	Case 3:16-cv-00530-LAB-JLB Document 1 Filed 03/02/16 Page 1 of 6			
1	John E Gartman (SBN 152300) GARTMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.			
2	490 Pine Needles Drive			
3	Del Mar, CA 92014 Telephone: 619.517.1010			
4	john@gartmanlawgroup.com			
5	Timothy E. Grochocinski (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted)			
6	IL Bar No. 6295055			
7	MO Bar No. 59607 Joseph P. Oldaker ( <i>Pro Hac Vice to be submitted</i> )			
8	IL Bar No. 6295319			
9	NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C. 15020 S. Ravinia Avenue, Suite 29			
10	Orland Park, IL 60462			
11	Telephone: 708.675.1975			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
13	CONTENT AGGREGATION SOLUTIONS LLC			
14				
15				
16 17				
17				
18 19				
19 20				
20 21				
21				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
-	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 1 -			

	Case 3:16-cv-00530-LAB-JLB Document 1 Filed 03/02/16 Page 2 of 6		
1	IN THE IMITED STA	TES DISTRICT COUDT	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3 4	FOR THE SOUTHERIVE		
4 5	CONTENT AGGREGATION SOLUTIONS LLC, a Texas	'16CV0530 LAB JLB	
6	limited liability company,	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL	
7	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
8		Jury Trial Demanded	
9	SHARP CORPORATION, a Japan corporation, and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, a		
10			
11	Defendants.		
12			
13	Plaintiff Content Aggregation Solutions, LLC files this complaint against Sharp		
14			
15	infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,756,155.		
16			
17	1. Content Aggregation Solutions LLC ("CAS" or "Plaintiff") is a Texas		
18	limited liability company with its principal place of business at 8616 Turtle Creek Blvd., Suite 521, Dallas, Texas 75225. CAS is the owner by assignment of U.S.		
19 20	Patent No. 8,756,155 ("the '155 patent").		
20 21	<ul><li>2. On information and belief, Sharp Corporation is a company incorporated</li></ul>		
21 22	under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business located at 22-22		
22	Negaikecho, Abeno-Ku, Osaka 545-8522, Japan. Sharp Corporation may be served		
24		ague Convention on the Service Abroad of	
25	Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents	in Civil or Commercial Matters. This	
26	Defendant does business in the State of California and in the Southern District of		
27	California.		
28	3. On information and belief, S	sharp Electronics Corporation (with Sharp	

Corporation, "Sharp") is a New York corporation with its principal place of
 business at Sharp Plaza, Mahwah, New Jersey 07430. This Defendant may be
 served with process through its agent, CT Corporation System, 111 Eighth Avenue,
 New York, New York 10011. This Defendant does business in the State of
 California and in the Southern District of California.

#### 6

21

22

23

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. CAS brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the
United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others. This
Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

5. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and
 1400(b). On information and belief, Sharp Electronics Corporation transacts business in
 this District. Sharp Corporation is an alien that conducts business in this District
 through its wholly-owned subsidiary Sharp Electronics Corporation. On information
 and belief, Sharp has committed acts of infringement in this District.

6. Each Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal
jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute, due at
least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at
least part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or
soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct, and/or deriving
substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to California residents.

## COUNT I

# (Patent Infringement - U.S. Patent No. 8,756,155)

7. CAS incorporates paragraphs 1 through 6 herein by reference.

8. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in
particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq*.

9. CAS is the owner of the '155 patent, entitled "Web Based Communication
of Information with Reconfigurable Format," with ownership of all substantial rights
in the '155 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue, and

recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '155 1 2 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. The '155 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance 3 with Title 35 of the United States Code. 4

5

11. The claims of the '155 patent are directed to solving a variety of technical problems arising from the significant limitations of the Internet, and in doing so 6 improve the operation of certain types of devices using the Internet. 7

12. The claims are addressed, among other things, to the technical problem of 8 how to efficiently and practically assemble a combination of different information 9 from different sources on the Internet and return that information to a handheld 10device, such as a Smartphone, that on its own would have been incapable of 11 assembling the information in a practical and useful way without modification of the 12 different sources. The claims additionally reduce technical complexity and improve 13 efficiency in the handheld device by allowing the aggregation to take place upon the 14 selection of at least one indicator with a single actuation. The precise way in which 15 these problems have been solved with the improvements of the '155 patent claims is 16 17 specified in each of the separate claims.

13. The Patent Office found that the claimed inventions were different from any 18 pre-existing technology known to the Patent Office and that a person of ordinary skill 19 in the technology related to the '155 patent would not have found it obvious to 20combine preexisting technologies to arrive at the solutions set forth in the '155 patent. 21

14. The integrations in the claims of the '155 patent provide new results that 22 allow handheld devices to operate in a superior way that was not available before the 23 invention of the '155 claims, providing benefits that did not exist before the '155 24 claims. 25

15. None of the claims of the '155 patent preempts the use of handheld devices, 26 such as Smartphones, on the Internet. Nor do any of the claims preempt commerce on 27 the Internet, electronic shopping, Internet auctions, web browsing, or any other 28

1 fundamental and long prevalent Internet or economic practice.

2 16. There are technical alternatives to the claims of the '155 patent that are
3 directed to the same problems addressed by the patent claims.

4 17. Each claim of the '155 patent claims an apparatus for a specific computing
5 device, not a mere general computer or generic handheld device.

6

## DIRECT INFRINGEMENT (35 U.S.C. § 271(a))

18. Defendants have directly infringed, and continue to directly infringe, one or
more claims of the '155 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in California and
the United States.

10 19. Defendants have infringed at least claims 1, 15 and 16 of the '155 patent, by
11 using, selling, and/or offering to sell, within the United States, and/or by importing
12 into the United States, products, including, but not limited to, smartphones and/or
13 tablets that include Android operating systems with Google Now Cards functionality.

20. Defendants are liable for these direct infringements pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

WHEREFORE, CAS asks that the Court find in its favor and against
Defendants, and that the Court grant CAS the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '155 patent has been infringed,
  either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by one or more
  Defendants;
- b. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to CAS all damages and
  costs incurred by CAS because of Defendants' infringing activities and
  other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to CAS a reasonable, ongoing, post judgment royalty because of Defendants' infringing activities
  and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That CAS be granted pre judgment and post judgment interest on the
  damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct

	Case 3:16-cv-00530-LAB-JLB Document 1 Filed 03/02/16 Page 6 of 6		
1	complained of herein; and		
2	e. That CAS be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem		
3	just and proper under the circumstances		
4			
5			
6	Dated: 03/02/2016 GARTMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.		
7			
8	By: <u>/s/ John E. Gartman</u> John E. Gartman		
9	Attorney for Plaintiff Content Aggregation Solutions LLC		
10	Aggregation Solutions LLC		
11	<b>REQUEST FOR TRIAL BY JURY</b>		
12	Plaintiffs claim trial by jury on all issues so triable.		
13			
14			
15	Dated: 03/02/2016 GARTMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.		
16			
17	By: <u>/s/ John E. Gartman</u> John E. Gartman		
18	Attorney for Plaintiff Content Aggregation Solutions LLC		
19	Aggregation Solutions LLC		
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
27			
-0	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 6 -		
	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 6 -		