

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION**

CASE NO. _____

ATLAS IP, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, a Florida Corporation,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff, Atlas IP, LLC (“Atlas”), alleges by way of complaint against defendant, Florida Power & Light Company (“FPL”), as follows:

THE PLAINTIFF AND THE PATENT IN SUIT

1. Atlas is a Florida LLC with a principal place of business at One SE Third Avenue, Suite 1200, Miami, Florida 33131.

2. Atlas is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 5,371,734 (“the ‘734 patent”), entitled Medium access control protocol for wireless network (Exhibit A).

3. The invention of the ‘734 patent, the application for which was filed in January 1993, is directed to “a reliable medium access control (MAC) protocol for wireless, preferably radio frequency (RF), LAN-type network communications among a plurality of resources, such a[s] a battery powered portable computers.” ‘734 Patent, col. 5, lines 10-14.

4. Representative claim 1 of the ‘734 patent reads:

A communicator for wirelessly transmitting frames to and receiving frames from a least one additional communicator in accordance with a predetermined medium

access control protocol, the communicators which transmit and receive the frames constituting a Group, each communicator including a transmitter and a receiver for transmitting and receiving the frames respectively, the medium access control protocol controlling each communicator of the Group to effect predetermined functions comprising:

designating one of the communicators of the Group as a hub and the remaining the communicators of the Group as remotes;

the hub establishing repeating communication cycles, each communication cycle having intervals during which the hub and the remotes transmit and receive frames;

the hub transmitting cycle establishing information to the remotes to establish the communication cycle and a plurality of predetermined intervals during each communication cycle, the intervals being ones when the hub is allowed to transmit frames to the remotes, when the remotes are allowed to transmit frames to the hub, and when each remote is expected to receive a frame from the hub;

the hub transmitting a frame containing the cycle establishing information which establishes both an outbound portion of the communication cycle when the hub transmits frames to the remotes and an inbound portion of the communication cycle when the remotes transmit frames to the hub, the frame containing the cycle establishing information also establishing the predetermined intervals during the outbound and inbound portions of the communication cycle when each remote is allowed to transmit and receive;

the remotes powering off their transmitters during times other than those intervals when the remote is allowed to transmit frames to the hub, by using the cycle establishing information transmitted from the hub; and

the remotes powering off their receivers during times other than those intervals when the remote is expected to receive a frame from the hub, by using the cycle establishing information transmitted from the hub.

THE DEFENDANT AND THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

5. FPL is a Florida corporation with a principal place of business at 700 Universe Blvd., Juno Beach, Florida 33408.

6. FPL is a subsidiary of Next-Era Energy, Inc., based in Juno Beach, Florida.

7. FPL had, before January 2013, installed among its customer base a network of smart meters supplied by General Electric. Such smart meters communicate to an access point

over a neighborhood area network (“NAN”) using a communication module supplied by Silver Spring Networks, Inc. (“Silver Spring”).

8. The communication between the smart meters and access points over the NAN utilize licensed 902-928 MHz band.

9. The smart meters and access points communicating over the NAN (“Accused Products”) and are designed to form a communication group.

10. The Accused Products each include a transceiver consisting of a transmitter and receiver that transmits and receives packets of data.

11. The Accused Products operate to transmit and receive information about customer natural gas and electric usage.

12. The Accused Products form a group of at least one device operating in remote mode (smart meter), and one device operating in base mode (access point).

13. The access point transmits at least one frame of data to a smart meter that initiates a communication session, and which allows the smart meter to calculate the duration of the communication session and its constituent intervals before the smart meter transmits to the access point during the communication session.

14. During the communication session, the access point and smart meter will transmit and receive packets of data to and from one another consisting of an interrogation message from the access point to the smart meter, and utility usage and machine state data from the smart meter to the access point.

15. During the transmission period, the smart meter expects to receive a packet of data in the form of, *inter alia*, an acknowledgement.

16. During the reception period, the smart meter sends packets of data to the access point including utility usage and machine state data.

17. The access point establishes communication cycles with the smart meter that repeats. During each such communication cycle, there are intervals during which the access point and the smart meter transmit and receive frames.

18. A smart meter powers off its transmitter during times other than those when it is transmitting data during a communication session.

19. A smart meter powers off its receiver during times other than those when it is receiving data during a communication session.

20. Once a smart meter has transmitted data packets to the access point, if its receiver has been powered down, it activates its receiver to await the reception of data from the base.

21. A chart showing that the accused devices literally satisfy each limitation of claim 1 of the '734 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Jurisdiction and Venue

22. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

23. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

24. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants by virtue of their continuing business operations in this Judicial District.

Count I – Patent Infringement

25. Atlas hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-24, above.

26. The accused smart meters and access points described herein infringed the claims of the '734 patent before the expiration thereof, as shown in paragraphs 5-21 above and the chart

attached as Exhibit B.

27. Atlas was injured by the defendants' infringement of the '734 patent.

28. Atlas has not made or sold, or had made or sold for it, any product covered by the claims of the '734. Of Atlas's predecessors in interest in the ownership of the '734 patent, only Digital Ocean Inc. made or sold, or had made or sold, products covered by the claims of the '734 patent. Digital Ocean marked all such products with the '734 patent number.

WHEREFORE, Atlas respectfully requests that this Court award it damages adequate to compensate it for FPL's infringement of the patent in suit, and such further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Date: May 12, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

OF COUNSEL:

Christopher H. St. Peter
STADHEIM & GREAR LTD
400 North Michigan Avenue
Suite 2200
Chicago, Illinois 60611
Telephone: 312-755-4400
Facsimile: 312-755-4408
Stpeter@stadheimgrear.com

By: s/Curtis Carlson
Curtis Carlson, FBN 236640
carlson@carlson-law.net
Carlson & Associates, P.A.
1 SE 3rd Avenue
1200 Sun Trust International Center
Miami, FL 33131-1817
Telephone: 305-372-9700
Facsimile: 305-372-8265

Attorneys for Atlas IP, LLC