

Michelle Bardelair

Mail to:

P.O. Box 457

Edgewater MD 21037

Plaintiffs

E-FILING

FILED

FEB 24 2017

#14

Pd

SUSAN Y. SOONG
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE

SN

ADR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Michelle Bardelair,
Plaintiff,

CV 1700968

Case No.

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

VS.
Autodesk and
its subsidiary,
Defendants

1. JURISDICTION. This court has jurisdiction over this complaint because it arises under the laws of the United States on Patents and contracts and diversity of residents.

2. VENUE. Venue is appropriate because the Defendant resides in this district, and a substantial amounts of the acts and omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this district.

3. Intradistrict Assignment. This lawsuit should be assigned to San Francisco Division of this -

Court because a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the lawsuit occurred in Marin County, California.

4. Plaintiff Michelle Bourdelais is the inventor, the original and only inventor of the "Room Designer" and its associated patent, created at her company in 1998 by her at Interiors Interactive, patent number US6727925, patented on April 27, 2004 as original Assignee and is a resident of another state, Maryland. The Defendants supposedly claim to now "own the patent outright" effective date February 2016, according to the U.S. P.T.O.

5. Statement of facts. Autodesk and ConfigureOne violated federal law by illegally taking my patent without the 20% of merger and acquisition value associated with my rights in my contracts for due diligence (3) forensic accountants to conduct financial evaluation of the intellectual property value associated in a merger and acquisition -

for the life of the Room Designer
ownership and out of the 3 forensic
accountants, one would be selected
for the final value and of the
final value, 20% would be paid to
me at the time of transaction. Further,
I was to be notified at the time
of transaction, or through my patent
attorney Dane Baker, esq and neither of
us were ever contacted or notified.
These agreements were done in writing
and Autodesk is in breach. Autodesk
infers they owed me \$40,000. That
is not the case. I spent 3 years of my
life, unpaid, and I had dominated the
market for a year⁽²⁰⁰⁸⁾ with the biggest
clients but it was 4 years later the
patent went through. I found out
what they all did to me through
(3)

the media. They are accountable as public company to do right by shareholders and perform due diligence & claims.

- a) Breach of contract of federal contract
- b) Patent Infringement

AMB:

7. Request for Relief.

- a) Autodesk to pay \$120,000 and if I have to hire an attorney,
- b) Attorney fees if they drag it out as a big company who doesn't care
- c) Apologize verbally
- d) Due better due diligence for other poor small inventors in the future because they are a public company that has to do it

8. Request for Jury Trial.

A group of basic citizens can see through all the fancy + technical stuff →(cont)

4. Signature
Michelle Bondehais

Michelle Bondehais
2/24/17

Certificate of Service

A copy of this complaint was served on Autodesk General Counsel to their legal address at 111 ... McInnis, San Rafael, California 94903.

Signed

Michelle Bondehais
2/24/17