WALKER STEVENS CANNOM YANG LLP 1 Bethany M. Stevens (SBN 245672) bstevens@wscylaw.com 2 500 Molino Street #118 Los Angeles, CA 90013 3 Telephone: (213) 337-4551 4 (213) 403-4906 Facsimile: 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Blackbird Tech LLC 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 BLACKBIRD TECH LLC d/b/a Case No.: BLACKBIRD TECHNOLOGIES, 13 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT Plaintiff, 14 **INFRINGEMENT** 15 VS. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 16 INCAPSULA, INC., 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

5

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

Plaintiff Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird Technologies ("Blackbird Technologies") hereby alleges for its Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant Incapsula, Inc. ("Incapsula") on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Blackbird Technologies is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business located at 200 Baker Avenue, Suite 203, Concord, MA 01742.
- On information and belief, Incapsula is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3400 Bridge Parkway, Redwood Shores, CA 94065. Incapsula may be served via its registered agent, Incorporating Services, Ltd., 7801 Folsom Boulevard, Suite 202, Sacramento, CA 95826.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the provisions of the Patent Laws of the United States of America, Title 35, United States Code §§ 100, *et seq*.
- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Blackbird Technologies' claims per 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (patent jurisdiction).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Incapsula at least because Incapsula is subject to general jurisdiction in California, as Incapsula's principal place of business is located within this District. *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 134 S. Ct. 746, 760 (2014).
- 6. Venue is proper in this District at least because Incapsula has a regular and established place of business in this District (*see* Paragraph 2) and, according to the reasoning of the court in *Blackbird Tech LLC v. Cloudflare, Inc.*, C.A. No. 17-283-MSG, 2017 WL 4543783, at *4 (D. Del. Oct. 11, 2017), has committed acts of alleged infringement in this District. 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,453,335

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,453,335 (the "'335 patent" or "patent-in-suit") entitled, "Providing an Internet Third Party Data Channel," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent

and Trademark Office on September 17, 2002. Blackbird Technologies is the owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in and to the '335 patent, including all right to recover for any and all infringement thereof. The '335 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '335 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

- 8. The inventions claimed in the '335 patent represent an improvement to the technologies that make the internet work. At the time of invention, internet communications protocols, such as the hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP), allowed for the exchange of data, such as documents encoded in the hypertext markup language (HTML), over the internet. These protocols generally permitted only two parties to the communication: the client and the server. As a result, third party participation in existing client-server communications was problematic.
- 9. The inventions claimed in the '335 patent constitute novel and non-obvious ways of providing an internet third party data channel. The claimed inventions address shortcomings of existing internet communications protocols, and extend those protocols to communications involving three parties. This is done in a technical manner by introducing a distinct processing device located physically and logically between the client and server. The processing device monitors client-server data communications having predetermined properties, such as HTTP status codes, and upon the detection of such communications, accesses a distinct data source to obtain third party data, modifies or replaces the original data communication in response to the third party data, and then provides the resulting data communication to the intended recipient. Furthermore, to better utilize networking and computing resources, in certain claimed inventions, data is only transmitted on the third party data channel when the data transmission rate of the server to the client is below a predetermined threshold.

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF THE '335 PATENT

10. Blackbird Technologies reasserts and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 11. Incapsula infringes one or more claims of the '335 patent, including at least claims 8 and 24, by importing, making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell certain web content systems and services as part of its content delivery network ("CDN"), as explained below.
- 12. Incapsula's CDN performs a method, and also includes an apparatus, "for providing an internet third party data channel, said third party data channel being established within an existing data channel between an internet server and an internet client, said third party data channel connecting a data source distinct from said internet server to said internet client," as claimed.
- 13. The Incapsula CDN comprises a network including multiple data centers, including multiple data centers in the US. Incapsula sometimes refers to these data centers as "points of presence," "POPs," and "edge servers." Data centers in the Incapsula CDN include at least computer servers and other network devices. According to Incapsula, the systems in these data centers store copies of web content originally stored on content providers' computer servers and requested by users via the web. Incapsula sometimes refers to content providers' computer servers as "origin servers," and to the storage means within its CDN data centers as "caches." In networking terms, Incapsula data centers are located between user systems and origin servers, and are distinct from both user systems and origin servers. (See Exhibit B).
- 14. User requests for web content from an origin server are routed to a data center within the Incapsula CDN. In general terms, if the requested content is present in the Incapsula cache (*e.g.*, because a user has previously requested such content), Incapsula provides the user with the cached copy of the requested content, stored at the data center, without contacting the origin server. In this way, the workload of the origin server is reduced, which content providers generally find beneficial. If, however, the requested content is not present in the Incapsula cache (*e.g.*, because no user has previously requested such content, which may be the case when the content is updated or new), the Incapsula CDN requests the content from the appropriate origin server and then passes the content along to the user system. (*See* Exhibit B).

- 15. The Incapsula CDN includes "a processing device distinct from said internet server for monitoring said existing data channel for a data communication having a predetermined property, said data communication having an intended recipient of one of said internet server and said internet client," as claimed.
- 16. The Incapsula data centers include processing devices for monitoring data communications between user systems and origin servers. For example, systems in Incapsula data centers monitor data communications from origin servers to user systems having predetermined properties such as HTTP status codes, some of which indicate the occurrence of an error relating to the origin server. (*See* Exhibit C).
- 17. The processing devices within the Incapsula data centers are "adapted, upon detection of said data communication, to access said data source to obtain third party data, to execute a step selected from the group consisting of the step of modifying said data communication in response to said third party data and the step of replacing said data communication in response to said third party data to obtain a resultant data, and to send said resultant data communication to said intended recipient," as claimed.
- 18. When the processing devices within the Incapsula data centers detect certain error codes, the processing devices can access the cache to retrieve an error webpage customized for the error code in question. Instead of merely passing the error code onto the user system, the Incapsula data centers provide the custom error page appropriate for the error code in question. (See Exhibit C).
- 19. In the Incapsula CDN, "data is only transmitted on said third party data channel when the data transmission rate of said server to said client is below a predetermined threshold," as claimed.
- 20. According to Incapsula, custom error pages can be provided for error codes indicating the occurrence of an error involving the origin server concerning the data transmission rate of the origin server and/or further upstream servers. (*See* Exhibits C & D).

- 21. To the extent Incapsula's direct infringement is not literal, the differences between the claimed methods and systems and the infringing methods and systems are insubstantial, as the infringing methods and systems perform substantially the same function, in substantially the same way, to achieve the substantially same result.
- 22. Blackbird Technologies has sustained damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '335 patent.
- 23. As a consequence of Incapsula's past infringement of the '335 patent, Blackbird Technologies is entitled to the recovery of past damages in the form of, at a minimum, a reasonable royalty.
- 24. As a consequence of Incapsula's continued and future infringement of the '335 patent, Blackbird Technologies is entitled to royalties for its infringement of the '335 patent on a going-forward basis.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Blackbird Technologies respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- A. Adjudging that Defendant has infringed at least claims 8 and 24 of the '335 patent literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);
- B. An award of damages to be paid by Defendant adequate to compensate Blackbird Technologies for Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date such judgment is entered, and in no event less than a reasonable royalty, including interest, costs, and disbursements pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary to adequately compensate Blackbird Technologies for Defendant's infringement, an accounting of all infringing sales including, but not limited to, those sales not presented at trial;
- C. Ordering Defendant to continue to pay royalties to Blackbird Technologies for infringement of the '335 patent on a going-forward basis;
- D. Adjudging that this case be exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, to Blackbird Technologies;

E. Awarding Blackbird Technologies pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law on its damages; and F. Granting Blackbird Technologies such further relief as this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Blackbird Technologies demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable. Dated this 1st day of December, 2017 WALKER STEVENS CANNOM YANG LLP /s/ Bethany M. Stevens Bethany M. Stevens Attorneys for Plaintiff Blackbird Tech LLC