

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CYPALEO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BEST BUY CO., INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No:

PATENT CASE

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Cypaleo LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Cypaleo”) files this Complaint against Best Buy Co., Inc. (“Defendant” or “Best Buy”) for infringement of United States Patent No. 5,638,427 (hereinafter “the ‘427 Patent”).

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under Title 35 of the United States Code. Plaintiff is seeking damages.

2. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents) because this is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the United States patent statutes.

3. Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal office located at 3415 Custer Rd., Suite 120-D, Plano, Texas 75023.

4. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business at 7601 Penn. Ave. S., Minneapolis, Minnesota 55423. Defendant can be served with process through its

registered agent CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

5. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in the state of Texas, has conducted business in the state of Texas, and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in the state of Texas.

6. On information and belief, Defendant's instrumentalities that are alleged herein to infringe were and continue to be used, imported, offered for sale, and/or sold in the Eastern District of Texas.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b) because Defendants are deemed to reside in this District. In addition, and in the alternative, and upon information and belief, Defendants have committed acts of infringement in this District.

COUNT I **(INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,638,427)**

8. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

9. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States and, in particular, under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

10. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of the '427 Patent with sole rights to enforce the '427 Patent and sue infringers.

11. A copy of the '427 Patent, titled "Operator-controlled Interactive Communication Device," is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12. At the time relevant to the allegations herein, the '427 Patent was valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

13. On information and belief, Defendant infringed one or more claims, including at least claim 1, of the '427 Patent by making, using, importing, selling, and/or offering for sale interactive communication devices covered by at least Claim 1 of the '427 Patent. Defendant infringed the '427 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

14. On information and belief, during the period that the '427 Patent was in force, Defendant sold, offered to sell, and/or used interactive communication devices, including, without limitation, the Best Buy Insignia Flex tablet ("the Flex Tablet"), and any similar devices, which infringed at least Claim 1 of the '427 Patent. The Flex Tablet is an interactive device that may be used to transmit and receive data.

15. The Flex Tablet, for example, has a display that can display messages that are either generated within the Flex Tablet or received by the Flex Tablet from an external source.

16. The Flex Tablet has an input device (e.g., USB input) by which a user can input data to the Flex Tablet.

17. The Flex Tablet has a communication transmission interface (e.g., wired and wireless communication interfaces) that can connect the Flex Tablet to a transmission medium.

18. On information and belief, the Flex Table has a connector that can connect a telephone handset (e.g., a Bluetooth headset that is hand-controlled) to the Flex Tablet for external communication over the transmission medium.

19. The Flex Tablet has a computer connector (e.g., USB port) that can connect a computer to the Flex Tablet for external communication over the communication transmission interface. The connection also allows for reprogramming memory of the Flex Tablet.

20. The Flex Tablet has a remote interactive communication connector (e.g., WiFi interface) that can connect a communication system within a machine being monitored (e.g., a

wireless printer).

21. On information and belief, the input device and the remote interactive communication connector provide the Flex Tablet with the flexibility to be used with a machine (e.g., a printer) having a remote interactive communication system (e.g., wireless interface) and a machine without a remote interactive communication system (e.g., wired, or USB, interface).

22. On information and believe 35 U.S.C. § 287 was complied with at all relevant times.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks the Court to:

- (a) Enter judgment for Plaintiff on this Complaint on all causes of action asserted herein;
- (b) Award Plaintiff damages resulting from Defendant's infringement in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (c) Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs; and
- (d) Award Plaintiff such further relief to which the Court finds Plaintiff entitled under law or equity.

Dated: May 23, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jay Johnson

JAY JOHNSON

State Bar No. 24067322

D. BRADLEY KIZZIA

State Bar No. 11547550

ANTHONY RICCIARDELLI

State Bar No. 24070493

KIZZA JOHNSON PLLC

1910 Pacific Ave., Suite 13000

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 451-0164

Fax: (214) 451-0165

jay@kjpllc.com

bkizzia@kjpllc.com

anthony@kjpllc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

EXHIBIT A