	Case 3:15-cv-05469-EDL Document	29 Filed 03/17/16 Page 1 of 6		
1 2 3 4 5 6	STADHEIM & GREAR LTD. George C. Summerfield 400 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 2200 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Telephone: (312) 755-4400 Facsimile: (312) 755-4408 E-Mail <u>summerfield@stadheimgrear.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiff ATLAS IP, LLC			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
10	ATLAS IP, LLC, a Florida Limited)		
11	Liability Company,)		
12	Plaintiff, v.)) No. 15-cv-05469-EDL		
13	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.)) Ctrm: E - 15th Floor		
14	a California Corporation,) Judge: Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte		
15	Defendant.)		
16				
17	PLAINTIFF'S AN	MENDED COMPLAINT		
18	Plaintiff, Atlas IP, LLC ("Atlas") her	by alleges by way of complaint against defendant,		
19	Pacific Gas and Electric Co, ("PGE") as follo	ows:		
20	THE PLAINTIFF AND THE PATENTS IN SUIT			
21	1. Atlas is a Florida LLC with a principa	I place of business at One SE Third Avenue, Suite		
22	1200, Miami, Florida 33131.			
23	2. Atlas is the owner by assignment of U	J.S. Patent Nos. 5,371,734 ("the '734 patent"),		
24	entitled Medium access control protocol for	vireless network (Exhibit A).		
25	3. The invention of the '734 patent, the	application for which was filed in January 1993, is		
26	directed to "a reliable medium access control (MAC) protocol for wireless, preferably radio			
27		1		
28	Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	Case No. 15-cv-05469-EDL		

Case 3:15-cv-05469-EDL Document 29 Filed 03/17/16 Page 2 of 6

1	frequency (RF), LAN-type network communications among a plurality of resources," '734
2	Patent, col. 5, lines 10-14.
3	4. Representative claim 1 of the '734 patent reads:
4	
5	A communicator for wirelessly transmitting frames to and receiving frames from a least
6	one additional communicator in accordance with a predetermined medium access control
7	protocol, the communicators which transmit and receive the frames constituting a Group,
8	each communicator including a transmitter and a receiver for transmitting and receiving
9	the frames respectively, the medium access control protocol controlling each
10	communicator of the Group to effect predetermined functions comprising:
11	
12	designating one of the communicators of the Group as a hub and the remaining the
13	communicators of the Group as remotes;
14	
15	the hub establishing repeating communication cycles, each communication cycle having
16	intervals during which the hub and the remotes transmit and receive frames;
17	
18	the hub transmitting cycle establishing information to the remotes to establish the
19	communication cycle and a plurality of predeterminable intervals during each
20	communication cycle, the intervals being ones when the hub is allowed to transmit
21	frames to the remotes, when the remotes are allowed to transmit frames to the hub, and
22	when each remote is expected to receive a frame from the hub;
23	
24	the hub transmitting a frame containing the cycle establishing information which
25	establishes both an outbound portion of the communication cycle when the hub transmits
26	frames to the remotes and an inbound portion of the communication cycle when the
27	2
28	Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Case No. 15-cv-05469-EDL

Case 3:15-cv-05469-EDL Document 29 Filed 03/17/16 Page 3 of 6

remotes transmit frames to the hub, the frame containing the cycle establishing information also establishing the predetermined intervals during the outbound and inbound portions of the communication cycle when each remote is allowed to transmit and receive;

the remotes powering off their transmitters during times other than those intervals when the remote is allowed to transmit frames to the hub, by using the cycle establishing information transmitted from the hub; and

the remotes powering off their receivers during times other than those intervals when the remote is expected to receive a frame from the hub, by using the cycle establishing information transmitted from the hub.

THE DEFENDANT AND THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

PGE is a California Corporation with a principal place of business at 77 Beale Street, 32nd
Floor, San Francisco, California 94105.

16 6. PGE had, prior to January 2013, installed among its customer base a network of smart
17 meters supplied by Landis+Gyr AG and General Electric. Such smart meters communicate to an
18 access point over a neighborhood area network ("NAN") using a communication module
19 supplied by Silver Spring Networks, Inc.

20
7. The communication between the smart meters and access points over the NAN occur
21
over the licensed 902-928 MHz band.

228.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.

- 23 and are designed to form a communication group.
- 24 9. The Accused Products each include a transceiver consisting of a transmitter and receiver
 25 that transmits and receives packets of data.

3

26 || 10. The Accused Products operate to transmit and receive information about customer

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 28
- Plaintiff's Amended Complaint

Case No. 15-cv-05469-EDL

Case 3:15-cv-05469-EDL Document 29 Filed 03/17/16 Page 4 of 6

1	electricity usage.		
2	11. The Accused Products form a group of at least one device operating in remote mode		
3	(smart meter), and one device operating in base mode (access point).		
4	12. The access point transmits at least one frame of data to a smart meter that initiates a		
5	communication session, and which allows the smart meter to calculate the duration of the		
6	communication session and its constituent intervals before the smart meter transmits to the		
7	access point during the communication session.		
8	13. During the communication session, the access point and smart meter will transmit and		
9	receive packets of data to and from one another consisting of an interrogation message from the		
10	access point to the smart meter, and utility usage and machine state data from the smart meter to		
11	the access point.		
12	14. During the transmission period, the smart meter expects to receive a packet of data in the		
13	form of, <i>inter alia</i> , an acknowledgement.		
14	15. During the reception period, the smart meter sends packets of data to the access point		
15	including utility usage and machine state data.		
16	16. The access point establishes communication cycles with the smart meter that repeats.		
17	During each such communication cycle, there are intervals during which the access point and the		
18	smart meter transmit and receive frames.		
19	17. A smart meter has the ability to power off its transmitter during times other than those		
20	when it is transmitting data.		
21	18. A smart meter has the ability to power off its receiver during times other than those when		
22	it is receiving data.		
23	19. Once a smart meter has transmitted data packets to the access point, if its receiver has		
24	been powered down, it activates its receiver to await the reception of data from the base.		
25	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
26	20. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).		
27	4		
28	Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Case No. 15-cv-05469-EDL		

	Case 3:15-cv-05469-EDL Document 29 Filed 03/17/16 Page 5 of 6	
1	1 21. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).	
2	2 22. This Court has personal jurisdiction over PGE by virtue of PGE's continuing	business
3	3 operations in this Judicial District.	
4	4 Count I – Infringement of the '734 Patent	
5	5 23. Atlas hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-22.	
6	6 24. PGE's smart meters and access points described herein infringed the claims of	of the '734
7	7 patent before the expiration thereof. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a chart that show	ws how each
8	8 limitation of claim 1 of the '734 patent is found in the Accused Products.	
9	9 25. Atlas was injured by PGE's infringement of the '734 patent.	
10	0 26. Atlas has not made or sold, or had made or sold for it, any product covered by	y the claims
11	1 of the '734. Of Atlas's predecessors in interest in the ownership of the '734 patent, o	only Digital
12	2 Ocean Inc. made or sold, or had made or sold, products covered by the claims of the	'734 patent.
13	3 Digital Ocean marked all such products with the '734 patent number.	
14	4 WHEREFORE, Atlas respectfully requests that this Court award it damages	adequate to
15	5 compensate it for PGE's infringement of the patents in suit, and such further relief as	s the Court
16	6 deems appropriate.	
17	7 Date: March 17, 2016 Respectfully submitted,	
18	8 /s/ George C. Summerfield	
19		
20	0 400 North Michigan Avenue	
21		
22	2 (312) 755-4400 summerfield@stadheimgrear.com	
23		
24	•	
25	5	
26	6	
27	5	
28	8 Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Case No. 15-cv	-05469-EDL

	Case 3:15-cv-05469-EDL Document 29 Filed 03/17/16 Page 6 of 6
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that on March 17,
3	2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT was
4	served in accordance with Rule 5, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on the following counsel of
5	record in the manner indicated:
6	<u>Via CM/ECF</u>
7	Adam Robert Brausa
8	<u>abrausa@durietangri.com</u>
9	Daralyn J. Durie
10	<u>ddurie@durietangri.com</u> Durie Tangri LLP
11	217 Leidesdorff Street
	San Francisco, California 94111
12	P: (415) 362-6666
13	F: (415) 236-6300
14	
15	/s/ George C. Summerfield
16	George C. Summerfield
17	STADHEIM & GREAR LTD.
18	Attorney for
19	ATLAS IP, LLC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	6
28	Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Case No. 15-cv-05469-EDL