

NALCO COMPANY LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOLENIS LLC,
a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

Nalco Company LLC (“Nalco”), for its First Amended Complaint, states and alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff, Nalco, is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and has a principal place of business at 1601 W. Diehl Road, Naperville, Illinois 60563-1198.

2. On information and belief, Defendant, Solenis LLC (“Solenis” or “Defendant”), is a corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and has a principal place of business at 3 Beaver Valley Road, Suite 500, Wilmington, DE 19803. Solenis is registered to do business in the State of Illinois with an agent for service of process at 208 South LaSalle St., Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

3. Nalco and Defendant are both involved and active in the sale of chemical additives for industrial processes such as papermaking. Solenis has used and is currently selling for use by its papermaking customers a filler and fiber optimizing program and product called OptiFillSM.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is a claim of patent infringement arising under the Acts of Congress relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271; 281-285.

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Personal jurisdiction and venue in this District are proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(b). Solenis has systematic and continuous contacts with the State of Illinois and this District. It is registered to do business in the State of Illinois with an agent for service of process at 208 South LaSalle St., Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

COUNT I

Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,465,623

7. On June 18, 2013, United States Patent No. 8,465,623 (“the ’623 patent”) entitled Method of Improving Dewatering Efficiency, Increasing Sheet Wet Web Strength, Increasing Sheet Wet Strength and Enhancing Filler Retention In Papermaking was duly and legally issued to Plaintiff Nalco as assignee of the inventors Yulin Zhao, Jun Li, Qing Long Rao, and Weiguo Cheng. Plaintiff Nalco is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the ’623 patent and has been the owner of the patent

throughout the period of Defendant's infringement and still is the owner thereof. The '623 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

8. Defendant's use of certain paper processing aids or chemicals, including the OptiFillSM program, directly and indirectly infringes the '623 patent. Defendant has used and continues to use the OptiFillSM program in a method that infringes the '623 patent in at least one paper processing plant in the United States. Specifically, Defendant's use of the OptiFillSM program infringes at least claim 19 of the '623 patent.

9. Defendant has contributed to, and continues to contribute to, the infringement of the '623 patent by selling and offering for sale chemical products to customers under the OptiFill program and instructing its customers on how to use those chemicals in a method that infringes the '623 patent in at least one papermill in the United States.

10. Defendant's chemical products comprise a material part of the claimed invention of the '623 patent, are not staple articles of commerce, and have no substantial noninfringing uses. When used according to Defendant as part of the OptiFill program, the use of Defendant's chemical products infringes the '623 patent.

11. Defendant is aware of the '623 patent, has been aware of the '623 patent during the period of infringement, and knowingly offered its chemical products for use in a process that is claimed in the '623 patent.

12. Defendant's customers, specifically papermills that purchase Defendant's chemical products as part of the OptiFill program for use in paper processing, also directly infringe the '623 patent by using the chemical products in accordance with the

OptiFill program in a process that embodies the invention of the '623 patent. Defendant knows that its customers use, and indeed instructs its customers to use, its chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in a manner that infringes the '623 patent.

13. Defendant also has induced and continues to induce infringement of the '623 patent by selling chemical products to papermills as part of the OptiFill program and instructing the papermills to use the chemical products in accordance with the OptiFill program in a process that infringes the '623 patent. Defendant also has assisted and continues to assist the papermills in using the chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in a process that infringes the '623 patent.

14. Defendant is and has been aware of the '623 patent and knows that its sale of its chemical products under the OptiFill program and instructions for use of the same induce Defendant's customers to directly infringe the '623 patent. Defendant's knowledge of the '623 patent, combined with Defendant's instructions for use of the chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in the manner specified by the '623 patent, demonstrate that Defendant intends to induce its customers to infringe the '623 patent.

15. When using Defendant's chemical products as instructed by Defendant as part of the OptiFill program, Defendant's customers, specifically the papermills, directly infringe the '623 patent by using the chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in a process that embodies the invention of the '623 patent.

16. Defendant has had notice of the '623 patent and its infringement thereof.

17. Defendant's infringement of the '623 patent is and continues to be willful and deliberate.

18. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's infringement of the '623 patent and will continue to be damaged in the future unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing said patent.

COUNT II

Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,088,250

19. Nalco incorporates the allegations in paragraph 1-18 of this Complaint.

20. On January 3, 2012, United States Patent No. 8,088,250 ("the '250 patent") entitled Method of Increasing Filler Content In Papermaking was duly and legally issued to Plaintiff Nalco as assignee of the inventors Yulin Zhao, Jun Li, Qing Long Rao, and Weiguo Cheng. Plaintiff Nalco is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '250 patent and has been the owner of the patent throughout the period of Defendant's infringement and still is the owner thereof. The '250 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

21. Defendant's use of certain paper processing aids or chemicals, including the OptiFillSM program, directly and indirectly infringes the '250 patent. Defendant has used and continues to use the OptiFillSM program in a method that infringes the '250 patent in at least one papermill in the United States. Specifically, Defendant's use of the OptiFillSM program infringes at least claims 1-3, 6-7, 12-13 and 17 of the '250 patent.

22. Defendant has contributed to, and continues to contribute to, the infringement of the '250 patent by selling and offering for sale chemical products to

customers under the OptiFill program and instructing its customers on how to use those chemicals in a method that infringes the '250 patent in at least one papermill in the United States.

23. Defendant's chemical products comprise a material part of the claimed invention of the '250 patent, are not staple articles of commerce, and have no substantial noninfringing uses. When used according to Defendant as part of the OptiFill program, the use of Defendant's chemical products infringes the '250 patent.

24. Defendant is aware of the '250 patent, has been aware of the '250 patent during the period of infringement, and knowingly offered its chemical products for use in a process that is claimed in the '250 patent.

25. Defendant's customers, specifically papermills that purchase Defendant's chemical products as part of the OptiFill program for use in paper processing, also directly infringe the '250 patent by using the chemical products in accordance with the OptiFill program in a process that embodies the invention of the '250 patent. Defendant knows that its customers use, and indeed instructs its customers to use, its chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in a manner that infringes the '250 patent.

26. Defendant also has induced and continues to induce infringement of the '250 patent by selling chemical products to papermills as part of the OptiFill program and instructing the papermills to use the chemical products in accordance with the OptiFill program in a process that infringes the '250 patent. Defendant also has assisted and continues to assist the papermills in using the chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in a process that infringes the '250 patent.

27. Defendant is and has been aware of the '250 patent and knows that its sale of its chemical products under the OptiFill program and instructions for use of the same induce Defendant's customers to directly infringe the '250 patent. Defendant's knowledge of the '250 patent, combined with Defendant's instructions for use of the chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in the manner specified by the '250 patent, demonstrate that Defendant intends to induce its customers to infringe the '250 patent.

28. When using Defendant's chemical products as instructed by Defendant as part of the OptiFill program, Defendant's customers, specifically the papermills, directly infringe the '250 patent by using the chemical products as part of the OptiFill program in a process that embodies the invention of the '250 patent.

29. Defendant has had actual notice of the '250 patent and its infringement thereof.

30. Defendant's infringement of the '250 patent is and continues to be willful and deliberate.

31. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's infringement of the '250 patent and will continue to be damaged in the future unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing said patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. A judgment that Defendant has infringed United States Patent No. 8,465,623;
- b. A judgment that Defendant has infringed United States Patent No. 8,088,250;
- c. An injunction enjoining and restraining Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, customers, attorneys and all others acting under or through it, directly or indirectly, from infringing, or contributing to or inducing the infringement of, United States Patent Nos. 8,465,623 and 8,088,250;
- d. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including treble damages for willful infringement as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284, with interest;
- e. A judgment and order directing Defendant to pay the costs of this action (including all disbursements) and attorney fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285, with interest; and
- f. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Nalco hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: April 14, 2016

s/Anthony R. Zeuli

Anthony R. Zeuli (IL #6231415)

Rachel Zimmerman Scobie (*Admitted pro hac vice*)

Anneliese Mayer (*Admitted pro hac vice*)

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.

3200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone: 612.332.5300
Fax: 612.332.9081

David E. Morrison
Oscar Alcantara
GOLDBERG KOHN LTD.
55 East Monroe Street, Suite 3300
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 201-4000

Attorneys for Nalco Company LLC