Case 2:17-cv-08121 Document 1 Filed 11/07/2	17 Page 1 of 15 Page ID #:1			
Steven W. Ritcheson (SBN 174062)				
INSIGHT, PLC 9800 D Topanga Canyon Blvd #347				
Chatsworth, California 91311 Telephone: (818) 882-1030				
Facsimile: (818) 337-0383				
James F. McDonough, III ( <i>pro hac vice</i> to be applied for) Email: imcdonough@hgdlawfirm.com				
HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC 3621 Vinings Slope, Suite 4320				
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-4192				
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Max Blu Technologie	es, LLC			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
WESTERN DIVISION				
MAX BLU TECHNOLOGIES. LLC.	Case No			
V.	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT			
JVCKENWOOD USA	Jury Trial Demanded			
Plaintiff MAX BLU TECHNOLOG	IES, LLC (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or			
"Max Blu") files this Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant				
JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION (hereinafter, "JVCKenwood" or				
"Defendant") as follows:				
	Steven W. Ritcheson (SBN 174062) Email: swritcheson@insightplc.com INSIGHT, PLC 9800 D Topanga Canyon Blvd. #347 Chatsworth, California 91311 Telephone: (818) 882-1030 Facsimile: (818) 337-0383 James F. McDonough, III ( <i>pro hac vice</i> to be Email: jmcdonough@hgdlawfirm.com HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC 3621 Vinings Slope, Suite 4320 Atlanta, Georgia 30339-4192 Telephone: (404) 996-0869 Facsimile: (205) 547-5504 Attorneys for Plaintiff, <i>Max Blu Technologie</i> UNITED STATES DI FOR THE CENTRAL DISTE WESTERN D MAX BLU TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Plaintiff, v. JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION, Defendant. Plaintiff MAX BLU TECHNOLOG "Max Blu") files this Original Complaint for			

1 2

# **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of the
 following patents (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"), which were duly and legally
 issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (hereinafter, the
 "USPTO"), copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits A through D,
 respectively:

7	
8	

9

10

11

12

13

	Patent No.	Title
A.	7,352,685	REVERSE OPTICAL MASTERING FOR DATA
		STORAGE DISK REPLICAS
B.	7,801,016	REVERSE OPTICAL MASTERING FOR DATA
		STORAGE DISK REPLICAS
C.	8,593,931	REPLICA DISK FOR DATA STORAGE
D.	RE44633	REVERSE OPTICAL MASTERING FOR DATA
		STORAGE DISK REPLICAS

2. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit and possesses all right, title and
interest in the Patents-in-Suit, including the right to enforce the Patents-in-Suit, the
right to license the Patents-in-Suit, and the right to sue Defendant for infringement
and recover past damages.

18 3. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

19

## PARTIES

4. Max Blu is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws
of the State of Texas and maintains its principal place of business at 104 East
Houston Street, Suite 150, Marshall, Texas, 75670 (Harrison County).

5. According to public information, JVCKenwood is a corporation duly
organized and existing under the laws of the State of California since May 9, 1975
and may be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company (dba
"CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service"), which is located at 2710 Gateway Oaks
Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, California 95833.

Case 2:17-cv-08121 Document 1 Filed 11/07/17 Page 3 of 15 Page ID #:3

6. According to public information, JVCKenwood has its principal place of
 business located at 2201 E. Dominguez Street, Long Beach, California, 90810 (Los
 Angeles County).

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant ships, distributes, makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises Blu-ray<sup>™</sup> recordable media, including, but not limited to, recordable and re-writable discs in Blu-ray<sup>™</sup> format.

7

4

5

6

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8 8. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et
9 seq., including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject
10 matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331
11 and 1338(a).

9. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: Defendant has 12 13 minimum contacts within the State of California and in the Central District of California; Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting 14 15 business in the State of California and in the Central District of California; Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of California; 16 Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of California and within the 17 Central District of California, and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from 18 Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in 19 the Central District of California. 20

10. More specifically, Defendant, directly and/or through its intermediaries, ships,
distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises its products
and affiliated services in the United States, the State of California, and the Central
District of California. Defendant has committed patent infringement in the State of
California and in the Central District of California. Defendant solicits customers in
the State of California and in the Central District of California. Defendant has many
paying customers who are residents of the State of California and the Central

District of California and who use Defendant's products in the State of California
 and in the Central District of California.

11. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

5

4

3

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

12. Each of the Patents-in-Suit traces its priority date back to Application No. 6 09/055,825 (hereinafter, "the '825 Application"), which was filed with the USPTO 7 8 on April 6, 1998, and was the parent to Application No. 09/730,246 (hereinafter, "the '246 Application"), which was filed with the USPTO on December 5, 2000 and 9 10 issued as United States Patent No. 6,890,704. Application No. 09/850,252 (hereinafter, "the '252 Application") was a continuation-in-part application of the 11 '246 Application, which was filed with the USPTO on May 7, 2001 and issued as 12 13 United States Patent No. 6,728,196 on April 27, 2004.

14 13. The Patents-in-Suit were duly and legally issued by the United States Patent15 and Trademark Office after full and fair examinations.

16 14. Defendant sells, advertises, offers for sale, uses, or otherwise provides Blu-17 ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media (collectively, the "Accused Products") to its customers, 18 either directly or through third-party vendors. <u>See Exhibit E</u> (offer for sale of Blu-19 ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media).

20 15. A picture of representative packaging for the Accused Products is attached as
21 Exhibit F (packaging for Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media).

16. A representative analysis of the physical characteristics of the Accused
Products is attached as **Exhibit G** (analysis of a Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable disc).

17. According to public information, Defendant owns, operates, advertises, and/or
controls the website www.jvc.com, through which Defendant advertises, sells, offers
to sell, provides and/or educates customers about its Products.

### <u>COUNT I</u>

# (INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,352,685)

18. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-17 above.

19. United States Patent No. 7,352,685 (hereinafter, the "'685 Patent") was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on April 1, 2008 to its inventors, Jathan D. Edwards and Donald J. Kerfeld, and was initially assigned to Imation Corporation. <u>See Ex. A.</u>

20. The '685 Patent was issued after full and fair examination of application number 10/790,970 which was filed with the USPTO on March 2, 2004 as a continuation of application number 09/850,252 (which itself issued as United States Patent No. 6,728,196). See Ex. A.

21. A Certificate of Correction was issued for the '685 Patent on February 16, 2010. <u>See Ex. A.</u>

22. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '685 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents through the manufacture and sale of infringing products. More specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '685 Patent, including at least Claims 1, 2-4, 7, 9, 10, and 19-35 (the "'685 Patent Claims") because it ships distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises the Accused Products. Specifically, Defendant's Accused Products infringe the '685 Patent Claims by providing to its customers Blu-ray<sup>™</sup> recordable media with the physical characteristics as claimed in the '685 Patent Claims. <u>See</u> Ex. G. Defendant's Accused Products are available for sale through various retailers located in this district and throughout the United States. <u>See</u> Ex. E; Ex. F.

23. Defendant has intentionally induced and continues to induce infringement of the '685 Patent Claims in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged,

C.D. CAL. CASE: MAX BLU TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused 1 2 Products in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '685 Patent as early as 3 the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to use its systems and 4 methods, in a manner which infringes the '685 Patent claims.<sup>1</sup> The provision of and 5 sale of the Accused Products provides Defendant with a source of revenue and 6 7 business focus. Defendant has specifically intended its customers to use the 8 Accused Products in such a way that infringes the '685 Patent by, at a minimum, providing and supporting the Accused Products under its brand and referring to and 9 marking the products as Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> compliant through use of such logos and 10 terminology, thereby inducing Defendant's vendors to sell and their customers to 11 purchase Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media that infringe one or more claims of the '685 12 Patent. Defendant knew that its actions, including but not limited to, making the 13 Accused Products available for sale under its brand, would induce, have induced, 14 and will continue to induce infringement by its vendors and their customers by 15 continuing to sell. See Ex. E, Ex. F. 16

17 24. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license18 from Plaintiff.

25. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by
Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at
trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest
and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

- 23
- 24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See In re Bill of Lading Transmission & Processing Sys. Patent Litig., 681 F.3d 1323, 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2012); see also Soteria Encryption, LLC v. Lenovo United States, Inc., Case No. CV 16-7958-GW(JPRx), 2017 WL 3449058, \*2 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2017) ("courts have held that post-suit knowledge is sufficient to sustain a finding that defendant had the requisite knowledge to support claims for induced infringement.); Labyrinth Optical Technologies, LLC v. Fujitsu America, Inc., Case No. SACV 13-0030 AG (MLGx), 2013 WL 12126111 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 201, 2013) ("The Federal Circuit therefore held that knowledge of the asserted patent from a complaint in the same case is sufficient to meet the knowledge requirement of indirect infringement.").

26. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '685 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

## <u>COUNT II</u>

# (INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,801,016)

27. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-17 above.

28. United States Patent No. 7,801,016 (hereinafter, the "'016 Patent") was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on September 21, 2010 to its inventors, Jathan D. Edwards and Donald J. Kerfeld, and was initially assigned to Imation Corporation. <u>See Ex. B.</u>

29. The '016 Patent was issued after full and fair examination of application number 12/584,454 which was filed with the USPTO on September 4, 2009 as a continuation of application number 10/790,965 (which itself issued as United States Patent No. 7,600,992). See Ex. B.

30. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '016 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents through the manufacture and sale of infringing products. More specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '016 Patent, including at least Claims 1 and 2 (the "'016 Patent Claims") because it ships distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises the Accused Products. Specifically, Defendant's Accused Products infringe the '016 Patent Claims by providing to its customers Bluray<sup>™</sup> recordable media with the physical characteristics as claimed in the '016 Patent Claims. <u>See</u> Ex. G. Defendant's Accused Products are available for sale through various retailers located in this district and throughout the United States. <u>See</u> Ex. E; Ex. F.

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

31. Defendant has intentionally induced and continues to induce infringement of 1 2 the '016 Patent Claims in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, 3 instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused 4 Products in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '016 Patent as early as 5 the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant continues to 6 encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to use its systems and 7 methods, in a manner which infringes the '016 Patent claims.<sup>2</sup> The provision of and 8 sale of the Accused Products provides Defendant with a source of revenue and 9 10 business focus. Defendant has specifically intended its customers to use the Accused Products in such a way that infringes the '016 Patent by, at a minimum, 11 providing and supporting the Accused Products under its brand and referring to and 12 13 marking the products as Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> compliant through use of such logos and terminology, thereby inducing Defendant's vendors to sell and their customers to 14 15 purchase Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media that infringe one or more claims of the '016 Patent. Defendant knew that its actions, including but not limited to, making the 16 17 Accused Products available for sale under its brand, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its vendors and their customers by 18 continuing to sell the Accused Products. See Ex. E, Ex. F. 19

32. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license
from Plaintiff.

33. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by
Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at
trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest
and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

- 26
- 27
- 28 <sup>2</sup> See Footnote 1 above.

34. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '016 Patent will
 continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is
 no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

### COUNT III

## (INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,593,931)

35. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-17 above.

8 36. United States Patent No. 8,593,931 (hereinafter, the "'931 Patent") was duly
9 and legally issued by the USPTO on November 26, 2013 to its inventors, Jathan D.
10 Edwards and Donald J. Kerfeld, and was initially assigned to Legger Col. A.B. LLC.
11 See Ex. C.

37. The '931 Patent was issued after full and fair examination of application
number 13/730,733 which was filed with the USPTO on December 28, 2012 as a
continuation of application number 13/089,994 (which itself issued as United States
Patent No. 8,363,534). See Ex. C.

38. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '931 Patent either 16 17 literally or under the doctrine of equivalents through the manufacture and sale of infringing products. More specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to 18 infringe one or more claims of the '931 Patent, including at least Claims 1, 2-5, 7-19 10, 11, 12, and 14 (the "'931 Patent Claims") because it ships distributes, makes, 20 uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises the Accused Products. 21 Specifically, Defendant's Accused Products infringe the '931 Patent Claims by 22 providing to its customers Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media with the physical 23 24 characteristics as claimed in the '931 Patent Claims. See Ex. G. Defendant's Accused Products are available for sale through various retailers located in this 25 district and throughout the United States. See Ex. E; Ex. F. 26

27

4

5

6

7

39. Defendant has intentionally induced and continues to induce infringement of 1 2 the '931 Patent Claims in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, 3 instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused 4 Products in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '931 Patent as early as 5 the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant continues to 6 encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to use its systems and 7 methods, in a manner which infringes the '931 Patent claims.<sup>3</sup> The provision of and 8 sale of the Accused Products provides Defendant with a source of revenue and 9 10 business focus. Defendant has specifically intended its customers to use the Accused Products in such a way that infringes the '931 Patent by, at a minimum, 11 providing and supporting the Accused Products under its brand and referring to and 12 13 marking the products as Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> compliant through use of such logos and terminology, thereby inducing Defendant's vendors to sell and their customers to 14 15 purchase Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media that infringe one or more claims of the '931 Patent. Defendant knew that its actions, including but not limited to, making the 16 17 Accused Products available for sale under its brand, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its vendors and their customers by 18 continuing to sell the Accused Products. See Ex. E, Ex. F. 19

40. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or licensefrom Plaintiff.

41. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by
Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at
trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest
and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

- 26
- 27

28

 $^{3}$  <u>See</u> Footnote 1 above.

42. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '931 Patent will
 continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is
 no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

#### COUNT IV

### (INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE44633)

43. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1-17
above.

44. United States Reissued Patent No. RE44633 (hereinafter, the "'633 Patent")
was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on December 10, 2013 to its inventors,
Jathan D. Edwards and Donald J. Kerfeld, and was initially assigned to Legger Col.
A.B. LLC. See Ex. D. The '633 Patent was filed with the USPTO on September
23, 2011 as application number 13/243,939. *Id.*

45. The reexamination that resulted in the issuance of the '633 Patent was based
on United States Patent No. 7,952,986 (the "'986 Patent"), which issued on May 31,
2011 from Application No. 12/852,139 and was filed with the USPTO on August 6,
2010, as a continuation of application number 12/584,454 (which itself issued as
United States Patent No. 7,801,016). See Ex. D.

46. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '633 Patent either 18 literally or under the doctrine of equivalents through the manufacture and sale of 19 infringing products. More specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to 20 infringe one or more claims of the '633 Patent, including at least Claims 1, 2-13, and 21 15-19 (the "633 Patent Claims") because it ships distributes, makes, uses, imports, 22 offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises the Accused Products. 23 Specifically, 24 Defendant's Accused Products infringe the '633 Patent Claims by providing to its customers Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media with the physical characteristics as claimed 25 in the '633 Patent Claims. See Ex. G. Defendant's Accused Products are available 26 27

28

4

for sale through various retailers located in this district and throughout the United
 States. See Ex. E; Ex. F.

47. Defendant has intentionally induced and continues to induce infringement of 3 the '633 Patent Claims in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its 4 intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, 5 instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused 6 7 Products in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '633 Patent as early as 8 the date of service of the Original Complaint in this action, Defendant continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to use its systems and 9 methods, in a manner which infringes the '633 Patent claims.<sup>4</sup> The provision of and 10 sale of the Accused Products provides Defendant with a source of revenue and 11 business focus. Defendant has specifically intended its customers to use the 12 13 Accused Products in such a way that infringes the '633 Patent by, at a minimum, 14 providing and supporting the Accused Products under its brand and referring to and marking the products as Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> compliant through use of such logos and 15 terminology, thereby inducing Defendant's vendors to sell and their customers to 16 purchase Blu-ray<sup>TM</sup> recordable media that infringe one or more claims of the '633 17 Patent. Defendant knew that its actions, including but not limited to, making the 18 Accused Products available for sale under its brand, would induce, have induced, 19 and will continue to induce infringement by its vendors and their customers by 20 continuing to sell the Accused Products. See Ex. E, Ex. F. 21

48. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or licensefrom Plaintiff.

49. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by
Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at
trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest
and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

28

 $\frac{4}{\text{See}}$  Footnote 1 above.

1	50. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '633 Patent will				
2	continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is				
3	no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.				
4		JURY DEMAND			
5	51 Plair	tiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.			
6	51, 1 1411	tin demands a that by jury on an issues.			
7		PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
8	52. Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:				
9	A.	An adjudication that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit has been			
10		infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by			
11		the Defendant;			
12	В.	An adjudication that Defendant has induced infringement of one or			
13		more claims of the Patents-in-Suit;			
14	C.	An award of damages to be paid by Defendant adequate to compensate			
15		Plaintiff for Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future			
16		infringement up until the date such judgment is entered, including			
17		interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284			
18		and, if necessary to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's			
19		infringement, an accounting of all infringing sales including, but not			
20		limited to, those sales not presented at trial;			
21	D.	A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining			
22		the Defendant and its respective officers, agents, servants, employees,			
23		and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with			
24		them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or			
25		otherwise, from further acts of infringement with respect to any one or			
26		more of the claims of the Patents-in-Suit;			
27					
28					
		E. MAY DI II TECHNOLOGIES, LLC V. WCKENWOOD USA CORRONATION			
	C.D. CAL. CASE: MAX BLU TECHNOLOGIES, LLC V. JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION PAGE  12 PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT PAGE  12				

C	ase 2:17-cv-08	B121 Document 1 Filed 11/07/17 Page 14 of 15 Page ID #:14	
1		That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and	
2		Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance w	ith 35
3		U.S.C. § 285; and,	
4	F.	Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25			
26			
27 28			
28			
	C.D. CAL. CASE:	MAX BLU TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION P PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT P	AGE  13

Ç	ase 2:17-cv-08121	Document 1	Filed 11/07/17	Page 15 of 15	Page ID #:15
1			<b>D</b>		
2		• • • • • •	Respectfully s	ubmitted,	
3	Dated: <u>November</u>	2, 2017	<u>/s/ Steven W.</u>	Ritcheson	1710(2)
4			Email: Steven W. Ri	<u>Ritcheson</u> tcheson (SBN swritcheson@in LC	nsightplc.com
5			9800 D Topar Chatsworth	nga Canyon Bl	vd. #347
6			Telephone: (8 Facsimile: (8	nga Canyon Bl California 913 318) 882-1030 18) 337-0383	11
7					
8			Email: j HENINGER	mcdonough@l GARRISON	ngdlawfirm.com <b>DAVIS, LLC</b>
9 10			3621 Vinings Atlanta, Geor	Slope, Suite 4 gia 30339-419	ngdlawfirm.com <b>DAVIS, LLC</b> 320 2
10			Telephone: (4 Facsimile: (20	04) 996-0869 05) 547-5504	
12			Attorneys For		Blu Technologies,
13	<i>LLC</i> * <i>pro hac vice</i> to be applied for				
14	pro		e applied for		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 25					
25 26					
20 27					
27					
-0					
	C.D. CAL. CASE: MAX BLU TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT				