

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

VENUS LOCATIONS, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

ACTSOFT, INC.

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Venus Locations, LLC (“Venus” or Plaintiff), through the undersigned attorneys, and respectfully alleges, states, and prays as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 United States Code (“U.S.C.”) to prevent and enjoin defendant Actsoft, Inc., (hereinafter “Defendant”) from infringing and profiting, in an illegal and unauthorized manner and without authorization and/or of the consent from Venus, from U.S. Patent No. 6,442,485 (the “485 patent”, attached hereto as Exhibit “A”) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271, and to recover damages, attorney’s fees, and costs.

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Venus is a Texas entity with its principal place of business at 6860 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 200, Plano, TX 75024.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, with a principal place of business at 10006 N Dale Mabry Hwy, Suite 100, Tampa, FL 33618-4422 and mailing address at 10006 N Dale Mabry Hwy, Suite

100, Tampa, FL 33618-4422. Upon information and belief, Defendant may be served with process at Fee & Jefferies, P.A., 1227 Franklin Street, Tampa FL 33602.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction, as alleged herein, as well as because of the injury to Venus, and the cause of action Venus has risen, as alleged herein.

6. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this judicial district. Upon information and belief, Defendant, directly and/or through its distribution network, places accused devices, as defined below, within the stream of commerce, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such accused devices will be sold in this District. Upon information and belief, Defendant has engaged in substantial and not isolated activity within this District. Therefore, exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant will not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Such an exercise is consistent with the Texas long-arm statute.

7. Defendant has conducted and does conduct business within the state of Texas, including the geographic region within the Eastern District of Texas, directly or through intermediaries, resellers or agents, or offers for sale, sells, advertises (including through the use of

interactive web pages with promotional material) products or services, or uses services or products in Texas, including this judicial district, that infringe the '485 patent.

8. Specifically, Defendant solicits business from and markets its services to consumers within Texas, including the geographic region within the Eastern District of Texas, by selling and offering for sale articles of manufacture causing a computer to indicate a logically true condition existing between a global positioning system's navigational signal and a selectively translated navigational position derived from selected global positioning data to said Texas consumers.

9. In addition to Defendant continuously and systematically conducting business in Texas, the causes of action against Defendant are connected (but not limited) to Defendant's purposeful acts committed in the state of Texas, including the geographic region within the Eastern District of Texas, including Defendant's making, using, offering for sale, or selling removable articles of manufacture that fall within the scope of at least one claim of the '485 patent.

10. Venue lies in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. On August 27, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '485 patent, entitled "Method and apparatus for an automatic vehicle location, collision notification, and synthetic voice" after a full and fair examination. (Exhibit A).

12. Venus is presently the owner of the patent, having received all right, title and interest in and to the '485 patent from the previous assignee of record. Venus possesses all rights of recovery under the '485 patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past infringement.

13. The '485 patent contains four (4) independent claims and twenty three (23) dependent claims. Defendant commercializes, *inter alia*, devices that include each and every element of at least one claim of the '485 patent.

14. The invention claimed in the '485 patent comprises a system for automatic positional location including detection of a nearest location.

DEFENDANT'S PRODUCTS

15. Defendant's products, such as the "Actsoft Comet Suite" and the "Actsoft Comet Tracker" (collectively, the "Accused Product"), are articles of manufacture. For example, at least during development and testing, the Accused Product comprises an article of manufacture with computer-readable program code embodied therein suitable for Android and iOS platforms.

16. The Accused Product causes a response to a global positioning system's navigational signal. For example, the Accused Product uses a global positioning system ("GPS") device to determine the position of the device.

17. The Accused Product causes a computer to selectively translate said global positioning navigational signal. For example, the Accused Product determines places of interest based on the GPS position of the device.

18. The Accused Product causes a computer to compare said global positioning system's navigational signal and said selectively translated navigational position derived from selected global positioning data. For example, the Accused Product is able to provide a geofencing boundary.

19. The Accused Product causes a computer to indicate a logically true condition between said global positioning system's navigational signal and said selectively translated navigational position derived from selected global positioning data. For example, the Accused

Product compares the current location to the geofencing boundary or route and sends alarms if the device leaves the boundary.

20. The elements described in paragraphs 15-19 are covered by at least claim 27 of the '485 patent. Thus, Defendant's use, manufacture, sale, and/or offer for sale of the Accused Product is enabled by the device described in the '485 patent.

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '485 PATENT

21. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 20.

22. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant is now, and has been directly infringing the '485 patent.

23. Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the '485 patent at least as of the service of the present complaint.

24. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least claims 29 of the '485 patent by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling the Accused Product without authority in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's direct infringement of the '485 patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.

25. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured Venus and is thus liable for infringement of the '485 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

26. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

27. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '485 patent, Venus has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement, together with interests and costs.

28. Venus will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court. As such, Venus is entitled to compensation for any continuing and/or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

29. Venus demands a trial by jury of any and all causes of action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Venus prays for the following relief:

a. That Defendant be adjudged to have infringed the '485 patent directly, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;

b. That Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, affiliates, divisions, branches, parents, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and enjoined from directly infringing the '485 patent;

c. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 sufficient to compensate Venus for the Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement, including compensatory damages;

d. An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against Defendant, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;

e. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including Venus's attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

f. That Venus have such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: August 31, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/Eugenio J. Torres-Oyola

Eugenio J. Torres-Oyola

USDC No. 215505

Ferraiuoli LLC

221 Plaza, 5th Floor

221 Ponce de León Avenue

San Juan, PR 00917

Telephone: (787) 766-7000

Facsimile: (787) 766-7001

Email: etorres@ferraiuoli.com

Jean G. Vidal Font

USDC No. 227811

Ferraiuoli LLC

221 Plaza, 5th Floor

221 Ponce de León Avenue

San Juan, PR 00917

Telephone: (787) 766-7000

Facsimile: (787) 766-7001

Email: jvidal@ferraiuoli.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
VENUS LOCATIONS, LLC**