

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

	§	
UNILOC USA, INC. and	§	
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.,	§	Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-392
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§s	
	§	
v.	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
AVAST SOFTWARE, INC. and,	§	
AVAST SOFTWARE S.R.O.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs, Uniloc USA, Inc. and Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A. (together “Uniloc”), as and for their complaint against defendants Avast Software, Inc. and Avast Software s.r.o. (together “Avast”), allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Uniloc USA, Inc. (“Uniloc USA”) is a Texas corporation having a principal place of business at Legacy Town Center I, Suite 380, 7160 Dallas Parkway, Plano Texas 75024. Uniloc also maintains a place of business at 102 N. College, Suite 603, Tyler, Texas 75702.

2. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc Luxembourg”) is a Luxembourg public limited liability company having a principal place of business at 15, Rue Edward Steichen, 4th Floor, L-2540, Luxembourg (R.C.S. Luxembourg B159161).

3. Uniloc Luxembourg owns a number of patents in the field of application management in a computer network.

4. Upon information and belief, Avast Software, Inc. is a California corporation having a principal place of business in Redwood City, California and offering its products, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and/or potential customers located in Texas and in the judicial Eastern District of Texas. Avast can be served through its agent for service of process: CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017.

5. Upon information and belief, Avast Software s.r.o. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the Czech Republic, having a principal place of business in Prague, Czech Republic and offering its products, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and/or potential customers located in Texas and in the judicial Eastern District of Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 1367.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). Upon information and belief, Avast is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, and/or has purposely transacted business involving the accused products in this judicial district, including sales to one or more customers in Texas.

8. Avast is subject to this Court's jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of its past infringing activities, (B) regularly doing or soliciting

business in Texas and/or (C) engaging in persistent conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to customers in Texas.


COUNT I
(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,510,466)

9. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1-8 above by reference.


10. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 6,510,466 (“the ’466 Patent”), entitled METHODS, SYSTEMS AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCTS FOR CENTRALIZED MANAGEMENT OF APPLICATION PROGRAMS ON A NETWORK that issued on January 21, 2003. A true and correct copy of the ’466 Patent is attached as Exhibit A hereto.

11. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the ’466 Patent with ownership of all substantial rights therein, including the right to grant sublicenses, to exclude others, and to enforce, sue and recover past damages for the infringement thereof.


12. Upon information and belief, the following describes, at least in part, how Avast sells and/or otherwise distributes its antivirus and computer security software products:




13. Upon information and belief, the following describes, at least in part, how Avast distributes its antivirus and computer security software:



14. Upon information and belief, the following describes, at least in part, how Avast distributes its antivirus and computer security software:




15. Upon information and belief, the following describes, at least in part, how Avast distributes its antivirus and computer security software:



16. Upon information and belief, the following describes, at least in part, how Avast distributes its antivirus and computer security software:



17. Upon information and belief, the following describes, at least in part, how Avast distributes its antivirus and computer security software:



18. Avast has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '466 Patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 15, 22, 23 and 24 literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by or through making, using, importing, offering for sale and/or selling its software distribution system during the pendency of the '466 Patent which software and associated backend server architecture *inter alia* allows for installing application programs on a server, receiving a login request, establishing a user desktop, receiving a selection of one or more programs displayed in the user desktop and providing a program for execution.

19. In addition, should Avast's software delivery system be found to not literally infringe the asserted claims of the '466 Patent, Avast's accused system would nevertheless infringe the asserted claims of the '466 Patent. More specifically, the accused Avast software/system performs substantially the same function (making software available for digital download/management), in substantially the same way (via a client/server environment), to

yield substantially the same result (providing authorized software to a client for execution). Avast would thus be liable for direct infringement under the doctrine of equivalents.

20. Avast may have infringed the '466 Patent through other software utilizing the same or reasonably similar functionality, including other versions of its antivirus and computer security software distribution system. Uniloc reserves the right to discover and pursue all such additional infringing software.

21. Uniloc has been damaged, reparably and irreparably, by Avast's infringement of the '466 Patent and such damage will continue unless and until Avast is enjoined.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Uniloc requests that the Court enter judgment against Avast as follows:

- (A) that Avast has infringed the '466 Patent;
- (B) awarding Uniloc its damages suffered as a result of Avast's infringement of the '466 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (C) enjoining Avast, its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries and parents, and all others acting in concert or privity with it from infringing the '466 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- (D) awarding Uniloc its costs, attorneys' fees, expenses and interest, and
- (E) granting Uniloc such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Uniloc hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.

Dated: May , 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Craig Tadlock

Craig Tadlock

Texas State Bar No. 00791766

Keith Smiley

Texas State Bar No. 24067869

TADLOCK LAW FIRM PLLC

2701 Dallas Parkway, Suite 360

Plano, TX 75093

Tel: (903) 730-6789

Email: craig@tadlocklawfirm.com

Email: keith@tadlocklawfirm.com

Paul J. Hayes

Kevin Gannon

CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP

88 Black Falcon Ave

Suite 271

Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 951-2500

Facsimile: (617) 951-3927

Email: pjh@c-m.com

Email: ktg@c-m.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS