1 2 3	David R. Flyer, Bar #100697 Raquel Flyer, Bar #282248 FLYER & FLYER, A Professional Law Corporation 4120 Birch St., Ste. 101		
4	Newport Beach, CA 92660 (949) 622-8444		
5	(949) 622-8448 (fax) davidflyer@flyerandflyer.com		
6	raquelflyer@flyerandflyer.com Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	EDCO PLASTICS, INC.		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	EDCO PLASTICS, INC., A California Corporation,	Case No. 8:17-cv-00456	
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND	
14	VS.	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR:	
15 16	E-Z LETTERING SERVICE, dba E-Z LINE, an unknown California entity; EUROMA INTERNATIONAL, INC., a	(1) INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,962,013; AND, (2) INFRINGEMENT UNDER DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS	
17	Georgia Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
19			
20 21	Plaintiff EDCO PLASTICS, INC., ("EDCO"), by and through its attorneys,		
22	alleges and avers as follows:		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	2. EDCO is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times		
28	mentioned herein Defendant E-Z LETTERING SERVICE, dba E-Z LINE, an unknowr		

California entity ("E-Z LETTERING") had and has its principal place of business in the County of Orange, California, and is doing business in the County of Orange, California.

- 3. Defendant EUROMA INTERNATIONAL, INC., (hereafter "EUROMA") was, and is, a Georgia corporation, and doing business with E-Z LETTERING, among others, by supplying them with manufactured products, in the County of Orange, California.
- 4. The true names and capacities of the Defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 10, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by said fictitious names, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as DOES is legally responsible for the events and happenings hereinafter alleged and legally caused injury and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff as alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend the Complaint when the true names and capacities of said DOE Defendants have been ascertained.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the Defendants participated in and is in some manner responsible for the acts described in this Complaint and any damages resulting therefrom.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. EDCO asserts against Defendants E-Z LETTERING and EUROMA and DOES 1-10, claims for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§100 et seq. This Court, therefore, has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(c) and 1400(a)-(b), because all Defendants may be found within this judicial district, and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim, as to each Defendant, occurred within this judicial district.

THE PATENT IN SUIT

8. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title and interest in and to United States Patent No. 6,962,013 (the "013 Patent"), entitled "License Plate Frame," that was duly

and legally issued on November 8, 2005. A true and correct copy of the '013 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." Plaintiff became owner of the '013 Patent by assignment executed on July 22, 2009. A true and correct assignment of the '013 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."

- 9. The method claimed in the '013 Patent is a method of forming a vehicle license plate frame. Claim 1 teaches the steps of the method which include forming a frame body having a first color, wherein the frame body comprises a substantially planar surface and at least one character formed by a recessed surface in the frame body, and adhering a contrast layer comprising an adhesive substance and a coloring agent having a second color to the substantially planar surface of the frame body and not to the recessed surface, wherein the second color contrasts with the first color.
- 10. Claims 2, with the restriction of at least one recessed surface forming a complete character, and 3, with the restriction of the recessed surface and substantially planar surface meeting at a non-radiused edge, are dependent on Claim 1.
- 11. Claim 4 describes a method of forming a vehicle license plate frame by forming a frame body having a first color, wherein the frame body comprises a substantially planar surface and at least one character formed by a recessed surface in the frame body. The steps include adhering a contrast layer comprising an adhesive substance and a coloring agent having a second color to the substantially planar surface of the frame body and not to the recessed surface, wherein the second color contrasts with the first color, by: providing a hot stamp foil having a transfer surface and a working surface, the transfer surface comprising a layer of colored material; placing the transfer surface of the hot stamp foil onto the substantially planar surface; and applying heat and pressure to the working surface of the hot stamp foil, thereby forming the layer of colored material on the substantially planar surface of the frame body.
- 12. Claim 5, with the restriction of the hot stamp foil having a surface area sufficient to cover the substantially planar surface of the frame body, is dependent on Claim 4.

13.

11 12

10

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

comprising the steps of: (a) forming a frame body having a first color, wherein the frame body comprises: a substantially planar surface; and a character formed in a recessed surface in the frame body, and wherein the character comprises an upper surface; and (b) adhering a contrast layer comprising an adhesive substance and a coloring agent having a second color to the substantially planar surface of the frame body and to the upper surface of the character but not to the recessed surface, wherein the second color contrasts with the first color.

Claim 6 teaches a method of forming a vehicle license plate frame,

- 14. Claim 7, with the restriction of the recessed surface joining the substantially planar surface at a non-radiused edge, is dependent on Claim 6.
- 15. Claim 8 teaches a method of forming a vehicle license plate frame, comprising the steps of: forming a frame body having a first color, wherein the frame body comprises: (i) a substantially planar surface; and a character formed in a recessed surface in the frame body, wherein the character comprises an upper surface; and adhering a contrast layer comprising an adhesive substance and a coloring agent having a second color to the substantially planar surface of the frame body and to the upper surface of the character but not to the recessed surface, wherein the second color contrasts with the first color, by: providing a hot stamp foil having a transfer surface and a working surface, the transfer surface comprising a layer of colored material; (ii) placing the transfer surface of the hot stamp foil onto the substantially planar surface; and (iii) applying heat and pressure to the working surface of the hot stamp foil, thereby forming the layer of colored material on the substantially planar surface of the frame body.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

(Against E-Z LETTERING, EUROMA and DOES 1-10)

16. EDCO restates and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-15, inclusive, as if set forth fully herein.

- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants E-Z LETTERING and DOES 1-3 have used and/or have, without authorization, offered for sale and sold license plates frames (the "Accused Products," identified at Exhibit "C"), that were made using the method of the '013 Patent which infringes the Patent.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants EUROMA and DOES 4-6 have used and/or have, without authorization, manufactured, distributed and sold the "Accused Products," that were made using the method of the '013 Patent which infringes the Patent, to Defendants E-Z LETTERING and DOES 1-3, within Orange County, California.
- 19. Upon information and belief, one or more of the Defendants were, and are aware of the '013 Patent and continue to sell or offer to sell products that infringe the '013 Patent.
- 20. Upon information and belief, the above named Defendants have provided their customers, DOES 7-10, with the Accused Products. The said customers are, therefore, direct infringers of the '013 Patent.
- 21. As a result of the aforementioned acts, Defendants have infringed, actively induced infringement and/or contributed to infringement by others of Claims 1-8 of the '013 Patent.
- 22. Plaintiff informed at least one representative of the above named Defendants of the '013 Patent in March 2017. On information and belief, Defendants have nevertheless continued infringement, inducement of infringement and contributory infringement of the '013 Patent without seeking the permission or authorization of Plaintiff.
- 23. Upon information and belief, by the acts of patent infringement herein complained of, Defendants have made substantial profits to which they are not equitably entitled.
- 24. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants all damages it has sustained as a result of Defendants' infringement, inducement of infringement and contributory

infringement of the '013 Patent.

- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendants' infringement, induced infringement and/or contributory infringement of the '013 Patent will continue and will cause Plaintiff irreparable harm unless enjoined by this Court, since Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 26. Upon information and belief, this is an exceptional case as to Defendants under 35 U.S.C. §285, entitling Plaintiff to reasonable attorney's fees.

SECOND CLAIM FOR INFRINGEMENT BY DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS

(Against E-Z LETTERING, EUROMA and DOES 1-10)

- 27. EDCO restates and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-26, inclusive, as if set forth fully herein.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendants E-Z LETTERING and DOES 1-3 have used and/or have, without authorization, offered for sale and sold license plates frames (the "Accused Products," identified at Exhibit "C"), that at the time of infringement, performed substantially the same functions, in substantially the same way and achieved substantially the same results as the '013 Patent, thereby infringing the Patent.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendants EUROMA and DOES 4-6 have used and/or have, without authorization, manufactured, distributed and sold the "Accused Products," that at the time of infringement, performed substantially the same functions, in substantially the same way and achieved substantially the same results as the '013 Patent, thereby infringing the Patent.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the above named Defendants have provided their customers, DOES 7-10, with the Accused Products. The said customers are, therefore, infringers under the doctrine of equivalents, of the '013 Patent.
- 31. Plaintiff informed at least one representative of the above named Defendants of the '013 Patent in March 2017. On information and belief, Defendants have nevertheless continued infringement, inducement of infringement and contributory

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

infringement under the doctrine of equivalents, of the '013 Patent without seeking the permission or authorization of Plaintiff.

- Upon information and belief, by the acts of patent infringement herein 32. complained of, Defendants have made substantial profits to which they are not equitably entitled.
- 33. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants all damages it has sustained as a result of Defendants' infringement, inducement of infringement and contributory infringement of the '013 Patent.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendants' infringement, induced infringement and/or contributory infringement of the '013 Patent will continue and will cause Plaintiff irreparable harm unless enjoined by this Court, since Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 35. Upon information and belief, this is an exceptional case as to Defendants under 35 U.S.C. §285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For preliminary and permanent injunctions against further infringement, inducement of infringement or contributory infringement of the '013 Patent against Defendants E-Z LETTERING SERVICE, dba E-Z LINE, EUROMA INTERNATIONAL, INC., and DOES 1-10.
- 2. For an award in Plaintiff's favor of three times the damages it has sustained as a consequence of infringement, inducement of infringement and contributory infringement of the '013 Patent by Defendants E-Z LETTERING SERVICE, dba E-Z LINE, EUROMA INTERNATIONAL, INC., and DOES 1-10, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284.
- 3. For an award to Plaintiff of attorney's fees, as provided by 35 U.S.C. §285, against Defendants E-Z LETTERING SERVICE, dba E-Z LINE, EUROMA INTERNATIONAL, INC., and DOES 1-10.
 - 4. For an award to Plaintiff of interest and costs against Defendants E-Z

1	LETTERING SERVICE, dba E-Z LINE, EUROMA INTERNATIONAL, INC., and DOES	
2	1-10.	
3	5. For an award to Plaintiff of such other and further relief as this Court deems	
4	just and proper.	
5	PLAINTIFF EDCO PLASTICS, INC., DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL	
6	ISSUES SO TRIABLE.	
7	Respectfully submitted,	
8	FLYER & FLYER, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION	
10	Dated: March 14, 2017 By:/s/ Raquel Flyer	
11	Dated: March 14, 2017 By: /s/ Raquel Flyer David R. Flyer, Raquel Flyer Attorneys for Plaintiff EDCO PLASTICS, INC.	
12	EDCO PLASTICS, INC.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		