## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

Plaintiff, ) v.	
)	Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-00213-JRG-RSP
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, Defendants.	

## NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

Notice is hereby given that Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC<sup>1</sup> (collectively, "Samsung") in the above-captioned matter hereby appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the final judgment entered against Samsung and in favor of Plaintiff Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP ("Rembrandt") on February 25, 2016 (D.I. 355) and all interlocutory orders that merged therein, including, without limitation, and solely for the avoidance of doubt: the Order denying Samsung's Rule 50(b) Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and/or Rule 59(a) Motion for New Trial on Liability Issues,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On January 1, 2015, Samsung Telecommunications America LLC ("STA") merged into Samsung Electronics America Inc. ("SEA"). Prior to this merger, STA had been a whollyowned subsidiary of SEA. SEA is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("SEC").

entered in this action on February 17, 2016 (D.I. 352); the Order denying Samsung's Rule 50(b) Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and/or Rule 59(a) Motion for New Trial on Damages Issues, entered in this action on January 29, 2016 (D.I. 350); the claim constructions given to the jury in the Preliminary and Final Jury Instructions on February 9, 2015, and February 13, 2015, respectively (D.I. 290 at 16:13-17:1; D.I. 300 at 22:24-23:9); the Order adopting the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation denying Samsung's Motion for Summary Judgment Due to Rembrandt's Failure to Mark, entered in this action on February 9, 2015 (D.I. 277); the Order adopting the Magistrate Judge's evidentiary rulings, entered in this action on February 6, 2015 (D.I. 266); the Order adopting the Magistrate Judge's Order denying Samsung's Motion to Exclude Opinions of Roy Weinstein Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703, entered in this action on February 6, 2015 (D.I. 265); the Claim Construction Memorandum and Order, entered in this action on July 10, 2014 (D.I. 114); and any and all related adverse Orders, rulings, and judgments.

Included herewith is payment of the filing fee (\$5.00) and the docketing fee (\$450.00) as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1917 and Federal Circuit Rule 52(a)(3)(A), and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 3(e).

Dated: March 17, 2016

By: Michael C. Smith

Texas Bar No. 18650410 michaelsmith@siebman.com

SIEBMAN, BURG, PHILLIPS & SMITH, LLP

113 E. Austin Street

P.O. Box 1556

Marshall, Texas 75671

Tel: (903) 938-8900

Fax: (903) 767-4620

Jesse J. Jenner

jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com

Brian P. Biddinger

brian.biddinger@ropesgray.com

**ROPES & GRAY LLP** 

1211 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

Tel: (212) 596-9000

Fax: (212) 596-9090

Gabrielle E. Higgins

gabrielle.higgins@ropesgray.com

Rebecca R. Carrizosa

rebecca.carrizosa@ropesgray.com

**ROPES & GRAY LLP** 

1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor

East Palo Alto, CA 94303

Tel: (650) 617-4000

Fax: (650) 617-4090

Attorneys for Defendants

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,

Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and

Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 17, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As of this date, all counsel of record have consented to electronic service and are being served with a copy of this document through the Court's CM/ECF system under Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A) and via email for documents filed under seal.

By: Michael Smith