

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION

SMART WEARABLE TECHNOLOGIES  
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No. 3:17-cv-00022-GEC

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff SMART WEARABLE TECHNOLOGIES INC. files its First Amended Complaint against Defendant MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, alleging as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff SMART WEARABLE TECHNOLOGIES INC. ("Smart Wearable") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principle place of business in the State of Virginia.

2. Upon information and belief, MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC ("Motorola") is a Delaware corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 222 West Merchandise, Mart Plaza, Suite 1800, Mailstop 16 0 19, Chicago, IL 60654. Motorola may be served with process through its registered agent CT Corporation System at 4701 Cox Road, Suite 285, Glen Allen, Virginia 23060.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

4. Upon information and belief, Motorola is subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court. Motorola has committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of Virginia

that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be haled into a Virginia court as a future consequence of such activity. Motorola makes, uses, and/or sells infringing products within the Western District of Virginia and has a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Western District of Virginia, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Upon information and belief, Motorola has transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, is continuing to transact business within the Western District of Virginia. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

### **PATENT-IN-SUIT**

5. On February 14, 2006, United States Patent No. 6,997,882 B1 (“the ‘882 Patent”) was duly and legally issued for “6-DOF SUBJECT MONITORING DEVICE AND METHOD.” A true and correct copy of the ‘882 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof. Exhibit A includes a certificate of correction issued on November 8, 2016 that, in part, pertains to claim 8 of the ‘882 Patent.

6. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the ‘882 Patent, very generally speaking, relates to systems and methods of monitoring a subject using acquired six degree-of-freedom (“6-DOF”) data regarding the subject as well as acquired physiological data of the subject. Specifically, certain claims of the ‘882 Patent disclose the use of an acceleration module to obtain 6-DOF data descriptive of the movement of a subject. The 6-DOF data is synchronized with obtained physiological data, such as the sensed, detected, or measured heart rate of the subject, for example. The synchronized 6-DOF and physiological data is then displayed.

### **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### **(Patent Infringement)**

7. Smart Wearable repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.

8. Smart Wearable is the owner of the ‘882 Patent with the exclusive right to enforce the ‘882 Patent against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

9. Motorola has had knowledge of, or was willfully blind to, the existence of the ‘882 Patent since the filing of the Original Complaint on March 4, 2017, if not earlier.

10. Upon information and belief, Motorola is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) for direct infringement of the ‘882 Patent because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the ‘882 Patent.

11. Upon information and belief, Motorola is also liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(b) for inducing infringement of, and under 35 U.S.C. §271(c) for contributory infringement of the ‘882 Patent because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the ‘882 Patent.

12. More specifically, Motorola infringes the ‘882 Patent because it makes, uses, sells, and offers for sale at least the Moto 360 wristwatch (hereinafter referred to as “the Accused Product”). The Accused Product monitors a subject using acquired 6-DOF data regarding the subject as well as acquired physiological data of the subject.

13. Upon information and belief, the Accused Product is attachable to the wrist of a user and includes at least an accelerometer and gyroscope for providing data from which 6-DOF movement information descriptive of the movement of the user is obtained. Upon information and belief, the Accused Product includes, at least, a heart rate sensor for obtaining physiological data of the user. The Accused Product synchronizes the 6-DOF data with obtained physiological data of the subject and displays the synchronized 6-DOF and physiological data on, at least, the display of the Accused Product. Additionally, or alternatively, the synchronized data is displayed on an external device running an Android operating system, such as a smart phone, tablet, or computer, via Moto Body’s software application and software in the Android system. By way of example only, at least the Accused Product in the past directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least claim 8 of the ‘882 Patent.

14. By providing at least the Accused Product, Motorola has, in the past and continues to induce its customers and/or end users to infringe at least claim 8 of the '882 Patent. For example, end users of at least the Accused Product directly infringe at least claim 8 of the '882 Patent when using the Accused Product to, at least, monitor their heart rate, active minutes, calories burned, heart rate zone training, and/or sleep tracking.

15. On information and belief, Motorola possessed a specific intent to induce infringement by at a minimum, providing user guides and other sales-related materials, and by way of advertising, solicitation, and provision of product instruction materials, that instruct its customers and end users on the normal operation of at least the Accused Product including heart rate, active minutes, calories burned, heart rate zone training, and/or sleep tracking features that infringe the '882 Patent.

16. By providing the Accused Product, which comprise a sensor configuration especially adapted to accommodate functionality that infringes claim 8 of the '882 Patent, including an acceleration module comprising accelerometers and gyroscopes as well as a heart rate sensor, all contained within a housing comprising a display and attachable to the wrist of a user, Motorola has in the past and continues to contribute to the infringement of their customers and/or end users of at least claim 8 of the '882 Patent.

17. The sensor configuration present in the Accused Products accommodates acquisition of both movement data and physiological data regarding a user of the Accused Products. The acquired data are processed, synchronized, and displayed (via the built-in display or via an external display using the Moto Body App developed and promoted by Motorola) to provide at least calories burned, heart rate zone training, sleep tracking, and/or a combination of heart rate and activity information. The Accused Product have no substantial non-infringing uses because, although there may exist certain non-infringing functions which utilize data obtained from only one of the acceleration module or the heart rate sensor, there exist no non-infringing function of the Accused Products that displays synchronized data acquired from both the acceleration module and the heart rate sensor. Motorola knows that the Accused Products are

implemented with a unique component configuration making them especially made or especially adapted for use in a product that infringes the '882 Patent.

18. Smart Wearable has been damaged as a result of Motorola's infringing conduct. Motorola, thus, is liable to Smart Wearable in an amount that adequately compensates Smart Wearable for Motorola's infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Smart Wearable requests that the Court find in its favor and against Motorola, and that the Court grant Smart Wearable the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '882 Patent have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Motorola;
- b. Judgment that Motorola account for and pay to Smart Wearable all damages to and costs incurred by Smart Wearable because of Motorola's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That Motorola, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the '882 Patent. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, Smart Wearable requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for future infringement;
- d. That Smart Wearable be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Motorola's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- e. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Smart Wearable its reasonable attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- f. That Smart Wearable be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: April 20, 2017

/s/ Mark D. Obenshain

Mark D. Obenshain (VSB # 27476)  
Justin M. Wolcott (VSB # 83367)  
OBENSHAIN LAW GROUP  
420 Neff Avenue, Suite 130  
Harrisonburg, VA 22801  
Telephone: (540) 208-0727  
Facsimile: (540) 266-3568  
Email: [mdo@obenshainlaw.com](mailto:mdo@obenshainlaw.com)  
Email: [jmw@obenshainlaw.com](mailto:jmw@obenshainlaw.com)  
*Counsel for Plaintiff Smart Wearable  
Technologies Inc.*

*Of Counsel:*

Michael T. Cooke (*Admitted Pro Hac  
Vice*)  
Corby R. Vowell (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)  
Richard A. Wojcio Jr. (*Admitted Pro Hac  
Vice*)  
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE  
Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1  
604 East 4th Street, Suite 200  
Fort Worth, TX 76102  
Telephone: (817) 334-0400  
Facsimile: (817) 334-0401  
Email: [mtc@fsclaw.com](mailto:mtc@fsclaw.com)  
Email: [vowell@fsclaw.com](mailto:vowell@fsclaw.com)  
Email: [wojcio@fsclaw.com](mailto:wojcio@fsclaw.com)