

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

REALTIME DATA LLC d/b/a IXO,

Plaintiff,

v.

FORTINET, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST FORTINET, INC.

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.* in which Plaintiff Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO (“Plaintiff,” “Realtime,” or “IXO”) makes the following allegations against Defendant Fortinet, Inc. (“Fortinet” or “Defendant”):

PARTIES

1. Realtime is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of New York. Realtime has places of business at 5851 Legacy Circle, Plano, Texas 75024, 1828 E.S.E. Loop 323, Tyler, Texas 75701, and 66 Palmer Avenue, Suite 27, Bronxville, NY 10708. Since the 1990s, Realtime has researched and developed specific solutions for data compression, including, for example, those that increase the speeds at which data can be stored and accessed. As recognition of its innovations rooted in this technological field, Realtime holds 50 United States patents and has numerous pending patent applications. Realtime has licensed patents in this portfolio to many of the world’s leading technology companies. The patents-in-suit relate to Realtime’s development of

advanced systems and methods for fast and efficient data compression using numerous innovative compression techniques based on, for example, particular attributes of the data.

2. On information and belief, Fortinet is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 899 Kifer Road, Sunnyvale, CA 94086. Fortinet can be served through its registered agent, Corporation Services Company, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Fortinet in this action because Fortinet is incorporated in Delaware and has committed acts within the District of Delaware giving rise to this action and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Fortinet would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Fortinet, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, offering to sell and selling products and/or services that infringe the asserted patents.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). Upon information and belief, Fortinet is incorporated in Delaware, has transacted business in the District of Delaware, and has committed acts of direct and indirect infringement in the District of Delaware.

COUNT I
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,054,728

6. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-5 above, as if fully set forth herein.

7. Plaintiff Realtime is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 9,054,728 (“the ’728 Patent”) entitled “Data compression systems and methods.” The ’728 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on June 9, 2015. A true and correct copy of the ’728 Patent is included as Exhibit A.

8. On information and belief, Fortinet has offered for sale, sold and/or imported into the United States Fortinet products and services that infringe the ’728 Patent, and continues to do so. By way of illustrative example, these infringing products and services include, without limitation, Fortinet’s FortiGate and FortiGate IPS products, and all products and services using WAN optimization, including, without limitation, the WAN optimization functionality of FortiOS, and the system hardware on which they operate, and all versions and variations thereof since the issuance of the ’728 Patent (the “Accused Instrumentalities”).

9. On information and belief, Fortinet has directly infringed and continues to infringe the ’728 Patent, for example, by making, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the Accused Instrumentalities, and through its own use and testing of the Accused Instrumentalities, which constitute systems for compressing data claimed by Claim 1 of the ’728 Patent, comprising: a processor; one or more content dependent data compression encoders; and a single data compression encoder; wherein the processor is configured: to analyze data within a data block to identify one or more parameters or

attributes of the data wherein the analyzing of the data within the data block to identify the one or more parameters or attributes of the data excludes analyzing based solely on a descriptor that is indicative of the one or more parameters or attributes of the data within the data block; to perform content dependent data compression with the one or more content dependent data compression encoders if the one or more parameters or attributes of the data are identified; and to perform data compression with the single data compression encoder, if the one or more parameters or attributes of the data are not identified. Upon information and belief, Fortinet uses the Accused Instrumentalities, which are infringing systems, for its own internal non-testing business purposes, while testing the Accused Instrumentalities, and while providing technical support and repair services for the Accused Instrumentalities to Fortinet's customers.

10. On information and belief, Fortinet has had knowledge of the '728 Patent since at least the filing of this Complaint or shortly thereafter, and on information and belief, Fortinet knew of the '728 Patent and knew of its infringement, including by way of this lawsuit.

11. Fortinet's affirmative acts of making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the Accused Instrumentalities have induced and continue to induce users of the Accused Instrumentalities to use the Accused Instrumentalities in their normal and customary way on compatible systems to infringe Claim 1 of the '728 Patent, knowing that when the Accused Instrumentalities are used in their ordinary and customary manner with such compatible systems, such systems constitute infringing systems for compressing data comprising; a processor; one or more content dependent data compression encoders; and a single data compression encoder; wherein the

processor is configured: to analyze data within a data block to identify one or more parameters or attributes of the data wherein the analyzing of the data within the data block to identify the one or more parameters or attributes of the data excludes analyzing based solely on a descriptor that is indicative of the one or more parameters or attributes of the data within the data block; to perform content dependent data compression with the one or more content dependent data compression encoders if the one or more parameters or attributes of the data are identified; and to perform data compression with the single data compression encoder, if the one or more parameters or attributes of the data are not identified. For example, Fortinet explains to customers the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities: “Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.” *See* <http://help.fortinet.com/fos50hlp/54/Content/FortiOS/fortigate-ports-and-protocols-54/09-WAN-opt.htm>. For similar reasons, Fortinet also induces its customers to use the Accused Instrumentalities to infringe other claims of the '728 Patent. Fortinet specifically intended and was aware that the normal and customary use of the Accused Instrumentalities on compatible systems would infringe the '728 Patent. Fortinet performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '728 Patent and with the knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement. On information and belief, Fortinet engaged in such inducement to promote the sales of the Accused Instrumentalities, *e.g.*, through Fortinet’s user manuals, product support, marketing materials, and training materials to actively induce the users of the accused products to infringe the '728 Patent. Accordingly, Fortinet has induced and continues to

induce end users of the accused products to use the accused products in their ordinary and customary way with compatible systems to make and/or use systems infringing the '728 Patent, knowing that such use of the Accused Instrumentalities with compatible systems will result in infringement of the '728 Patent.

12. The Accused Instrumentalities include a system for compressing data, comprising a processor. For example, the system specifications for FortiGate products include SPU processors. *See, e.g.*, https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/data-sheets/FortiGate_FortiWiFi_30E.pdf; https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/data-sheets/FortiGate_3900E_Series.pdf.

13. The Accused Instrumentalities include a system for compressing data, comprising one or more content dependent data compression encoders. For example, the Accused Instrumentalities perform block-level deduplication, which is a content dependent data compression encoder. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”). Performing deduplication results in compression by representing data with fewer bits.

14. The Accused Instrumentalities comprise a single data compression encoder. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”).

15. The Accused Instrumentalities analyze data within a data block to identify one or more parameters or attributes of the data, for example, whether the data is duplicative of data previously transmitted and/or stored, where the analysis does not rely only on the descriptor. *See, e.g.,* <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

16. The Accused Instrumentalities perform content dependent data compression with the one or more content dependent data compression encoders if the one or more parameters or attributes of the data are identified. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

17. The Accused Instrumentalities perform data compression with the single data compression encoder, if the one or more parameters or attributes of the data are not identified. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadereship.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression

format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”).

18. Fortinet also infringes other claims of the '728 Patent, directly and through inducing infringement and contributory infringement, for similar reasons as explained above with respect to Claim 1 of the '728 Patent.

19. On information and belief, use of the Accused Instrumentalities in their ordinary and customary fashion results in infringement of the methods claimed by the '728 Patent.

20. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Instrumentalities, and touting the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities' compression features, Fortinet has injured Realtime and is liable to Realtime for infringement of the '728 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

21. As a result of Fortinet's infringement of the '728 Patent, Plaintiff Realtime is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Fortinet's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Fortinet, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

COUNT II
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,667,751

22. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-21 above, as if fully set forth herein.

23. Plaintiff Realtime is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 9,667,751 (“the '751 Patent”) entitled “Data feed acceleration.” The '751 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 30, 2017. A true and correct copy of the '751 Patent is included as Exhibit B.

24. On information and belief, Fortinet has offered for sale, sold and/or imported into the United States Fortinet products and services that infringe the '751 Patent, and continues to do so. By way of illustrative example, these infringing products and services include, without limitation, Fortinet's FortiGate and FortiGate IPS products, and all products and services using WAN optimization, including, without limitation, the WAN optimization functionality of FortiOS, and the system hardware on which they operate, and all versions and variations thereof since the issuance of the '751 Patent (the "Accused Instrumentalities").

25. On information and belief, Fortinet has directly infringed and continues to infringe the '751 Patent, for example, through its own use and testing of the Accused Instrumentalities, which in the ordinary course of their operation form a system for compressing data claimed by Claim 25 of the '751 Patent, including: a data server implemented on one or more processors and one or more memory systems; the data server configured to analyze content of a data block to identify a parameter, attribute, or value of the data block that excludes analysis based solely on reading a descriptor; the data server configured to select an encoder associated with the identified parameter, attribute, or value; the data server configured to compress data in the data block with the selected encoder to produce a compressed data block, wherein the compression utilizes a state machine; and the data server configured to store the compressed data block; wherein the time of the compressing the data block and the storing the compressed data block is less than the time of storing the data block in uncompressed form. Upon information and belief, Fortinet uses the Accused Instrumentalities, which are infringing systems, for its own internal non-testing business purposes, while testing the Accused Instrumentalities,

and while providing technical support and repair services for the Accused Instrumentalities to Fortinet's customers.

26. On information and belief, Fortinet has had knowledge of the '751 Patent since at least the filing of this Complaint or shortly thereafter, and on information and belief, Fortinet knew of the '751 Patent and knew of its infringement, including by way of this lawsuit.

27. Upon information and belief, Fortinet's affirmative acts of making, using, and selling the Accused Instrumentalities, and providing implementation services and technical support to users of the Accused Instrumentalities, have induced and continue to induce users of the Accused Instrumentalities to use them in their normal and customary way to infringe Claim 25 of the '751 Patent by making or using a data server implemented on one or more processors and one or more memory systems; the data server configured to analyze content of a data block to identify a parameter, attribute, or value of the data block that excludes analysis based solely on reading a descriptor; the data server configured to select an encoder associated with the identified parameter, attribute, or value; the data server configured to compress data in the data block with the selected encoder to produce a compressed data block, wherein the compression utilizes a state machine; and the data server configured to store the compressed data block; wherein the time of the compressing the data block and the storing the compressed data block is less than the time of storing the data block in uncompressed form. For example, Fortinet explains to customers the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities: "Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption." *See* <http://help.fortinet.com/>

fos50hlp/54/Content/FortiOS/fortigate-ports-and-protocols-54/09-WAN-opt.htm. For similar reasons, Fortinet also induces its customers to use the Accused Instrumentalities to infringe other claims of the '751 Patent. Fortinet specifically intended and was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '751 Patent. Fortinet performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '751 Patent and with the knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement. On information and belief, Fortinet engaged in such inducement to promote the sales of the Accused Instrumentalities. Accordingly, Fortinet has induced and continues to induce users of the accused products to use the accused products in their ordinary and customary way to infringe the '751 Patent, knowing that such use constitutes infringement of the '751 Patent.

28. The Accused Instrumentalities include a system for compressing data. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email

attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

29. The Accused Instrumentalities include a data server implemented on one or more processors and one or more memory systems. For example, the system specifications for FortiGate products include SPU processors. *See, e.g.,* https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/data-sheets/FortiGate_FortiWiFi_30E.pdf; https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/data-sheets/FortiGate_3900E_Series.pdf. The Accused Instrumentalities also use one or more memory systems, including storage media at remote storage facilities. *See, e.g.,* <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf>.

30. The Accused Instrumentalities include a data server configured to analyze content of a data block to identify a parameter, attribute, or value of the data block that excludes analysis based solely on reading a descriptor. *See, e.g.,* <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on

the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

31. The Accused Instrumentalities include a data server configured to select an encoder associated with the identified parameter, attribute, or value. For example, the Accused Instrumentalities select between deduplication or other compression. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash

database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

32. The Accused Instrumentalities include a data server configured to compress data in the data block with the selected encoder to produce a compressed data block, wherein the compression utilizes a state machine. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”).

33. The Accused Instrumentalities include a data server configured to store the compressed data block. For example, the Accused Instrumentalities have storage media at remote storage facilities controlled by data servers. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf>. Also, compressed data blocks are stored temporarily in volatile memory when they are created.

34. The time of the compressing the data block and the storing the compressed data block in the Accused Instrumentalities is less than the time of storing the data block in uncompressed form. Due to the data reduction and acceleration features of the specific compression algorithms used, the time of the compressing the data block and the storing

the compressed data block is less than the time of storing the data block in uncompressed form. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

35. On information and belief, Fortinet also infringes, directly and through induced infringement, and continues to infringe other claims of the '751 Patent, for similar reasons as explained above with respect to Claim 25 of the '751 Patent.

36. On information and belief, use of the Accused Instrumentalities in their ordinary and customary fashion results in infringement of the methods claimed by the '751 Patent.

37. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Instrumentalities, and touting the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities' compression features, Fortinet has injured Realtime and is liable to Realtime for infringement of the '751 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

38. As a result of Fortinet's infringement of the '751 Patent, Plaintiff Realtime is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Fortinet's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Fortinet, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

COUNT III
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,717,203

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-38 above, as if fully set forth herein.

40. Plaintiff Realtime is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 8,717,203 ("the '203 Patent") entitled "Data compression systems and methods." The '203 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 6, 2014. A true and correct copy of the '203 Patent is included as Exhibit C.

41. On information and belief, Fortinet has offered for sale, sold and/or imported into the United States Fortinet products and services that infringe the '203 Patent, and continues to do so. By way of illustrative example, these infringing products and services include, without limitation, Fortinet's FortiGate and FortiGate IPS products,

and all products and services using WAN optimization, including, without limitation, the WAN optimization functionality of FortiOS, and the system hardware on which they operate, and all versions and variations thereof since the issuance of the '203 Patent (the "Accused Instrumentalities").

42. On information and belief, Fortinet has directly infringed and continues to infringe the '203 Patent, for example, through its own use and testing of the Accused Instrumentalities, which in the ordinary course of their operation form a system, claimed by Claim 14 of the '203 Patent, for decompressing one or more compressed data blocks included in one or more data packets using a data decompression engine, the one or more data packets being transmitted in sequence from a source that is internal or external to the data decompression engine, wherein a data packet from among the one or more data packets comprises a header containing control information followed by one or more compressed data blocks of the data packet. The claimed system includes: a data decompression processor configured to analyze the data packet to identify one or more recognizable data tokens associated with the data packet, the one or more recognizable data identifying a selected encoder used to compress one or more data blocks to provide the one or more compressed data blocks, the encoder being selected based on content of the one or more data blocks on which a compression algorithm was applied; one or more decompression decoders configured to decompress a compressed data block from among the one or more compressed data blocks associated with the data packet based on the one or more recognizable data tokens; wherein: the one or more decompression decoders are further configured to decompress the compressed data block utilizing content dependent data decompression to provide a first decompressed data block when the one or more

recognizable data tokens indicate that the data block was encoded utilizing content dependent data compression; and the one or more decompression decoders are further configured to decompress the compressed data block utilizing content independent data decompression to provide a second decompressed data block when the one or more recognizable data tokens indicate that the data block was encoded utilizing content independent data compression; and an output interface, coupled to the data decompression engine, configured to output a decompressed data packet including the first or the second decompressed data block. Upon information and belief, Fortinet uses the Accused Instrumentalities, which are infringing systems, for its own internal non-testing business purposes, while testing the Accused Instrumentalities, and while providing technical support and repair services for the Accused Instrumentalities to Fortinet's customers.

43. On information and belief, Fortinet has had knowledge of the '203 Patent since at least the filing of this Complaint or shortly thereafter, and on information and belief, Fortinet knew of the '203 Patent and knew of its infringement, including by way of this lawsuit.

44. Upon information and belief, Fortinet's affirmative acts of making, using, and selling the Accused Instrumentalities, and providing implementation services and technical support to users of the Accused Instrumentalities, have induced and continue to induce users of the Accused Instrumentalities to use them in their normal and customary way to infringe Claim 14 of the '203 Patent by making or using a system for decompressing, one or more compressed data blocks included in one or more data packets using a data decompression engine, the one or more data packets being

transmitted in sequence from a source that is internal or external to the data decompression engine, wherein a data packet from among the one or more data packets comprises a header containing control information followed by one or more compressed data blocks of the data packet the system claimed by Claim 14 of the '203 Patent, including: a data decompression processor configured to analyze the data packet to identify one or more recognizable data tokens associated with the data packet, the one or more recognizable data identifying a selected encoder used to compress one or more data blocks to provide the one or more compressed data blocks, the encoder being selected based on content of the one or more data blocks on which a compression algorithm was applied; one or more decompression decoders configured to decompress a compressed data block from among the one or more compressed data blocks associated with the data packet based on the one or more recognizable data tokens; wherein: the one or more decompression decoders are further configured to decompress the compressed data block utilizing content dependent data decompression to provide a first decompressed data block when the one or more recognizable data tokens indicate that the data block was encoded utilizing content dependent data compression; and the one or more decompression decoders are further configured to decompress the compressed data block utilizing content independent data decompression to provide a second decompressed data block when the one or more recognizable data tokens indicate that the data block was encoded utilizing content independent data compression; and an output interface, coupled to the data decompression engine, configured to output a decompressed data packet including the first or the second decompressed data block. For example, Fortinet explains to customers the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities: "Deduplication,

or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.” *See* <http://help.fortinet.com/fos50hlp/54/Content/>

[FortiOS/fortigate-ports-and-protocols-54/09-WAN-opt.htm](http://help.fortinet.com/fos50hlp/54/Content/FortiOS/fortigate-ports-and-protocols-54/09-WAN-opt.htm). For similar reasons, Fortinet also induces its customers to use the Accused Instrumentalities to infringe other claims of the '203 Patent. Fortinet specifically intended and was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '203 Patent. Fortinet performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '203 Patent and with the knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement. On information and belief, Fortinet engaged in such inducement to promote the sales of the Accused Instrumentalities. Accordingly, Fortinet has induced and continues to induce users of the accused products to use the accused products in their ordinary and customary way to infringe the '203 Patent, knowing that such use constitutes infringement of the '203 Patent.

45. The Accused Instrumentalities form a system for decompressing one or more compressed data blocks included in one or more data packets using a data decompression engine, the one or more data packets being transmitted in sequence from a source that is internal or external to the data decompression engine. The Accused Instrumentalities utilize multiple formats of compression to compress data for backup. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/>

3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”). To recover data from backup, the Accused Instrumentalities decompress the data.

46. The data packets from among the one or more data packets in the Accused Instrumentalities include a header containing control information followed by one or more compressed data blocks of the data packet. The header containing control information contains information used to determine which compression format was used to compress the data. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”);

https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

47. The Accused Instrumentalities utilize multiple formats of compression to compress data for backup. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format

before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

48. To decompress the data, the Accused Instrumentalities include one or more decompression decoders configured to decompress a compressed data block from among the one or more compressed data blocks associated with the data packet based on the one or more recognizable data tokens. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

49. One of the compression formats in the Accused Instrumentalities is content dependent data decompression. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files>

/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”). The one or more decompression decoders in the Accused Instrumentalities are further configured to decompress the compressed data block utilizing content dependent data decompression to provide a first decompressed data block when the one or more recognizable data tokens indicate that the data block was encoded utilizing content dependent data compression.

50. One of the compression formats in the Accused Instrumentalities is content independent data decompression. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”). The one or more decompression decoders in the

Accused Instrumentalities are further configured to decompress the compressed data block utilizing content independent data decompression to provide a second decompressed data block when the one or more recognizable data tokens indicate that the data block was encoded utilizing content independent data compression.

51. The Accused Instrumentalities include an output interface, coupled to the data decompression engine, configured to output a decompressed data packet including the first or the second decompressed data block. For example, the Accused Instrumentalities include interfaces for LAN and WAN connections, including output interfaces. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf>. Furthermore, the Accused Instrumentalities have memory, such as volatile memory, into which decompressed data can be written. On information and belief, all of the Accused Instrumentalities have network connections that provide an output interface, coupled to the data decompression engine, configured to output a decompressed data packet including the first or the second decompressed data block.

52. On information and belief, Fortinet also infringes, directly and through induced infringement, and continues to infringe other claims of the '203 Patent, for similar reasons as explained above with respect to Claim 14 of the '203 Patent.

53. On information and belief, use of the Accused Instrumentalities in their ordinary and customary fashion results in infringement of the methods claimed by the '203 Patent.

54. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Instrumentalities, and touting the benefits of using the

Accused Instrumentalities' compression features, Fortinet has injured Realtime and is liable to Realtime for infringement of the '203 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

55. As a result of Fortinet's infringement of the '203 Patent, Plaintiff Realtime is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Fortinet's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Fortinet, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

COUNT IV
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,116,908

56. Plaintiff Realtime realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-55 above, as if fully set forth herein.

57. Plaintiff Realtime is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 9,116,908 ("the '908 Patent") entitled "System and methods for accelerated data storage and retrieval." The '908 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 25, 2015, and Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9, 11, 21, 22, 24, and 25 of the '908 Patent confirmed as patentable in a Final Written Decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board on October 31, 2017. A true and correct copy of the '908 Patent is included as Exhibit D.

58. On information and belief, Fortinet has offered for sale, sold and/or imported into the United States Fortinet products and services that infringe the '908 Patent, and continues to do so. By way of illustrative example, these infringing products and services include, without limitation, Fortinet's FortiGate and FortiGate IPS products, and all products and services using WAN optimization, including, without limitation, the WAN optimization functionality of FortiOS, and the system hardware on which they

operate, and all versions and variations thereof since the issuance of the issuance of the '908 Patent (the "Accused Instrumentality").

59. On information and belief, Fortinet has directly infringed and continues to infringe the '908 Patent, for example, through its own use and testing of the Accused Instrumentality, which constitutes a system comprising: a memory device; and a data accelerator configured to compress: (i) a first data block with a first compression technique to provide a first compressed data block; and (ii) a second data block with a second compression technique, different from the first compression technique, to provide a second compressed data block; wherein the compressed first and second data blocks are stored on the memory device, and the compression and storage occurs faster than the first and second data blocks are able to be stored on the memory device in uncompressed form. Upon information and belief, Fortinet uses the Accused Instrumentality, an infringing system, for its own internal non-testing business purposes, while testing the Accused Instrumentality, and while providing technical support and repair services for the Accused Instrumentality to Fortinet's customers.

60. On information and belief, use of the Accused Instrumentality in its ordinary and customary fashion results in infringement of the systems claimed by the '908 Patent.

61. On information and belief, Fortinet has had knowledge of the '908 Patent since at least the filing of this Complaint or shortly thereafter, and on information and belief, Fortinet knew of the '908 Patent and knew of its infringement, including by way of this lawsuit.

62. Upon information and belief, Fortinet's affirmative acts of making, using, and selling the Accused Instrumentalities, and providing implementation services and technical support to users of the Accused Instrumentalities, have induced and continue to induce users of the Accused Instrumentalities to use them in their normal and customary way to infringe Claim 1 of the '908 Patent by making or using a system comprising: a memory device; and a data accelerator configured to compress: (i) a first data block with a first compression technique to provide a first compressed data block; and (ii) a second data block with a second compression technique, different from the first compression technique, to provide a second compressed data block; wherein the compressed first and second data blocks are stored on the memory device, and the compression and storage occurs faster than the first and second data blocks are able to be stored on the memory device in uncompressed form. For example, Fortinet explains to customers the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities: "Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption." *See* <http://help.fortinet.com/fos50hlp/54/Content/FortiOS/fortigate-ports-and-protocols-54/09-WAN-opt.htm>. For similar reasons, Fortinet also induces its customers to use the Accused Instrumentalities to infringe other claims of the '908 Patent. Fortinet specifically intended and was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '908 Patent. Fortinet performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '908 Patent and with the knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement. On information and belief, Fortinet engaged in such inducement to promote the sales of the Accused

Instrumentalities. Accordingly, Fortinet has induced and continues to induce users of the accused products to use the accused products in their ordinary and customary way to infringe the '908 Patent, knowing that such use constitutes infringement of the '908 Patent.

63. The Accused Instrumentality evidently includes a memory device and a data accelerator configured to compress: (i) a first data block with a first compression technique to provide a first compressed data block; and (ii) a second data block with a second compression technique, different from the first compression technique, to provide a second compressed data block. For example, the Accused Instrumentalities also use one or more memory devices, including storage media at remote storage facilities. *See, e.g.,* <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf>. The Accused Instrumentality includes a data accelerator configured to compress: (i) a first data block with a first compression technique (e.g. deduplication) to provide a first compressed data block; and (ii) a second data block with a second compression technique (e.g. compression), different from the first compression technique, to provide a second compressed data block. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadership.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is

converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

64. The Accused Instrumentality stores the compressed first and second data blocks on the memory device. For example, the Accused Instrumentalities have storage media at remote storage facilities controlled by data servers. *See, e.g.*, <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf>. Also, compressed data blocks are stored temporarily in volatile memory when they are created. The compression and storage occurs faster than the first and second data blocks are able to be stored on the memory device in uncompressed form. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.fortinet.com/content/dam/fortinet/assets/white-papers/WP-UTM-Thought-Leadereship.pdf> (“WAN optimization helps improve the performance and capacity of SMB networks through the use of compression, deduplication, caching, and more to speed delivery of information.”); https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/3987/fortios_firewall-56.pdf (“The first time a file is received by web caching it is cached in the format it is received in, whether it be

compressed or uncompressed. When the same file is requested by a client but in a different compression format, the cached file is converted to the new compressed format before being sent to the client.”); <https://docs.fortinet.com/uploaded/files/1116/inside-fortios-wanopt-50.pdf> (“Data Deduplication: Byte caching breaks large units of application data, like an email attachment or a file download, into manageable small chunks of data. Each chunk of data is labeled with a hash, and chunks with their respective hashes are stored in a database on the local FortiGate unit. When a remote user request a file, the WAN Optimization sends the hashes, rather than the actual data. The FortiGate unit at the other end of the WAN tunnel reassembles the data from its own hash database, only downloading chunks that it is missing. Deduplication, or the process of eliminating duplicate data, will reduce space consumption.”).

65. On information and belief, Fortinet also infringes, directly and through induced infringement, and continues to infringe other claims of the '908 Patent, for similar reasons as explained above with respect to Claim 1 of the '908 Patent.

66. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Instrumentalities, and touting the benefits of using the Accused Instrumentalities' compression features, Fortinet has injured Realtime and is liable to Realtime for infringement of the '908 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

67. As a result of Fortinet's infringement of the '908 Patent, Plaintiff Realtime is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Fortinet's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Fortinet, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Realtime respectfully requests that this Court enter:

a. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Fortinet has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '728 Patent, the '751 Patent, the '203 Patent, and the '908 Patent;

b. A permanent injunction prohibiting Fortinet from further acts of infringement of the '728 Patent, the '751 Patent, the '203 Patent, and the '908 Patent;

c. A judgment and order requiring Fortinet to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for its infringement of the '728 Patent, the '751 Patent, the '203 Patent, and the '908 Patent; and

d. A judgment and order requiring Fortinet to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Realtime, including without limitation, prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

e. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendants; and

f. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: November 10, 2017

OF COUNSEL

Marc A. Fenster
Reza Mirzaie
Paul A. Kroeger
Stanley H. Thompson, Jr.
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025
(310) 826-7474
mfenster@raklaw.com
rmirzaie@raklaw.com
pkroeger@raklaw.com
sthompson@raklaw.com

BAYARD, P.A.

/s/ Stephen B. Brauerman
Stephen B. Brauerman (No. 4952)
Sara E. Bussiere (No. 5725)
600 N. King Street, Suite 400
Wilmington, DE 19801
Phone: (302) 655-5000
sbrauerman@bayardlaw.com
sbussiere@bayardlaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Realtime Data LLC
d/b/a IXO*