1 2 3 4	MARK W. GOOD (Bar No. 218809) TERRA LAW LLP 50 W. San Fernando St., #1415 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200 Facsimile: 408-998-4895 Email: mgood@terra-law.com				
5	JONATHAN T. SUDER ( <i>Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed</i> ) CORBY R. VOWELL ( <i>Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed</i> ) TODD I. BLUMENFELD ( <i>Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed</i> ) FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: vowell@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com				
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
16	SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.,				
17	SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff.	CASE NO.			
17 18	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR			
17 18 19	Plaintiff, vs.	CASE NO.			
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT			
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			
117   118   119   120   121   122   123   124   124   131	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			
17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   225	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			
117   118   119   220   221   222   223   224   225   226   1	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			
17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   225	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	CASE NO.  ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765			

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. files its Complaint against Defendant SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., alleging as follows:

### **THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. ("SoftVault") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington with its principal place of business in the State of Washington.

2. Upon information and belief, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. ("Samsung") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey. Samsung may be served with process through its registered agent, CT Corporation System at 818

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

W. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017.

4. Upon information and belief, Samsung is subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court. Samsung has committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of California that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be hailed into a California court as a future consequence of such activity. Samsung makes, uses, and/or sells infringing products within the Northern District of California and has a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Northern District of California, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Upon information and belief, Samsung has transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, is continuing to transact business within the Northern District of California. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

### PATENTS-IN-SUIT

5. On June 19, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,249,868 BI ("the '868 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX

SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '868 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.

- 6. On July 15, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,594,765 B2 ("the '765 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '765 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and made a part hereof.
- 7. The '868 Patent and the '765 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively as "the Patents-in-Suit."
- 8. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to a method and system of protecting electronic, mechanical, and electromechanical devices and systems, such as for example a computer system, and their components and software from unauthorized use. Specifically, certain claims of the '868 and '765 Patents disclose the utilization of embedded agents within system components to allow for the enablement or disablement of the system component in which the agent is embedded. The invention disclosed in the Patents-in-Suit discloses a server that communicates with the embedded agent through the use of one or more handshake operations to authorize the embedded agent. When the embedded agent is authorized by the server, it enables the device or component, and when not authorized the embedded agent disables the device or component by remotely locking the device.

#### **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### (Patent Infringement)

- 9. SoftVault repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.
- 10. SoftVault is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.
- 11. Samsung has had knowledge of, or was willfully blind to, the existence of the Patents-in-Suit since the filing of this Complaint, if not earlier.
  - 12. Upon information and belief, Samsung is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) for direct

infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

- 13. Upon information and belief, Samsung is also liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(b) for inducing infringement of, and under 35 U.S.C. §271(c) for contributory infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 14. More specifically, Samsung infringes the Patents-in-Suit because it makes, uses, sells, and offers for sale products and systems which prevent unauthorized use of a computer system through the ability to enable or disable the operation of a device's components utilizing an authorization process performed by an embedded agent in the component and a server. By way of example only, Samsung's KNOX Platform (and its SDS EMM and CellWe software) which includes mobile device management features, at a minimum, in the past directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least claim 9 of the '765 Patent.
- 15. Samsung provides its KNOX Platform that includes the capability to enable or disable a mobile device, such as a tablet or smart phone, to prevent misuse of the system. The KNOX Platform includes an agent (the "KNOX client software") that is installed and embedded within a mobile device and communicates with a KNOX server. This communication includes a series of message exchanges, memorialized by digital certificates ("Certificate Authority"), constituting a handshake operation between the KNOX server and the KNOX client software. Through these exchanges the server and the embedded agent mutually authenticate one another, resulting in the authorization of a device in which the KNOX client software is embedded. When the agent is authorized by the server, the mobile device operates normally and when the agent is not authorized, the mobile device is remotely locked, wiped, and/or disabled.
- 16. By providing the Samsung KNOX Platform, Samsung has, in the past and continues to induce its customers and/or end users to infringe at least claims 1 and 44 of the '868

Patent, as well as at least claim 9 of the '765 Patent. For example, end users of the accused products directly infringe at least claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least claim 9 of the '765 Patent, when using or employing these systems.

- 17. On information and belief, Samsung possessed a specific intent to induce infringement by at a minimum, providing user guides and other sales-related materials, and by way of advertising, solicitation, and provision of product instruction materials, that instruct its customers and end users on the normal operation of the accused products and the mobile device management features that infringe the Patents-in-Suit.
- 18. By providing these systems, Samsung has, in the past and continues to contribute to the infringement of their customers and/or end users of at least claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least claim 9 of the '765 Patent.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the remote lock features within Samsung's accused products have no substantial non-infringing uses, and Samsung knows that these features are especially made or especially adapted for use in a product that infringes the Patents-in-Suit.
- 20. SoftVault has been damaged as a result of Samsung's infringing conduct. Samsung, thus, is liable to SoftVault in an amount that adequately compensates SoftVault for Samsung's infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SoftVault requests that the Court find in its favor and against Samsung, and that the Court grant SoftVault the following relief:

- Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed,
   either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Samsung;
- Judgment that Samsung account for and pay to SoftVault all damages to and costs incurred by SoftVault because of Samsung's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That Samsung, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from

## Case 5:16-cv-04802-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/19/16 Page 6 of 6

1		infringement of the Patents	s-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an	
2	injunction is not warranted, SoftVault requests an award of post judgment royalty			
3		to compensate for future inf	fringement;	
4	d.	That SoftVault be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the		
5		damages caused to it by	reason of Samsung's infringing activities and other	
6		conduct complained of here	ein;	
7	e.	That this Court declare	this an exceptional case and award SoftVault its	
8		reasonable attorney's fees a	and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and	
9	f.	That SoftVault be granted s	such other and further relief as the Court may deem just	
10		and proper under the circum	nstances.	
11	JURY DEMAND			
12	Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil			
13	Procedure.			
14	DATED: August 19, 2016 /s/ Mark W. Good			
15			MARK W. GOOD	
16			(Bar No. 218809) TERRA LAW LLP 50 W. San Fernando St., #1415	
17			San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200	
18			Facsimile: 408-998-4895 Email: mgood@terralaw.com	
19			Attorney for Plaintiff	
20			SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.	
21			Of Counsel:	
22			Jonathan T. Suder Corby R. Vowell	
23			Todd Blumenfeld FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE	
24			Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200	
25			Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400	
26			Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com	
27			Email: vowell@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com	
28	4832-8632-8887, \	v. 1		
	I		5	