: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '346 PATENT

- 19. Trover realleges paragraphs 1 through 18 herein.
- 20. By testing and demonstrating the operations and functionalities of the Accused Products in the United States, including but not limited to the motion detection feature or functionality, Speco has directly infringed at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States, and instructing those customers to use these products such that those customers would directly infringe at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent, Speco has actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly induced or contributed to the infringement of at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent by others, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents.
 - 21. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that did not infringe the '346 Patent.

MISCELLANEOUS

- 22. Trover has satisfied all conditions precedent to filing this action, or any such conditions that have not been satisfied have been waived.
- 23. Through this pleading, Trover has not elected any one remedy to which they may be entitled, separately or collectively, over any other remedy.

RELIEF

Plaintiff Trover respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. That the Court award damages to Plaintiff Trover to which it is entitled;
- B. That the Court award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on such damages at the highest rates allowed by law;
- C. That the Court award such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as the Court deems just and proper.

A JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED BY PLAINTIFF TROVER GROUP, INC.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Steven N. Williams</u>
Steven N. Williams

swilliams@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas Bar No. 21577625

Kenneth P. Kula

kkula@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24004749

William Z. Duffy

zduffy@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24059697

Brian P. Herrmann

bherrmann@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24083174

McDOLE WILLIAMS

A Professional Corporation

1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2750

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 979-1122 - Telephone

(214) 979-1123 – Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF