

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

ContentGuard Holdings, Inc., <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-against-</p> <p>Google, Inc.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Defendant.</p>	Case No. 2:14-cv-61-JRG LEAD CONSOLIDATED CASE
ContentGuard Holdings, Inc., <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-against-</p> <p>Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., et al., <p style="text-align: right;">Defendants.</p></p>	Case No. 2:16-cv-176-JRG

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, “Samsung”) appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Judgment entered in these consolidated actions on October 13, 2015 (Dkt. No. 388 in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61; Dkt. No. 989 in Civil Action 2:13-cv-1112)¹, the Memorandum Opinion and Order denying Samsung’s post-trial motions entered on July 8,

¹ By Order entered March 1, 2016, the Court severed Samsung and the other “Android Defendants” from Civil Action 2:13-cv-1112 into a separate action, Civil Action 2:16-cv-176, and consolidated Civil Action 2:16-cv-176 with Civil Action 2:14-cv-61, with Civil Action 2:14-cv-61 as the Lead Case. See Dkt. No. 1 in Civil Action 2:16-cv-176; Dkt. No. 472 in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61. The Court further ordered that “[a]ny and all orders, motions, notices, and stipulations that applied to the Android Defendants in Civil Action 2:13-cv-1112 shall continue to apply with full force and effect to the Android Defendants in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61” and that “[a]ll future filings regarding the Android Defendants should only be filed in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61.” *Id.*

2016 (Dkt. No. 486 in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61), and all non-final and interlocutory rulings that affected any aspect of the final judgment that was adverse to Samsung, including but not limited to the Memorandum Opinion and Order entered October 5, 2015 (Dkt. No. 381 in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61; Dkt. No. 982 in Civil Action 2:13-cv-1112), the Substitute Order entered September 4, 2015 (Dkt. No. 335 in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61; Dkt. No. 901 in 2:13-cv-1112), the Memorandum Opinion and Order entered in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61 on December 4, 2015 (Dkt. No. 409) and in Civil Action 2:13-cv-1112 on March 20, 2015 (Dkt. No. 459), and the Order And Opinion With Findings Of Fact And Conclusions Of Law entered on July 8, 2016 (Dkt. No. 487 in Civil Action 2:14-cv-61).

Dated: August 5, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Neil P. Sirota _____

Neil Phillip Sirota
neil.sirota@bakerbotts.com
Robert Lawrence Maier
robert.maier@bakerbotts.com
Brian Boerman
brian.boerman@bakerbotts.com
Joshua David Sibble
joshua.sibble@bakerbotts.com
Baker Botts LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza, 44th Floor
New York, NY 10112
Telephone: (212) 408-2548
Facsimile: (212) 259-2548

Michael E. Jones
Texas State Bar No.10929400
mikejones@potterminton.com
Potter Minton, A Professional Corporation
110 North College, Suite 500
Tyler, TX 75702
Telephone: 903-597-8311
Facsimile: 903-593-0846

Counsel for Defendants Samsung Electronics
Co., Ltd. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a), and served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service on August 5, 2016.

/s/ Neil P. Sirota

Neil P. Sirota