IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

Intellectual Ventures II LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	Case No.:
	§	
Kemper Corporation;	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Trinity Universal Insurance Company d/b/a	§	
Kemper Preferred;	§	
Unitrin County Mutual Insurance Company	§	
d/b/a Kemper Direct and Kemper Specialty;	§	
Charter Indemnity Company d/b/a Kemper	§	
Specialty,	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff files this Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against Kemper Corporation, Trinity Universal Insurance Company, Unitrin County Mutual Insurance Company, and Charter Indemnity Company ("Kemper" or "Defendants"); and alleges as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE SUIT

Defendants are part of the \$8 billion Kemper family of insurance companies. Defendants offer personalized solutions for individuals, families and businesses, and in doing so infringe two patents owned by Intellectual Ventures II LLC -- United States Patent Nos. 6,516,442 and 7,516,177. Despite warnings from Intellectual Ventures, Defendants continue to infringe Intellectual Venture's patents. Intellectual Ventures brings this action for patent infringement—arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code—to protect its valuable property interests and prevent further infringement by Defendants of its property rights.

II. THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff **Intellectual Ventures II LLC** ("Intellectual Ventures II") is a Delaware limited liability company having its principal place of business located at 3150 139th Ave. SE, Bellevue, Washington 98005.
- 3. Defendant **Kemper Corporation** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware and maintaining its principal place of business at One East Wacker Drive, Chicago Illinois 60601. Kemper Corporation does business in the State of Texas, including in the Eastern District of Texas, through the other named Defendants herein, and may be served through its registered agent The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.
- 4. Defendant **Trinity Universal Insurance Company**, d/b/a Kemper Preferred, is a Texas corporation having its principal place of business at 12790 Merit Drive, Suite 400, Dallas, Texas 75251. Trinity Universal Insurance Company does business in the State of Texas, including in the Eastern District of Texas, and can be served with process through its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- 5. Defendant **Unitrin County Mutual Insurance Company**, d/b/a Kemper Direct and Kemper Specialty, is a Texas corporation having its principal place of business at 8360 LBJ Freeway Suite 400, Dallas, Texas 75243. Unitrin County Mutual Insurance Company does business in the State of Texas, including in the Eastern District of Texas, and can be served with process through its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- 6. Defendant **Charter Indemnity Company**, d/b/a Kemper Specialty, is a Texas corporation having its principal place of business at 8360 LBJ Freeway Suite 400, Dallas, Texas

Case 6:16-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed 02/23/16 Page 3 of 15 PageID #: 3

75243. Charter Indemnity Company does business in the State of Texas, including in the Eastern

District of Texas, and can be served with process through its registered agent, C T Corporation

System, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

7. Relief is sought against Defendants jointly, severally, or in the alternative with

respect to Defendants' acts arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of

transactions or occurrences related to the use and implementation of the various infringing

instrumentalities identified herein. In light of that, and in light of the relationship that exists

between the Defendants and their implementation of the infringing instrumentalities identified

herein, questions of fact common to all Defendants will arise in this action.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the

United States Code. Thus, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§§ 1331 and 1338(a).

9. Defendant Kemper Corporation is a leading provider of an array of multi-line

home, auto, life, and health insurance products to serve individual and business market needs

throughout the United States. Kemper Corporation offers these insurance products directly and

in conjunction with its family of companies through various business segments of Kemper

Specialty, Kemper Preferred, and Kemper Direct. Kemper Specialty, Kemper Preferred, and

Kemper Direct are comprised of various entities, including the following domiciled in Texas:

Trinity Universal Insurance Company, Unitrin County Mutual Insurance Company, and Charter

Indemnity Company. Defendants market to their customers through a network or independent

agents, brokers, and career agents throughout the United States, including within the Eastern

District of Texas.

Case 6:16-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed 02/23/16 Page 4 of 15 PageID #: 4

10. Defendants maintain continuous and systematic contacts within this District.

Through their presence in the State of Texas and through their relationship with insurance

agents, adjusters, and customers Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the benefits

and privileges of conducting business in Texas and within this District. Through these contacts,

Defendants encourage agents throughout the district to sell and market their insurance services to

businesses and individuals throughout this District. Defendants also collect premium payments

from businesses and individuals throughout this District. Defendants receive, process, and adjust

claims either directly or through independent adjusters throughout this District, where such

claims are based on events that have occurred within this District.

11. Defendants, directly or through intermediaries, have conducted and conduct

substantial business in this forum, including but not limited to: (i) engaging in at least part of the

infringing acts alleged herein; (ii) purposefully and voluntarily placing one or more infringing

products or services into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be

purchased and/or used by consumers in this forum; and/or (iii) regularly doing or soliciting

business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, or deriving substantial revenue from

services provided to individuals in Texas and in this District.

Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) for the 12.

reasons set forth above.

IV. BACKGROUND

13. Intellectual Ventures was founded in 2000 on the fundamental premise that

inventions are valuable. Since its founding, Intellectual Ventures has built on this premise by

working to ensure that a market for invention continues to thrive. Intellectual Ventures creates

inventions and files patent applications for those inventions, collaborates with others to develop

Case 6:16-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed 02/23/16 Page 5 of 15 PageID #: 5

and patent inventions, and acquires and licenses patents from individual inventors, universities,

and other institutions. A significant aspect of Intellectual Ventures' business is managing the

intellectual property owned and controlled by Plaintiff such as the patents at issue in this case.

14. Intellectual Ventures' business includes purchasing important inventions from

individual inventors and institutions and then licensing the inventions to those who need them.

Through this business, Intellectual Ventures allows inventors to reap a financial reward from

their innovations, which is frequently difficult for individual inventors to do. To date, Intellectual

Ventures has acquired more than 70,000 patent assets and, in the process, has paid individual

inventors hundreds of millions of dollars for their inventions. Intellectual Ventures has

committed over \$6 billion in capital for inventions. Intellectual Ventures, in turn, has earned

more than \$3 billion by licensing these patents to some of the world's most innovative and

successful technology companies who continue to use them to make computer equipment,

software, semiconductor devices, and a host of other products.

15. Intellectual Ventures also creates inventions. Intellectual Ventures has a staff of

scientists and engineers who develop ideas in a broad range of fields, including agriculture,

computer hardware, life sciences, medical devices, semiconductors, and software. Intellectual

Ventures has invested millions of dollars developing such ideas and files hundreds of patent

applications on its inventions every year, making it one of the top patent filers in the world.

Intellectual Ventures has also invested in laboratory facilities to assist with the development and

testing of new ideas.

16. Intellectual Ventures also invents by collaborating with inventors and research

institutions around the world. Intellectual Ventures has developed inventions by selecting a

technical challenge, requesting proposals for inventions to solve the challenge from inventors

Case 6:16-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed 02/23/16 Page 6 of 15 PageID #: 6

and institutions, selecting the most promising ideas, rewarding the inventors and institutions for

their contributions, and filing for patents on the ideas. Intellectual Ventures has invested millions

of dollars in this way and has created a network of more than 4,000 inventors worldwide.

17. This cause of action asserts infringement of patents that Intellectual Ventures

acquired as part of its effort to create an efficient market for inventions. This case involves

United States Patent No. 6,516,442 B1, entitled "Channel Interface and Protocols for Cache

Coherency In a Scalable Symmetric Multiprocessor System" (the "'442 Patent") and United

States Patent No. 7,516,177 B2, entitled "Apparatus for Distributing Content Objects to a

Personalized Access Point of a User of a Network-Based Environment and Method" (the "177

Patent) (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit").

18. On February 4, 2003, the '442 Patent was duly and lawfully issued by the United

States Patent & Trademark Office ("PTO"). A copy of the '442 Patent is attached hereto as

Exhibit A.

19. On April 7, 2009, the '177 Patent was duly and lawfully issued by the PTO.

A copy of the '177 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

20. Intellectual Ventures II LLC is the owner and assignee of all right, title, and

interest in and to the '442 and '177 Patents and holds the right to sue and recover damages for

infringement thereof, including past damages.

21. Kemper Corporation in conjunction with its subsidiary companies (Trinity

Universal Insurance Company, d/b/a Kemper Preferred; Unitrin County Mutual Insurance

Company d/b/a Kemper Direct and Kemper Specialty; and Charter Indemnity Company, d/b/a

Kemper Specialty) write personal and commercial lines of property and casualty insurance

coverage—among other insurance products and services—exclusively through independent

Case 6:16-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed 02/23/16 Page 7 of 15 PageID #: 7

insurance agents located in the United States, including the State of Texas and within this

District.

22. With \$8 billion in assets, Defendants tout themselves as an insurance provider

that is improving the world of insurance by offering personalized solutions for individuals,

families and businesses. Defendants employ over 6,000 associates, and are represented by more

than 20,000 independent agents and brokers throughout the United States, including Texas and

this District.

23. Defendant's 6,000 associates provide innovative solutions for policyholders and

agents. Defendants partner with their agents to help ensure customers are offered the correct

products to fulfill their unique needs.

24. Across each of its business and corporate functions, Defendants implement shared

services to help streamline processes and deliver overall cost savings. Some of these savings are

reinvested into key initiatives, but most of the savings go directly to reducing company expenses.

25. As part of Defendants' shared services, Defendants provide online services and

other systems via electronic means, and use and maintain computer systems and software in the

course of its business, including, but not limited to, provision of online services. These online

services are designed to provide policyholders and agents with fast, reliable, and personalized

access to account and policy information, as well as other online resources.

26. The ability of policyholders and agents to access account and policy information

in an efficient online manner streamlines requests for information, eliminates substantial

personnel costs that would otherwise be incurred by Defendants, provides for greater internal

efficiencies, and results in greater agent and policyholder satisfaction by meeting demand for

efficient and timely personalized access to key information.

27. In connection with these online services and other systems, Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '442 Patent and the '177 Patent as set forth below.

V. CLAIMS

- 28. The allegations of all preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. Based on the above-described services and products, Plaintiff asserts the following against Defendants:

CLAIM 1 Direct Patent Infringement of the '442 Patent

- 30. Defendant Kemper Corporation has directly infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 1 of the '442 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell in the United States, without Plaintiff's authority, its shared-memory multiprocessor computer systems. By way of example only and without limiting Plaintiff's claims to this specific example, Kemper Corporation's implementation and use of multiprocessor switch-fabric computer storage systems infringes at least claim 1 of the '442 Patent.
- 31. For example, but without limitation, Kemper Corporation's implementation and use of EMC VMAX and VNX Storage Arrays, utilizes switches to route packets across the internal network and exchange those packets over various network channels while providing error correction functionality.
- 32. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation of the accused instrumentality utilizes a plurality of channels configured to transfer the packets.
- 33. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation of the accused instrumentality utilizes a plurality of switch interfaces configured to exchange the packets with the switch fabric, exchange the packets over the channels, and perform error correction of the

Case 6:16-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed 02/23/16 Page 9 of 15 PageID #: 9

data in the packets exchanged over the channels.

34. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation of the accused

instrumentality utilizes a plurality of microprocessor interfaces configured to exchange the data

with a plurality of microprocessors, exchange the packets with the switch interfaces over the

channels, and perform error correction of the data in the packets exchanged over the channels.

35. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation of the accused

instrumentality utilizes a memory interface configured to exchange the data with a memory

device, exchange the packets with the switch interfaces over the channels, and perform error

correction of the data in the packets exchanged over the channels.

36. Intellectual Ventures has been injured and seeks damages to adequately

compensate it for Kemper Corporation's infringement of the '442 Patent. Such damages should

be no less than a reasonable royalty under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

37. Kemper Corporation will continue to infringe the '442 Patent unless enjoined by

this Court. Intellectual Ventures therefore requests that this Court enter an order under 35 U.S.C.

§ 283 permanently enjoining Kemper Corporation from continuing to make, use, sell, offer to

sell, and/or import into the United States the products and processes accused of infringing the

'442 Patent and from further infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducing

infringement of the '442 Patent.

CLAIM 2

Direct Patent Infringement of the '177 Patent

38. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to infringe at least claim 1 of the

'177 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making and using in the United States, without

Plaintiff's authority, system(s) used to implement various portals and web services described

herein.

- 39. By way of example only and without limiting Plaintiff's claims to these specific examples, Defendants infringe at least claim 16 of the 177 Patent through their implementation and use of systems that:
 - a. Implement the customer/agency WebSphere Portal at least through https://www.kemper.com/wps/portal;
 - b. Implement a customer/agency portal at least through the website https://www.ekemper.com/KemperCustomerPublic/longin.jspx;
 - c. Implement a customer/agency portal at least through the website https://specialty.kemper.com
- 40. For example, but without limitation, Defendants' implementation and use of these customer/agency portals allows Defendants to provide at least their agents and/or customers personalized access to policy, claim, and other information through a single access point. External application content from Defendants' existing infrastructure can be incorporated and accessed through Defendants' implementation and use of these portals.
- 41. Defendants' implementation and use of these portals constitutes method of distributing content through distributed information access points.
- 42. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation and use of these portals provides a database on a server accessible over a communication link capable of storing information about: a) content, and b) users.
- 43. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation and use of these portals assembles content into one or more distributed information access points which are in communication with the database over the communication link.
 - 44. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation and use of these portals

presents one or more distributed information access points to one or more potential users at a

visually perceptible location.

45. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation and use of these portals

selects content from one or more of an entire range of distributed information access points for

addition to a centralized access point of the particular user.

46. On information and belief, Defendants' implementation and use of these portals

accesses the centralized access point of the particular user from one or more distributed

information access points to gain access to the selected content.

47. Intellectual Ventures has been injured and seeks damages to adequately

compensate it for Defendants' infringement of the '177 Patent. Such damages should be no less

than a reasonable royalty under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

48. Defendants will continue to infringe the '177 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

Intellectual Ventures therefore requests that this Court enter an order under 35 U.S.C. § 283

permanently enjoining Defendants from continuing to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or import

into the United States the products and processes accused of infringing the '177 Patent and from

further infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducing infringement of the '177 Patent.

VI. VICARIOUS LIABILITY

49. The allegations of all preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if

fully set forth herein.

50. Despite separate corporate entities, Defendants engage in the above-described

conduct jointly and as part of an overall business strategy in which share the same management,

employees, and facilities, and they offer the same types of insurance products. As such,

Intellectual Ventures contends that Defendants are individually liable for their conduct and are

vicariously liable for the conduct of each other as well as their subsidiary entities.

VII. NOTICE

- 51. The allegations of all preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. Intellectual Ventures made several attempts to engage in pre-suit discussions with Kemper Corporation in light of its and its subsidiaries' unauthorized use of Intellectual Ventures' intellectual property. Intellectual Ventures reached out by phone, email, and/or parcel service on at least five separate occasions from July of 2014 through February of 2015, in an attempt to engage in meaningful discussions with Kemper. While no response was ever received, Defendants were on notice of their infringement as a result of Intellectual Ventures' attempts to engage in pre-suit discussions.
- 53. At least by filing and serving this Original Complaint for Patent Infringement, Plaintiff has given Defendants written notice of their infringement.

VIII. DAMAGES

- 54. The allegations of all preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. For the above-described infringement, Plaintiff has suffered injury and seeks damages to compensate it adequately for Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. Such damages should be no less than the amount of a reasonable royalty under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

IX. JURY DEMAND

56. Plaintiff requests a jury trial of all issues triable of right by a jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

57. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- a. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have infringed the '442
 Patent, whether literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, as described herein;
- A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have infringed the '177
 Patent, whether literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, as described herein;
- c. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Plaintiff's damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '442 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict or post-judgment infringement with an accounting as needed;
- d. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Plaintiff's damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '177 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict or post-judgment infringement with an accounting as needed;
- e. An order under 35 U.S.C. § 283 permanently enjoining Defendants from continuing to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or import into the United Stats the products and processes accused of infringing the '442 Patent and from further infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducing infringement of the '442 Patent;
- f. An order under 35 U.S.C. § 283 permanently enjoining Defendants from continuing to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or import into the United Stats

the products and processes accused of infringing the '177 Patent and from further infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducing infringement of the '177 Patent: and

g. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Derek Hilland

DEREK GILLILAND ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE

TEXAS STATE BAR NO. 24007239 dgilliland@nixlawfirm.com

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, L.L.P.

205 Linda Dr.

Daingerfield, Texas 75638 Telephone: (903) 645-7333 Facsimile: (903) 645-5389

BEN KING

TEXAS STATE BAR NO. 24048592 benking@nixlawfirm.com

NIX PATTERSON& ROACH, L.L.P.

Texarkana, Texas 75503 Telephone: (903) 223-3999 Facsimile: (903) 223-8520

2900 St. Michael Dr., Ste. 500

KIRK VOSS

TEXAS STATE BAR NO. 24075229

kirkvoss@me.com

WINN CUTLER

TEXAS STATE BAR NO. 24084364

winncutler@nixlawfirm.com

CHRISTIAN HURT

TEXAS STATE BAR NO. 24059987

christianhurt@nixlawfirm.com

ROSS LEONOUDAKIS

TEXAS STATE BAR NO. 24087915

rossl@nixlawfirm.com

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, L.L.P.

5215 N. O'Connor Blvd., Ste. 1900 Irving, Texas 75039 Telephone: (972) 831-1188 Facsimile: (972) 444-0716

Counsel for Intellectual Ventures II LLC