UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB CO.,)
E. R. SQUIBB & SONS L.L.C.,)
ONO PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., and)
TASUKU HONJO,)
)
Plaintiffs,) C.A. No
)
V.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP,)
ASTRAZENECA UK LTD.,)
)
Defendants.)
)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. ("BMS"), E. R. Squibb & Sons L.L.C. ("Squibb"), Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. ("Ono"), and Tasuku Honjo (collectively "Plaintiffs"), for their complaint for patent infringement against Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and AstraZeneca UK Ltd. (collectively, "Defendants" or "AstraZeneca"), hereby allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. According to the American Cancer Society, more than one million people in the United States are diagnosed with cancer each year (http://www.cancer.org/cancer/index). Cancer is a disease that results from the uncontrolled proliferation of cells that were once normal but have transformed into cancerous cells. Although the human immune system sometimes has the potential to eliminate cancerous cells, cancer cells have the ability to "turn off" or evade the immune system, allowing the cancer cells to grow unchecked. Tumor growth and tumor metastasis can lead to devastating disease, and possibly death. Cancer treatments are therefore developed to decrease tumor growth and metastasis.

- 2. This case relates to groundbreaking treatments for cancer that fall within a field known as "immunotherapy." The treatment of cancer using immunotherapy represents a scientific breakthrough that is revolutionizing cancer treatment by manipulating a patient's immune system to eliminate cancer cells.
- 3. The human immune system is formed of organs, specialized cells, and substances that protect individuals from infections and disease. T cells are one class of specialized cells that play an important role in the human immune system. One major function of T cells is to destroy pathogens or malignant cells, and to do that the T cell must distinguish healthy cells from pathogens or malignant cells through the activation or deactivation of various receptors on the T cell surface. One of the receptors that T cells carry on their surface is a protein called programmed death-1 receptor ("PD-1"). PD-1 functions as a checkpoint on the immune system that can downregulate T cell activity to prevent an overactive immune response. To activate its inhibitory function, PD-1 must bind to one of its ligands. Programmed death-ligand 1 ("PD-L1") is one of these ligands.
- 4. Numerous forms of cancers express PD-L1 on their cell surface, and can therefore exploit PD-1's ability to downregulate the immune response. When PD-L1, such as that expressed on a cancer cell, binds to PD-1 on immune cells, such as a T cell, it can result in the suppression of T cell migration, proliferation and secretion of cytotoxic mediators, which in turn will eliminate or decrease an anticancer immune response. In other words, cancer cells expressing PD-L1 can activate the PD-1 checkpoint to prevent a patient's immune system from destroying cancer cells.
- 5. The inventions at issue here generally relate to treatments for cancer and enhancing immune responses by administering antibodies that bind to PD-L1 ("anti-PD-L1

antibodies"). Types of anti-PD-L1 antibodies are shown by the inventions to inhibit the interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1. By binding to PD-L1 and blocking its interaction with PD-1, the anti-PD-L1 antibodies act as checkpoint inhibitors that release the brakes on the immune system, freeing the immune cells to recognize, attack and destroy cancer cells.

- 6. AstraZeneca is exploiting Plaintiffs' inventions with its later-developed antibody product IMFINZI (durvalumab), an anti-PD-L1 antibody used in methods for treating cancer and for enhancing an immune response.
 - 7. Plaintiffs and AstraZeneca are competitors in the field of immunotherapy.
- 8. The Plaintiffs also invented antibodies that bind to PD-1 ("anti-PD-1 antibodies"), and put this scientific breakthrough into practice by developing an anti-PD-1 antibody called OPDIVO (nivolumab), the first anti-PD-1 antibody approved anywhere in the world for cancer treatment, and the first anti-PD-1 antibody approved in the United States for the treatment of lung cancer.
- 9. Nivolumab is a monoclonal antibody that recognizes and binds to PD-1. When nivolumab binds to PD-1, it prevents PD-1 from binding its ligands. Using nivolumab to block the interaction between PD-1 and its ligands enhances the T cell response generated by the patient's immune system.
- 10. Clinical testing of nivolumab confirmed the remarkable promise of checkpoint inhibitors as targets for immunotherapy. After rigorous worldwide testing, on July 4, 2014, nivolumab became the first anti-PD-1 antibody approved anywhere in the world for treating cancer, when Japanese regulatory authorities approved nivolumab for the treatment of melanoma, a deadly form of skin cancer (http://www.ono.co.jp/eng/news/pdf/sm_cn140704.pdf).

On December 22, 2014, the FDA approved nivolumab for treatment of advanced melanoma in the United States.

Plaintiffs have continued worldwide development of nivolumab for treatment of a 11. broad range of cancers, including non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, head and neck cancer, glioblastoma, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. In Phase III clinical testing for lung cancer, patients with advanced lung cancer who received nivolumab showed superior overall survival (41% reduction in the risk of death) compared to those who received the standard of care chemotherapy agent docetaxol (http://news.bms.com/press-release/fda-approves-opdivonivolumab-treatment-patients-previously-treated-metastatic-squamou). Based, at least in part, on these clinical results, on February 27, 2015, the FDA accepted Plaintiffs' Biologics License Application ("BLA") for use of nivolumab to treat lung cancer. Just days later, on March 4, 2015, the FDA approved nivolumab for treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer in the United States. On November, 23, 2015, the FDA approved nivolumab for the treatment of patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma, a form of kidney cancer. These clinical results and the FDA's recent approval of nivolumab for the treatment of various additional forms of cancer confirm that the cancer treatments developed by the Plaintiffs can be used to save the lives of patients suffering from cancer.

PARTIES

12. BMS is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 345 Park Ave., New York, New York 10154. E. R. Squibb & Sons L.L.C., is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at Route 206 & Province Line Road, Princeton, New Jersey 08543. Ono is a corporation organized under the laws of Japan, with a place of

business at 8-2 Kyutaromachi 1-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka 541-8564, Japan. Tasuku Honjo is an individual with a place of business at Kyoto University, Graduate School of Medicine, Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

- 13. On information and belief, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP is a limited partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1800 Concord Pike, Wilmington, Delaware 19850.
- 14. On information and belief, AstraZeneca UK Limited is a private limited company organized under the laws of England and Wales, with its registered office at 2 Kingdom St., London W2 6BD, United Kingdom.
- 15. AstraZeneca is in the business of manufacturing, marketing, distributing, offering for sale, and selling biologic drug products that are distributed and sold throughout the United States, including in Delaware.
- 16. AstraZeneca is a biotechnology company that develops, manufactures and commercializes medicines to treat patients. On information and belief, AstraZeneca relies on and actively seeks patent protection for its medicines. On information and belief, AstraZeneca regularly enforces its patents and other intellectual property rights.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 17. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 *et seq.*, including an action seeking a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202.
- 18. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

- 19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP because it is a Delaware entity and registered with the Delaware Department of State to transact business in Delaware and, upon information and belief, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP has systematic and continuous contacts in Delaware, regularly transacts business in Delaware, has derived substantial revenue from sales of pharmaceutical products in Delaware, and markets its anti-PD-L1 antibody durvalumab in Delaware.
- 20. This Court has jurisdiction over AstraZeneca UK Limited, *inter alia*, because its subsidiary and agent, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, is incorporated in Delaware and, upon information and belief, markets and sells IMFINZI in Delaware as AstraZeneca UK Limited's authorized agent and under AstraZeneca UK Limited's direction and control.
- 21. On information and belief, AstraZeneca is engaged in a single business activity of biopharmaceuticals and the Group does not have multiple operating segments. On information and belief, AstraZeneca's biopharmaceuticals business consists of the discovery and development of new products, which are then manufactured, marketed and sold. On information and belief, all of these functional activities take place (and are managed) globally on a highly integrated basis. On information and belief, these individual functional areas are not managed separately.
- 22. On information and belief, AstraZeneca has consented to jurisdiction in Delaware in one or more prior cases arising out of its manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of pharmaceutical products, including cases AstraZeneca initiated as the plaintiff.
 - 23. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b).

THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

- 24. On August 2, 2016, the United States Patent & Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,402,899 ("the '899 patent") titled "Immunopotentiative Composition." A true and correct copy of the '899 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The inventors of the '899 patent showed for the first time that anti-PD-L1 antibodies were useful in methods to treat cancer. Dr. Tasuku Honjo is a co-inventor and original co-assignee of the '899 patent. Ono is an original co-assignee and exclusive licensor of BMS under the '899 patent. BMS and Squibb are each exclusive licensees of one or more exclusionary rights under the '899 patent.
- 25. The '899 patent issued from a divisional application of U.S. Application No. 12/959,307, filed on December 2, 2010 (now U.S. Pat. No. 8,728,474), which is a divisional application of U.S. Application No. 12/538,698, filed on August 10, 2009 (now U.S. Pat. No. 8,168,179), which is a divisional application of U.S. Application No. 10/519,925, filed on January 3, 2005 (now U.S. Pat. No. 7,595,048), which is a National Stage Entry of PCT/JP03/08420 filed on July 2, 2003, which claims priority based on Japanese Patent Application Nos. 2002-194491 and 2003-029846 filed on July 3, 2002 and February 6, 2003, respectively.
- 26. The claims of the '899 patent are generally directed to methods of treating cancer by administering an anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody that inhibits the interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1. By way of example, claim 1 of the '899 patent is:

A method of treating a tumor in a human patient in need thereof comprising administering to the human an effective amount of an anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody that inhibits an interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1, wherein the anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody treats the tumor in the patient.

ASTRAZENECA'S IMFINZI PRODUCT

- 27. AstraZeneca UK Limited is the holder of Biologics License Application ("BLA") No. 761069 for IMFINZI (durvalumab). According to its prescribing information, IMFINZI is a PD-L1 blocking antibody that is indicated for treating patients with types of cancer. The FDA-approved label for IMFINZI indicates that IMFINZI is manufactured for AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP by AstraZeneca UK Limited. On information and belief, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP is marketing, using, distributing, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing IMFINZI in the United States as AstraZeneca UK Limited's authorized agent.
- 28. As shown by prescribing information for IMFINZI, AstraZeneca received approval from the FDA on May 1, 2017 to market and sell IMFINZI as a treatment for certain patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have disease progression during or following platinum-containing chemotherapy or have disease progression within 12 months of neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment with platinum-containing chemotherapy. IMFINZI is administered in a 10 mg/kg dose as an intravenous infusion over 60 minutes every 2 weeks.
- 29. The active ingredient in AstraZeneca's IMFINZI product is the anti-PD-L1 antibody durvalumab. As stated in the IMFINZI prescribing information, durvalumab is a human IgG1 monoclonal antibody that binds to human PD-L1, thereby inhibiting the interaction of PD-L1 with PD-1. Durvalumab interferes with the PD-L1/PD-1 mediated inhibition of the immune response in order to produce an anti-tumor immune response.

The Use of IMFINZI Infringes the '899 Patent

30. On information and belief, AstraZeneca is currently manufacturing, distributing, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing in the United States its IMFINZI antibody

product to be prescribed and used for the treatment of cancer according to the IMFINZI prescribing information.

- 31. As described above, IMFINZI is used for treating a tumor in a human patient. IMFINZI is administered in an effective amount. The IMFINZI antibody (durvalumab) is an anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody that inhibits an interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1. When administered to a human patient with a tumor, the IMFINZI antibody treats the tumor in the patient.
- 32. On information and belief, IMFINZI has been and is currently being used according to the prescribing information. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information infringes at least claim 1 of the '899 patent.
- 33. As described above, the prescribing information for IMFINZI describes durvalumab as a human antibody. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claims 2, 20, and 43 of the '899 patent.
- 34. IMFINZI has been approved to treat types of cancers, as described above, including solid tumors. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claims 3, 21, and 37 of the '899 patent.
- 35. On information and belief, the administration of IMFINZI to patients is used to decrease tumor growth. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claims 4 and 19 of the '899 patent.
- 36. On information and belief, the administration of IMFINZI to patients is used to suppress tumor metastasis. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claims 5, 22, and 36 of the '899 patent.

- 37. As described above, IMFINZI has been approved to treat types of urothelial carcinoma. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claim 6-7, 23-24, 38, 41 and 44-45 of the '899 patent.
- 38. IMFINZI has been approved for administration by intravenous infusion. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claims 16 and 33 of the '899 patent.
- 39. As described above, the prescribing information for IMFINZI describes durvalumab as an IgG1 antibody. The use of IMFINZI according to the prescribing information therefore infringes at least claims 46-51 of the '899 patent.
- 40. When medical professionals or others administer IMFINZI according to the prescribing information, they directly infringe the '899 patent.
- 41. On information and belief, AstraZeneca knows that IMFINZI has been and is currently being used according to the prescribing information.
- 42. On information and belief, MedImmune, LLC ("MedImmune") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of AstraZeneca. On information and belief, AstraZeneca's antibody durvalumab is described in U.S. Patent No. 8,779,108 ("the '108 patent"), assigned to MedImmune and titled "Targeted Binding Agents Against B7-H1."
- 43. On information and belief, at least claim 28 of the '108 patent claims durvalumab, the active ingredient in IMFINZI.
- 44. On information and belief, AstraZeneca has known about the '899 patent and has known that the use of IMFINZI to treat cancer infringes at least claims 1-7, 16, 19-24, 33, 36-38, 41, and 43-51 of the '899 patent since at least approximately August 2, 2016 when the '899 patent issued and, in any event, no later than upon receiving a copy of this complaint.

- 45. In an information disclosure statement filed on August 7, 2012, during the pendency of the application that led to the '108 patent, AstraZeneca cited to EP1537878 ("EP878 patent"). The EP878 patent is a European counterpart to the '899 patent and discloses methods of treating tumors with anti-PD-L1 antibodies. On information and belief, by at least as early as August 7, 2012, AstraZeneca was aware of the Honjo patent family and was aware the Honjo patent family included issued patents containing claims to methods of using anti-PD-L1 antibodies to treat tumors. On information and belief, AstraZeneca knew or should have known that the use of IMFINZI in methods of treating a tumor would infringe claims in the Honjo patent family at least as early as August 7, 2012.
- 46. AstraZeneca's IMFINZI is especially made for use in infringing the '899 patent, and has no substantial non-infringing uses. By virtue of obtaining approval to market and sell IMFINZI as a treatment for certain patients with urothelial cancer, AstraZeneca has the specific intent to cause infringement of the '899 patent or, at a minimum, AstraZeneca has been willfully blind to the infringement of the '899 patent that will inevitably result.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,402,899

- 47. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-46 as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. On information and belief, AstraZeneca is marketing, making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing durvalumab in the United States for the treatment of cancer. On information and belief, durvalumab is being used for the treatment of cancer in the United States. As set forth above, AstraZeneca is thereby infringing at least claims 1-7, 16, 19-24, 33, 36-38, 41, and 43-51 of the '899 patent, including by actively inducing infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and as a contributory infringer under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

- 49. On information and belief, AstraZeneca has been aware of the '899 patent since at least approximately August 2, 2016, when the USPTO issued the '899 patent and AstraZeneca's infringement is deliberate, egregious, willful, and in reckless disregard of valid patent claims of the '899 patent.
- 50. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be injured by and have been and will continue to suffer substantial damages as a result of AstraZeneca's infringement.
- 51. This case is exceptional and Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

JURY DEMAND

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiffs demand trial by jury of all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

- (a) entry of a judgment that Defendants infringe and will infringe the '899 patent;
- (b) an award of damages sufficient to compensate Plaintiffs for infringement of the '899 patent, together with pre- and post-judgment interest and costs as fixed by the Court as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (c) entry of an order compelling Defendants to compensate Plaintiffs for any ongoing or future infringement of the '899 patent, in an amount and under terms appropriate for the circumstances;
- (d) entry of an order that Defendants' infringement has been willful, and increased damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- (e) judgment that this is an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an award to Plaintiffs of their reasonable attorney fees, costs, and expenses in this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
 - (f) such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: July 26, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

FARNAN LLP

Of Counsel:

Dianne B. Elderkin Steven D. Maslowski Matthew A. Pearson Angela Verrecchio

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

2001 Market Street, Suite 4100 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: (215) 965-1200

Fax: (215) 965-1200

Rachel Elsby

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

1333 New Hampshire Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: (202) 887-4000 Fax: (202) 887-4288 /s/ Brian E. Farnan

Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. (Bar No. 100245) Brian E. Farnan (Bar No. 4089) Michael J. Farnan (Bar No. 5165) 919 N. Market St., 12th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Tel: (302) 777-0300 Fax: (302) 777-0301 farnan@farnanlaw.com bfarnan@farnanlaw.com mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., E. R. Squibb & Sons, L.L.C., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and Tasuku Honjo