

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

TROVER GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NUVICO, INC.

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-cv-2087
JURY DEMAND

PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. (“Plaintiff” or “Trover”) files its Complaint for patent infringement. Plaintiff asserts claims for patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,751,345 (the “345 Patent”) and/or 5,751,346 (the “346 Patent”), copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits “A” and “B” against Defendant Nuvico, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Nuvico”) under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.* In support thereof, Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. would respectfully show the Court the following:

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. (“Trover”) is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located at 101 East Park Blvd., Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75074. Trover was formerly known as Dozier Financial Corporation.

3. Defendant Nuvico, Inc. (“Nuvico”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 53 Smith Street, Englewood, NJ 07631. Nuvico does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. Nuvico does not maintain a registered agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, Nuvico may be served through the Texas Secretary

of State under the Texas Long Arm Statute. Alternatively, Nuvico may be served through any other means permitted by law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Nuvico. Nuvico conducts business within the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas. Nuvico directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others) ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its products in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Nuvico has purposefully and voluntarily placed infringing products in the stream of commerce with the expectation that its products will be purchased by end users in the Eastern District of Texas. Nuvico has committed the tort of patent infringement within the State of Texas and this District.

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400.

7. Trover has enforced its rights under the '345 and '346 Patents against numerous infringers over the last several years. Companies against whom Trover has enforced its patent include: Diebold Corporation, Verint Systems, Inc., Tyco Integrated Security, LLC; Sensormatic, LLC; ADT, LLC; March Networks, Inc., 3VR Security, Inc., Vicon Industries, Inc., i3 International, Inc., Dedicated Micros USA, Kaltec Electronics, Inc. d/b/a Digital Watchdog, Pelco, Inc., Panasonic Corporation of North America, USA Vision Systems, Inc., FireKing Security Group, Say Security Group, USA, LLC, HikVision USA, Inc., Hunt Electronics USA, Inc., AXIS

Communications, Inc., T.S. MicroTech, Inc., Unix CCTV Corp, Vitek Industrial Video Products, Inc., Watchnet, Inc., Avant Technologies, Aver Information, Inc., Milestone Systems, Inc., Observint Technologies, Inc., Samsung Techwin America and Telexper Incorporated.Inc., Milestone Systems, Inc., Observint Technologies, Inc., and Telexper Incorporated.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

8. On May 12, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) issued the ’345 and ’346 Patents, entitled “Image Retention and Information Security System,” after a full and fair examination. The ’345 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store and retrieve images and related transaction data by the use of computer equipment and digital storage. The ’346 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store images based on the detection of changes in the pixilation between images.

9. The ’345 Patent includes three independent claims and six dependent claims. The ’346 Patent contains five independent and two dependent claims.

10. The ’345 and ’346 Patents were originally assigned to Dozier Financial Corporation. Dozier Financial Corporation later changed its name to Trover Group, Inc. Plaintiff Trover is the successor-in-interest to Dozier Financial Corporation and is the assignee of all rights, title and interest in and to the ’345 and ’346 Patents and possesses all rights of recovery under the ’345 and ’346 Patents.

Infringement of the ’345 and ’346 Patents

11. Nuvico manufactures and/or sells to customers within the United States numerous video recording devices, including digital video recorders (“DVRs”) and digital cameras that

infringe the '345 and/or '346 Patents, including but not limited to the following (collectively "Accused Products"):

<u>Cameras</u>		<u>Recorders</u>
	HC-B21	
	HC-MPOV12	
CT-1M-D3	HC-D3	ED-C400HD
CT-1M-E3	HC-D31	ED-C800HD
CT-1M-B3	HC-OV3	ED-C1600HD
CT-1M-D21	HC-OV31	ED-U1600HD
CT-1M-E21	HC-B31	HR-420
CT-1M-OV21	NC2-5M-OV31	HR-820
CT-1M-B21	NC2-5M-B31	HR-1620
CT-1M-B55	NC2-5M-E31	NP-P402
CT-2M-D3	NC-5M-OV3	DT-E410
CT-2M-B2	NC-5M-D21	DT-E810
CT-2M-E2	NC-5M-B21T-2MW-	DT-E1610
CT-2M-D21	OV21F	DT-P1640
CT-2M-OV21	NC-5M-B3	EN-P420
CT-2M-E21	EC-2M-D39N-ONV	EN-P820
CT-2M-B21	EC-2M-OV39N-ONV	ENU-3020
CT-2M-B55	EC-2M-B3N-ONV	EV2-410
CT-2M-D21AF	NC-2M-MPOV12	ED-P410
CT-2M-OV21F	CT-2M-E3	EV2-810
CT-2M-E21AF	CT-2M-B3	ED-C805
CT-2M-B21AF	EC-2M-D39N	ED-P810
CT-2MW-D21AF	EC-2M-OV39N	EV2-1610
CT-2MW-E21AF	EC-2M-B3N	ED-P1610
CT-2MW-B21AF	CT-2M-MP20FH	ED-U1620
		ED-U3220

12. The Accused Products allow images to be compressed in various digital formats.

The Accused Products also allow images to be captured along with transaction data, such that the images and the transaction data can be stored and later retrieved for examination. The Accused Products also include motion detection functionality that compares two digitized images to measure the extent of change from the first image to the second. If the extent of change is greater than a reference value, then the second image is saved. Later the saved images can be retrieved for examination.

13. Nuvico tests, demonstrates and provides training on how to operate the Accused Products in the United States. For example, Nuvico routinely participates in industry trade shows

such as the ISC West trade show held every year in Las Vegas, Nevada. At this trade show, Nuvico has displayed one or more of the Accused Products and has provided demonstrations of the various functions and features of those products, including the motion detection feature. Upon information and belief, Nuvico routinely tests the Accused Products in the United States to verify that the products operate as they are designed and intended.

Nuvico's Knowledge of the '345 and '346 Patents

14. The commercial embodiments of the '345 and '346 Patents, namely the IRIS DVS, IRIS Total Vision, and EyzOn Camera products were consistently marked with the patent numbers for the '345 and '346 Patents since each of those products were first introduced. The IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products have been publicly displayed at numerous industry trade shows and conventions held at various locations through the years. Further, the EyzOn Camera, together with the IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products, were publicly displayed at the 2013 ISC West trade show and convention in Las Vegas in May of 2013. Nuvico was also an exhibitor at that same trade show. Upon information and belief, employees or representatives from Nuvico visited the booth where the IRIS and EyzOn products, and a copy of the '345 and '346 Patents were being displayed.

15. The '345 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to at least 13 patent applications considered by the PTO. More significantly, the '346 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to 68 patent applications.

COUNT ONE: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '345 PATENT

16. Trover realleges paragraphs 1 through 15 herein.

17. Defendant Nuvico has infringed the '345 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, systems, products

and devices, and/or by undertaking processes and methods embodying the patented inventions without authority. By way of example, and without limitation, Nuvico manufactures and/or sells within the United States the Accused Products identified above. By manufacturing and selling these products, Nuvico has directly infringed one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States and instructing those customers to use these products such that those customers would directly infringe one or more claims of the '345 Patent, Nuvico has actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly induced or contributed to the infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent, either directly or through the doctrine of equivalents.

18. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that did not infringe the '345 Patent.

COUNT TWO: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '346 PATENT

19. Trover realleges paragraphs 1 through 18 herein.

20. By testing and demonstrating the operations and functionalities of the Accused Products in the United States, including but not limited to the motion detection feature or functionality, Nuvico has directly infringed at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States, and instructing those customers to use these products such that those customers would directly infringe at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent, Nuvico has actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly induced or contributed to the infringement of at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent by others, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents.

21. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that did not infringe the '346 Patent.

MISCELLANEOUS

22. Trover has satisfied all conditions precedent to filing this action, or any such conditions that have not been satisfied have been waived.

23. Through this pleading, Trover has not elected any one remedy to which they may be entitled, separately or collectively, over any other remedy.

RELIEF

Plaintiff Trover respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. That the Court award damages to Plaintiff Trover to which it is entitled;
- B. That the Court award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on such damages at the highest rates allowed by law;
- C. That the Court award such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as the Court deems just and proper.

A JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED BY PLAINTIFF TROVER GROUP, INC.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Steven N. Williams

Steven N. Williams

swilliams@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas Bar No. 21577625

Kenneth P. Kula

kkula@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24004749

William Z. Duffy

zduffy@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24059697

Brian P. Herrmann

bherrmann@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24083174

McDOLE WILLIAMS

A Professional Corporation

1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2750

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 979-1122 - Telephone

(214) 979-1123 – Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF