UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

FASTVDO LLC,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:15-cv-925-RWS-RSP
v. MICROSOFT MOBILE INC.,	Jury Trial Demanded
Defendant.	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

In this action for patent infringement, Plaintiff FastVDO LLC ("FastVDO") makes the following allegations against Microsoft Mobile Inc. ("Defendant"):

BACKGROUND

- 1. Data compression and decompression techniques are commonly applied in order to transmit large amounts of data, such as audio or image data, over channels with limited bandwidth or to store data in limited memory space. Compressed data, however, is highly susceptible to errors that can result in catastrophic effects on the reconstructed data, thereby necessitating the implementation of error protection techniques. Such error protection, however, decreases the efficiency of the data transmission and can result in delays.
- 2. In 1992, James Meany and Christopher Martens, while working in the aerospace and defense industry, began a project to develop a reduced bandwidth digital data link for use in man-in-the-loop missile control systems. This was part of a larger effort called the Advanced Terminal Guidance ("ATG") project.
- 3. Error correction and detection was essential to handle channel errors on the link in these highly sensitive and important aerospace and defense projects. Meany and Martens, in working to address error vulnerabilities, further developed an entirely new error resilient coding scheme that achieves improved error resilience while providing better coding efficiency than

previous coding methods. Consequently, their systems and methods included novel approaches using unequal error-protection coding and were successful in increasing overall throughput over an error-protected link.

4. In early 1995, Meany and Martens diligently began preparations to seek patent protection for their inventions, and filed a patent application with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") on April 17, 1996. On December 15, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,850,482 (the "'482 patent"), entitled "Error Resilient Method and Apparatus for Entropy Coding." Since then, the '482 patent has been cited in at least 127 other U.S. patents or patent applications.

THE PARTIES

- 5. FastVDO is a Florida limited liability corporation with a principal place of business at 3097 Cortona Drive, Melbourne, Florida 32940.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant Microsoft Mobile Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 1020 Enterprise Parkway, Sunnyvale, CA 90489.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including § 271.
 - 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant in this action because Defendant has committed acts within this District giving rise to this action and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Defendant, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling products that infringe the asserted patent, and inducing others to infringe the asserted patent.

10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, and Defendant has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this District.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,850,482

- 11. FastVDO incorporates by reference the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs, and further alleges as follows:
- 12. FastVDO is the owner by assignment of the '482 Patent, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to this Complaint.
- 13. The '482 patent relates to methods and apparatuses for compressing and decompressing data by entropy encoding and decoding. More particularly, the '482 patent provides, for example, improved error-resilient methods and apparatuses for encoding and decoding that utilize unequal error protection techniques. These techniques include, *inter alia*, generating a plurality of code words representative of respective portions of the data and providing error protection to at least one of the first portions of the plurality of code words while maintaining any error protection provided to one or more other portions of the data at a lower level than the error protection provided to the respective first portion.
- 14. Defendant, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, has infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '482 patent, in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by manufacturing, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing products that utilize, for example, unequal error-protection coding, including products that comply with Adaptive Multi-Rate ("AMR") and Adaptive Multi-Rate, Wide-Band ("AMR-WB") protocols, such as the Nokia Lumia mobile phone series, and any such reasonably similar products (collectively, the "Accused Devices"). Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.
- 15. Defendant also indirectly infringes the '482 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as manufacturers, resellers, and/or end-users of the Accused Devices, of one or more claims of the '482 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. On information and belief, Defendant

knew of the '482 Patent and knew of its infringement, including by way of this lawsuit. Defendant's affirmative acts in this District of, *inter alia*, selling the Accused Devices and causing the Accused Devices to be manufactured and distributed, and providing instruction manuals, user manuals, and advertising pamphlets for, and describing the operation of, the Accused Devices, have induced and continue to induce Defendant's manufacturers, resellers, and/or end-users to use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import the Accused Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '482 patent. Defendant specifically intended and was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '482 patent. Defendant performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '482 patent and with knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 16. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured FastVDO and is thus liable for infringement of one or more claims of the '482 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 17. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '482 patent, FastVDO has been damaged and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

FastVDO respectfully requests the following relief from this Court:

- A. A judgment in favor of FastVDO that Defendant has infringed the '482 patent;
- B. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay FastVDO its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '482 patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- C. A judgment and order finding that Defendant has willfully infringed the '482 patent and awarding FastVDO up to three times the amount of its actual damages for Defendant's willful infringement, as authorized by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to FastVDO its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant;
- E. Any and all other relief to which FastVDO may be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, FastVDO requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

DATED: August 22, 2015 Respectfully Submitted,

By: Charles Ainsworth

Charles Ainsworth
State Bar No. 00783521
Robert Christopher Bunt
State Bar No. 00787165
PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C. 100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114
Tyler, TX 75702
903/531-3535
903/533-9687
E-mail: charley@pbatyler.com
E-mail: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
Marc A. Fenster (CA SBN 181067)
Reza Mirzaie (CA SBN 246953)
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 826-7474
Facsimile: (310) 826-6991
mfenster@raklaw.com
rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff FastVDO LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). All other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by certified mail, return receipt requested, on this the 22^{nd} day of August, 2015.

/s/ Charles Ainsworth
CHARLES AINSWORTH