

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC USA, INC. and	§	
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-00455
	§	
v.	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
APPLE INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs, Uniloc USA, Inc. (“Uniloc USA”) and Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A. (“Uniloc Luxembourg”) (together, “Uniloc”), as and for their complaint against defendant, Apple Inc. (“Apple”), allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Uniloc USA is a Texas corporation having a principal place of business at Legacy Town Center I, Suite 380, 7160 Dallas Parkway, Plano Texas 75024. Uniloc USA also maintains a place of business at 102 N. College, Suite 603, Tyler, Texas 75702.

2. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. is a Luxembourg public limited liability company having a principal place of business at 15, Rue Edward Steichen, 4th Floor, L-2540, Luxembourg (R.C.S. Luxembourg B159161).

3. Upon information and belief, Apple is a California corporation having a principal place of business in Cupertino, California and regular and established places of business at 2601

Preston Road, Frisco, Texas and 6121 West Park Boulevard, Plano, Texas. Apple offers its products and/or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and potential customers located in Texas and in the judicial Eastern District of Texas. Apple may be served with process through its registered agent for service in Texas: CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryant Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 1367.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). Upon information and belief, Apple is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, and/or has purposely transacted business involving the accused products in Texas and this judicial district.

6. Apple is subject to this Court's jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute due at least to its substantial presence and business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of its past infringing activities, (B) regularly doing and soliciting business in Frisco and Plano, Texas and/or (C) engaging in persistent conduct and deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to customers in Texas.

COUNT I
(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,580,422)

7. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1-6 above by reference.

8. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 6,580,422 ("the '422 Patent"), entitled REMOTE COMPUTER DISPLAY USING GRAPHICS

PRIMITIVES SENT OVER A WIRELESS LINK that issued on June 17, 2003. A true and correct copy of the '422 Patent is attached as Exhibit A hereto.

9. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '422 Patent with ownership of all substantial rights therein, including the right to grant sublicenses, to exclude others, and to enforce, sue and recover past damages for the infringement thereof.

10. Apple makes, uses and sells iOS software used in mobile electronic Apple devices (such as iPhones, iPads and Apple TVs) that incorporate one or more wireless transmitters and/or receivers ("Wireless Devices").

11. iPhones and iPads are portable computing devices that incorporate wireless transmitters.

12. Apple TVs incorporate a video display and a wireless receiver.

13. In response to a request sent by an Apple TV, iPhones and iPads can send video signals to the Apple TV.

14. Upon receipt of the video signals, the Apple TV can convert the signals into digital graphical data for display on the TV screen.

15. Apple has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '422 Patent in the United States during the pendency of the '422 Patent, including at least claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17-18 and 20-21 literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by or through making, using, offering for sale and/or selling Wireless Devices that operate as described above.

16. In addition, should the Wireless Devices be found to not literally infringe the asserted claims of the '422 Patent, use of the devices would nevertheless infringe the asserted claims of the '422 Patent. More specifically, the devices perform substantially the same function (transmitting video data between wireless devices), in substantially the same way (via delivery

from a wireless device such as iPhone or iPad to an Apple TV), to yield substantially the same result (generating a video display at the Apple TV). Apple would thus be liable for direct infringement under the doctrine of equivalents.

17. Apple has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe at least claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17-18 and 20-21 of the '422 Patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, actively inducing the using, offering for sale or selling Wireless Devices. Apple's customers who use such devices in accordance with Apple's instructions directly infringe one or more of the foregoing claims of the '422 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Apple directly and/or indirectly intentionally instructs its customers to infringe through training videos, demonstrations, brochures, installation and/or user guides such as those located at one or more of the following:

- www.apple.com
- <https://support.apple.com>
- <https://appleid.apple.com>
- <https://itunes.apple.com>
- www.youtube.com

Apple is thereby liable for infringement of the '422 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

18. Apple has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe at least claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17-18 and 20-21 of the '422 Patent by, among other things, contributing to the direct infringement by others including, without limitation customers using the Apple Wireless Devices, by making, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States, a component of a patented machine, manufacture or combination, or an apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or

especially adapted for use in infringing the '422 Patent and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

19. For example, the software that causes the Wireless Devices to operate as described above is a component of a patented machine, manufacture, or combination, or an apparatus for use in practicing a patent process. Furthermore, the software is a material part of the claimed inventions and upon information and belief is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Apple is, therefore, liable for infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

20. Apple will have been on notice of the '422 Patent since, at the latest, the service of this complaint upon Apple. By the time of trial, Apple will have known and intended (since receiving such notice) that its continued actions would actively induce and contribute to the infringement of one or more of claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17-18 and 20-21 of the '422 Patent.

21. Apple may have infringed the '422 Patent through other software utilizing the same or reasonably similar functionality as described above. Uniloc reserves the right to discover and pursue all such additional infringing software and devices.

22. Uniloc has been damaged by Apple's infringement of the '422 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Uniloc requests that the Court enter judgment against Apple as follows:

- (A) declaring that Apple has infringed the '422 Patent;
- (B) awarding Uniloc its damages suffered as a result of Apple's infringement of the '422 Patent;
- (C) awarding Uniloc its costs, attorneys' fees, expenses and interest, and
- (D) granting Uniloc such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Uniloc hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.

Date: May 26, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edward R. Nelson III

Paul J. Hayes (Lead Attorney)
Massachusetts State Bar No. 227000
James J. Foster
Massachusetts State Bar No. 553285
Kevin Gannon
Massachusetts State Bar No. 640931
Dean Bostock
Massachusetts State Bar No. 549747
Robert R. Gilman
Massachusetts State Bar No. 645224
Michael Ercolini
New York State Bar No. 5029905
Aaron Jacobs
Massachusetts State Bar No. 677545
Daniel McGonagle
Massachusetts State Bar No. 690084
PRINCE LOBEL TYE LLP
One International Place, Suite 3700
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 456-8000
Fax: (617) 456-8100
Email: pjhayes@princelobel.com
Email: jjfoster@princelobel.com
Email: kgannon@princelobel.com
Email: dbostock@princelobel.com
Email: rgilman@princelobel.com
Email: mercolini@princelobel.com
Email: ajacobs@princelobel.com
Email: dmcgonagle@princelobel.com

Edward R. Nelson III
ed@nelbum.com
Texas State Bar No. 00797142
Anthony M. Vecchione
anthony@nelbum.com
Texas State Bar No. 24061270
NELSON BUMGARDNER PC
3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, TX 76107
Tel: (817) 377-9111

Fax: (817) 377-3485

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS