

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
Kevin A. Smith (Bar No. 250814)  
kevinsmith@quinnemanuel.com  
50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Attorney for Defendants Fairchild Semiconductor  
Int'l, Inc., Fairchild Semiconductor Corp., and  
Fairchild (Taiwan) Corp.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR  
INTERNATIONAL, INC., FAIRCHILD  
SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, and  
FAIRCHILD (TAIWAN) CORPORATION,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C 09-5235-MMC (MEJ)

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 3 and 4, Defendants Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc.; Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation; and Fairchild (Taiwan) Corporation (collectively, "Fairchild") hereby appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Judgment entered March 10, 2017 (Dkt. 1038) and any and all orders, decisions, and rulings merged therein, including but not limited to the following:

- The Court's February 22, 2017 Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Relief and to Vacate Judgment (Dkt. 1031);
- The Court's January 13, 2017 Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Enhanced Damages (Dkt. 1020);
- The Court's August 24, 2016 Order Denying Defendants' Renewed Motion For Judgment As A Matter of Law, New Trial And/Or Remittitur; Granting In Part And Denying In Part Plaintiff's Motion for Prejudgment Interest (Dkt. 986);

- 1       • The December 18, 2015 Judgment entered upon the December 17, 2015 jury verdict  
2       (Dkt. 922);
- 3       • The Court's October 8, 2015 Order Re: Motions To Exclude Expert Testimony (Dkt.  
4       747) and the Court's November 26, 2013 Order Denying Fairchild's Motion to Exclude  
5       The Opinions and Testimony Of Jonathan D. Putnam (Dkt. 348);
- 6       • The Court's January 26, 2015 Order Denying Motion For Finding Of Unenforceability  
7       Due To Inequitable Conduct with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908 (Dkt. 678 and  
8       680);
- 9       • The Court's November 25, 2014 Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part  
10       Fairchild's Renewed Motion For Reconsideration On Damages Issues (Dkt. 666);
- 11       • The Court's September 9, 2014 Order Re: Post-Trial Motions (Dkt. 632) relating to the  
12       March 4, 2014 jury verdict;
- 13       • The Court's November 26, 2013 Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part  
14       Fairchild's Motion For Summary Judgment (Dkt. 350);
- 15       • The Court's November 26, 2013 Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part Power  
16       Integrations' Motion For Summary Judgment (Dkt. 349);
- 17       • The Court's November 26, 2013 Order Denying Fairchild's Motion For De Novo  
18       Review Of The Magistrate Judge's Orders (Dkt. 345 and 352) and Magistrate Judge's  
19       Orders (Dkt. 231, 241 and 269);
- 20       • The Court's May 6, 2013, May 9, 2012, August 30, 2011, and July 13, 2011 Claim  
21       Construction Orders (Dkt. 215, 212, 148 132, 128 and 121);
- 22       • Evidentiary and instructional rulings merged into the above judgment, including but  
23       not limited to rulings permitting expert testimony on the Entire Market Value Rule  
24       (Dkt. 889 at 1022-43) and ruling on proposed jury instructions and verdict forms (Dkt.  
25       414, 455, 458, 476, 478, 517, 518, 559 at 3026-76, 558 at 2800-08, 556 at 2106-2241,  
26       893, 901, 906, 912, 924 at 1599-1606 and 925 at 1612-49).

1 DATED: March 27, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN, LLP

2  
3 By /s/ Kevin A. Smith

Kevin A. Smith

4 Attorney for Defendants Fairchild Semiconductor  
5 Int'l, Inc., Fairchild Semiconductor Corp., and  
6 Fairchild (Taiwan) Corp  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28