1 2	Chia-li Bruce (SBN 252876) cshih@BruceStone.us BRUCE STONE LLP 425 Market Street, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 230-4343	
3		
4	Facsimile: (415) 236-6060	
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs King Sheng Co., Ltd. & Mr. David Tsai	
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	KING SHENG CO., LTD., a Taiwan ) corporation; and Mr. DAVID TSAI, an	Case No.: 2:16-cv-03244 GW (MRWx)
11	individual,  Plaintiffs,	
12	V. )	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
13	CURT Manufacturing, LLC, a Delaware	PATENT INFRINGEMENT PURSUANT TO FRCP 15(a)(1)(B)
14	corporation,   Defendant.	
15	}	
16	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) Rule 15(a)(1)(B), as a matter of	
17		
18	right, plaintiffs, King Sheng Co., Ltd., dba Seiki ("Seiki"), and Mr. David Tsai, file this	
19	Amended Complaint against defendant CURT Manufacturing, LLC. ("Curt"), and demanding	
20	a trial by jury, alleges as follows:	
21	I. <u>THE PARTIES</u>	
22	1. Plaintiff, King Sheng Co., Ltd. ("King Sheng"), is a corporation incorporated under	
23	the laws of Taiwan and is located and doing business at No. 50, Lane 958, Zhanghua Road,	
24	Huatan Township, Chuang Hua City, Taiwan 503.	
25	2. Plaintiff, Mr. David Tsai, is an individual residing at No. 67, Nancheng St.,	
26	Changhua City, Changhua County 500, Taiwan (R.O.C.).	

3. Defendant, CURT Manufacturing, LLC. ("CURT"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains a principal place of business at 6208 Industrial Drive, Eau Claire, Wisconsin 54701.

# II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Plaintiffs claim against CURT are for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over CURT because, upon information and belief: (1) CURT has conducted and regularly conducts business activities within the State of California and the Central District of California; (2) CURT has had continuous, substantial, and systematic contacts with the State of California and this District through sale of and offers to sell certain products, including at least model numbers 18084 and 18086 (hereinafter the "Accused Products"); and (3) events giving rise to the cause of action herein, including, but not limited to, sales of, and offers to sell, the Accused Products, occurred and are occurring in California and in this District. As further set forth herein, on information and belief, CURT has contributed to and induced acts of infringement within the State of California and the Central District of California.
- 6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b), venue within this District is proper.

### III. THE ASSERTED PATENTS

- 7. On July 10, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued patent number 7,240,816 ("the '816 Patent") after full and fair examination. A true and accurate copy of the '816 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
  - 8. The '816 Patent relates to a bike rack for use on vehicles.
  - 9. The '816 Patent is valid and enforceable.

10. Mr. David Tsai is the inventor of the '816 patent and an owner of King Sheng, Ltd. King Sheng is the exclusive licensee of the '816 Patent and holds all substantial rights in the '816 Patent including the right to bring suit for patent infringement.

- 11. King Sheng has been a leading manufacturer in the field of bike racks whose products are sold around the world. King Sheng manufactures, imports, sells, and/or offers to sell products that utilize the '816 Patent in this District, throughout the United States, and in various countries around the world.
- 12. All products utilizing the '816 invention manufactured by King Sheng are prominently marked with US Patent #7240816 and TW (Taiwan) Patent #1222941.

# IV. DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENT OF THE '816 PATENT

- 13. CURT is a bike rack company that sells and distributes a wide variety of bike rack products.
  - 14. The Accused Products are among the products sold by CURT.
- 15. The Accused Products infringe, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, upon independent claim 1 of the '816 Patent and dependent claims 2-20 thereof. A true and correct copy of the cease and desist letter sent by Plaintiff to CURT on August 25, 2015, which includes an infringement analysis of CURT model 18084 under claim 1 of the '816 Patent, is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated by reference.
- 16. On information and belief, CURT has made, imports, sells, offers to sell, and/or uses the Accused Products. CURT also provides instructions and directions on how to use the Accused Products in both the product packaging and online via their website.
- 17. On information and belief, CURT has known of the '816 Patent since at least July 10, 2007, which is the date the '816 Patent issued from the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

#### V. COUNT I – PATENT INFRINGEMENT

18. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-14, supra.

- 19. CURT is currently infringing and has infringed the '816 Patent directly by, without authority, having made, importing into the United States, and/or using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, including this District, the Accused Products, which embody the inventions claimed in the '816 Patent.
- 20. CURT has directly and/or jointly with other entities infringed and is currently directly and/or jointly with other entities infringing the '816 Patent literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 21. CURT is actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly inducing infringement of the '816 Patent by others in the United States, including, but not limited to, distributors and bike retail stores, and is thus liable to Plaintiffs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 22. CURT is actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly contributing to infringement of the '816 Patent by others in the United States, including, but not limited to distributors and bike retail stores, and is thus liable to Plaintiffs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).
- 23. CURT has never been authorized to practice the inventions protected by the '816 Patent.
- 24. Because, upon information and belief, CURT has had actual notice of the '816 Patent since 2007 and its infringement of the '816 Patent has been and continues to be willful and deliberate.
- 25. CURT's infringement of the '816 Patent has caused injury to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs are entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for such infringement.
- 26. CURT will continue to infringe the '816 Patent unless this Court enjoins and restrains CURT's activities, and Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment: