

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

EXPRESS MOBILE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WPENGINE, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Express Mobile, Inc. (“Express Mobile” or “Plaintiff”), for its Complaint against Defendant WP Engine, Inc., (“WP Engine” or “Defendant”) alleges the following:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

**THE PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at 3415 Custer Rd. Suite 104, Plano, TX 75023.

3. Upon information and belief, WP Engine is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a place of business at 504 Lavaca Street, Suite 1000, Austin, TX 78701 and can be served through its registered agent, Capitol Services, Inc., 1675 S. State Street, Suite B, Dover, DE 19901.

4. Upon information and belief, WP Engine sells and offers to sell products and services throughout the United States, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and

services that into the stream of commerce and that incorporate infringing technology knowing that they would be sold in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware.

8. On information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court's general and specific personal jurisdiction because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts within the State of Delaware and this District, pursuant to due process and/or the Delaware Long Arm Statute because Defendant purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Delaware and in this District, because Defendant regularly conducts and solicits business within the State of Delaware and within this District, and because Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from each of Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Delaware and this District. Further, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is incorporated in Delaware and has purposely availed itself of the privileges and benefits of the laws of the State of Delaware.

**COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. Patent No. 6,546,397**

9. The allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 8 are incorporated into this First Claim for Relief.

10. On April 8, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,546,397 ("the '397 patent"), entitled "*Browser Based Web Site Generation Tool and Run Time Engine*," was duly and legally issued

by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '397 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

11. The inventions of the '397 patent resolve technical problems related to website creation and generation. For example, the inventions enable the creation of websites through browser-based visual editing tools such as selectable settings panels which describe website elements, with one or more settings corresponding to commands, which features are exclusively implemented utilizing computer technology including a virtual machine.

12. The claims of the '397 patent do not merely recite the performance of some business practice known from the pre-Internet world along with the requirement to perform it on the Internet. Instead, the claims of the '397 patent recite one or more inventive concepts that are rooted in computerized website creation technology, and overcome problems specifically arising in the realm of computerized website creation technologies.

13. The claims of the '397 patent recite an invention that is not merely the routine or conventional use of website creation systems and methods. Instead, the invention describes a browser-based website creation system and method in which the user-selected settings representing website elements are stored in a database, and in which said stored information is retrieved to generate said website.

14. The technology claimed in the '397 patent does not preempt all ways of using website or web page authoring tools nor preempt the use of all website or web page authoring tools, nor preempt any other well-known or prior art technology.

15. Accordingly, each claim of the '397 patent recites a combination of elements sufficient to ensure that the claim in practice amounts to significantly more than a patent on an ineligible concept.

16. In C.A. 2:17-00128, a case filed in the Eastern District of Texas, the defendant in that action, KTree Computer Solutions brought a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings asserting that the '397 patent, along with U.S. Patent No. 7,594,168 (asserted in Count II below) were invalid as claiming abstract subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. (C.A. 2:17-00128 Dkt. 9.) Subsequent briefing included Plaintiff's Response and related Declarations and Exhibits (C.A. 2:17-00128 Dkt. 17, 22-24), KTree's Reply (C.A. 2:17-00128 Dkt. 25), and Plaintiff's Sur-Reply and related Declarations and Exhibits (C.A. 2:17-00128 Dkt. 26-27). Each of those filings is incorporated by reference into this Complaint.

17. After a consideration of the respective pleadings, Magistrate Judge Payne recommended denial of KTree's motion, without prejudice, holding that "the claims appear to address a problem particular to the internet: dynamically generating websites and displaying web pages based on stored user-selected settings" and further stating "the asserted claims do not bear all of the hallmarks of claims that have been invalidated on the pleadings by other courts in the past. For example, the claims are not merely do-it-on-a-computer claims." (Dkt. 29, attached hereto as Exhibit C.) No objection was filed to the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation and the decision therefore became final.

18. Plaintiff is the assignee and owner of the right, title and interest in and to the '397 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patents and the right to any remedies for infringement of them.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant has and continues to directly infringe at least claims 1-2 and 37 of the '397 patent by using a browser-based website and/or web page authoring tool in which the user-selected settings representing website elements are stored in a database, and in which said stored information is retrieved to generate said website (the

“Accused Instrumentalities”). The Accused Instrumentalities include but are not limited to the website building tools used by Defendant, such as, for example, all versions of Wordpress. *See, e.g.,* <https://wpengine.com/>.

20. On information and belief, Defendant is a for-profit organization with revenues of approximately seventy-five million U.S.D. per year. Moreover, Defendant, its employees and/or agents utilize the Accused Instrumentalities in the building of websites for Defendant’s customers, leading to direct or indirect revenues and profit. As one example of indirect profit, entities such as Defendant will frequently offer website building services at reduced pricing as an inducement to attract customers, who then purchase additional products or services. On information and belief, without the availability of infringing tools such as the Accused Instrumentalities, Defendant would be at a disadvantage in the marketplace and would generate less revenue overall.

21. In particular, claim 1 of the ’397 patent generally recites a method enabling production of websites on and for computers with browsers and virtual machines, by presenting, through a browser, a selectable settings menu describing elements, such setting(s) corresponding to commands to the virtual machine; generating a display in accordance with selected settings; storing information regarding selected settings in a database; generating a website at least in part by retrieving said information; and building web page(s) to generate said website and a run time file, where the run time file uses the stored information to generate virtual machine commands for the display of at least a portion of web page(s).

22. The Accused Instrumentalities infringe claim 1 of the ’397 patent through a combination of features which collectively practice each limitation of claim 1. By way of example, modern internet browsers such as Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla’s Firefox,

Apple Safari, Google Chrome, and Opera include virtual machines within the meaning of the '397 patent. (See, e.g., <http://developer.telerik.com/featured/a-guide-to-javascript-engines-for-idiots/>; <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/virtual+machine?s=t>). The Accused Instrumentalities support the use of the latest versions of Internet Explorer 11 or later, Microsoft Edge, latest-1, Firefox latest, latest-1, Chrome latest, latest-1, Safari latest, latest-1 (Mac OS), Safari Mobile for iPad 2, iPad Mini, iPad with Retina Display (iOS 7 or later), for desktop site, Safari Mobile for iPhone 4 or later; iOS 7 or later, for mobile site, Chrome for mobile latest-1 (Android 4 or later) for mobile site, where *latest-1* means one major version earlier than the latest released version. (See <http://themeforest.net/category/wordpress>.) All of these browsers rely on browser engines comprising virtual machines to interpret and execute JavaScript and HTML to render web pages on a computer. By way of further example, the Accused Instrumentalities enable users to produce websites through browsers on users' computers via interaction with an Internet server. For example, in order to add a new page to a user's website, the user logs in and then a server of the Accused Instrumentalities initiates presentation to the user through a browser of a website store-builder tool. From the dashboard of the Accused Instrumentalities, the user can navigate to the "Customize Your Site" screen. A display is generated in accordance with one or more user selected settings substantially contemporaneously with the selection thereof. This is performed, for example, using a visual editing tool through a browser. The WYSIWYG interface for selecting center alignment of an image can also be accessed, and then the user can select various options such as a font and paragraph styles. After the user selects options such as image/text alignment or font and paragraph styles through the WYSIWYG editor, the display immediately updates to reflect the selected option. Furthermore, when images are uploaded by a user, those images are displayed in approximately 0-2 seconds

depending on file size and bandwidth. Data is stored including information corresponding to user selected settings such as, for example, the selections of text color. Other user selections are also stored in including, for example, the layout, image filenames, thumbnails, and paragraph margin settings for defining the alignment of an image location. The Accused Instrumentalities build one or more web pages to generate a website from at least a portion of a database and at least one run time file, where at least one run time file utilizes information stored in said database to generate virtual machine commands for the display of at least a portion of said one or more web pages. At run time, at least some of these files use information stored in the database to generate the HTML for the final rendered HTML page. This HTML represents virtual machine commands for display of the page because it is read and used by the applicable browser's engine, including a virtual machine, in order to render the page. On information and belief, the Accused Instrumentalities further rely on the browser engine's component JavaScript engine to either display a portion of the page directly, or generate HTML to be executed for display by the main layout engine. Additionally, the "PHP code," including the PHP template files, can be viewed in the file directory for the Accused Instrumentalities, and this directory includes various other runtime files (including other PHP files, JavaScript files, PHTML, and XML). It follows that a user will view the finalized website developed with said tools in a browser outside of the website authoring environment to verify the website conforms to the intended design. By way of further example, *see* <http://themeforest.net/category/wordpress>; <http://codex.wordpress.org/Templates>; [http://codex.wordpress.org/Template\\_Hierarchy](http://codex.wordpress.org/Template_Hierarchy); [http://codex.wordpress.org/Function\\_Reference/the\\_title](http://codex.wordpress.org/Function_Reference/the_title); [http://codex.wordpress.org/Function\\_Reference/the\\_content](http://codex.wordpress.org/Function_Reference/the_content);

[http://codex.wordpress.org/Template\\_Tags/get\\_the\\_title](http://codex.wordpress.org/Template_Tags/get_the_title); and

[http://codex.wordpress.org/Query\\_Overview](http://codex.wordpress.org/Query_Overview).

23. Claim 2 of the '397 patent generally recites an apparatus for producing websites on and for computers having a browser and a virtual machine, said apparatus comprising an interface to present a settings menu which describes elements, said panel presented through a browser, where the selectable setting(s) corresponds to commands to the virtual machine; a browser to generate a display in accordance with selected setting(s); a database for storing information regarding selected settings; and a build tool having run time file(s) for generating web page(s) and using stored information to generate commands to the virtual machine for generating at least a portion of web page(s).

24. The Accused Instrumentalities infringe claim 2 of the '397 patent through a combination of features which collectively practice each limitation of claim 2. By way of example, modern internet browsers such as Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla's Firefox, Apple Safari, Google Chrome, and Opera include virtual machines within the meaning of the '397 patent. (See, e.g., <http://developer.telerik.com/featured/a-guide-to-javascript-engines-for-idiots/>; <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/virtual+machine?s=t>). The Accused Instrumentalities support the use of the latest versions of Internet Explorer 11 or later, Microsoft Edge, latest-1, Firefox latest, latest-1, Chrome latest, latest-1, Safari latest, latest-1 (Mac OS), Safari Mobile for iPad 2, iPad Mini, iPad with Retina Display (iOS 7 or later), for desktop sites, Safari Mobile for iPhone 4 or later; iOS 7 or later, for mobile sites, Chrome for mobile latest-1 (Android 4 or later) for mobile sites, where *latest-1* means one major version earlier than the latest released version. (See <http://themeforest.net/category/wordpress>.) All of these browsers rely on browser engines comprising virtual machines to interpret and execute JavaScript and

HTML to render web pages on a computer. By way of further example, the Accused Instrumentalities enable users to produce websites through browsers on users' computers via interaction with an Internet server. For example, in order to add a new page to a user's website, the user logs in and then a server of the Accused Instrumentalities initiates presentation to the user through a browser of a store-builder tool. From the dashboard of the Accused Instrumentalities, the user can navigate to the "Customize Your Site" screen. A display is generated in accordance with one or more user selected settings substantially contemporaneously with the selection thereof. This is performed, for example, using a visual editing tool through a browser. The WYSIWYG interface for selecting center alignment of an image can also be accessed, and then the user can select various options such as a font and paragraph styles. After the user selects options such as image/text alignment or font and paragraph styles through the WYSIWYG editor, the display immediately updates to reflect the selected option. Furthermore, when images are uploaded by a user, those images are displayed in approximately 0-2 seconds depending on file size and bandwidth. Data is stored including information corresponding to user selected settings such as, for example, the selections of text color. Other user selections are also stored in including, for example, the layout, image filenames, thumbnails, and paragraph margin settings for defining the alignment of an image location. The Accused Instrumentalities build one or more web pages to generate a website from at least a portion of a database and at least one run time file, where at least one run time file utilizes information stored in said database to generate virtual machine commands for the display of at least a portion of said one or more web pages. At run time, at least some of these files use information stored in the database to generate the HTML for the final rendered HTML page. This HTML represents virtual machine commands for display of the page because it is read and used by the applicable browser's engine,

including a virtual machine, in order to render the page. On information and belief, the Accused Instrumentalities further rely on the browser engine's component JavaScript engine to either display a portion of the page directly, or generate HTML to be executed for display by the main layout engine. Additionally, the "PHP code," including the PHP template files, can be viewed in the file directory for the Accused Instrumentalities, and this directory includes various other runtime files (including other PHP files, JavaScript files, PHTML, and XML). It follows that a user will view the finalized website developed with said tools in a browser outside of the website authoring environment to verify the website conforms to the intended design. By way of example, *see* <http://codex.wordpress.org/Templates>;  
[http://codex.wordpress.org/Template\\_Hierarchy](http://codex.wordpress.org/Template_Hierarchy);  
[http://codex.wordpress.org/Function\\_Reference/the\\_title](http://codex.wordpress.org/Function_Reference/the_title);  
[http://codex.wordpress.org/Function\\_Reference/the\\_content](http://codex.wordpress.org/Function_Reference/the_content);  
[http://codex.wordpress.org/Template\\_Tags/get\\_the\\_title](http://codex.wordpress.org/Template_Tags/get_the_title); and  
[http://codex.wordpress.org/Query\\_Overview](http://codex.wordpress.org/Query_Overview).

25. Claim 37 of the '397 patent generally recites [a]n apparatus for producing websites with web page(s) on and for a computer with a browser and a virtual machine, the apparatus comprising: an interface for building a website through control of website elements, being operable through the browser on to: present a selectable settings menu, accept settings, and generate the display in accordance with an assembly of settings contemporaneously with the acceptance thereof, at least one setting being operable to generate said display through commands to said virtual machine; an internal database associated with the interface for storing information representative of one or more of assembly of settings for controlling elements of the website; and a build tool to construct web page(s) of the website having: an external database

containing data corresponding to the information stored in the internal database, and one or more run time files, where said run time files use information stored in the external database to generate virtual machine commands for the display of at least a portion of one or more web pages.

26. The Accused Instrumentalities infringe claim 37 of the '397 patent through a combination of features which collectively practice each limitation of claim 37. By way of example, modern internet browsers such as Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla's Firefox, Apple Safari, Google Chrome, and Opera include virtual machines within the meaning of the '397 patent. (See, e.g., <http://developer.telerik.com/featured/a-guide-to-javascript-engines-for-idiots/>; <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/virtual+machine?s=t>). The Accused Instrumentalities support the use of the latest versions of Internet Explorer 11 or later, Microsoft Edge, latest-1, Firefox latest, latest-1, Chrome latest, latest-1, Safari latest, latest-1 (Mac OS), Safari Mobile for iPad 2, iPad Mini, iPad with Retina Display (iOS 7 or later), for desktop site, Safari Mobile for iPhone 4 or later; iOS 7 or later, for mobile site, Chrome for mobile latest-1 (Android 4 or later) for mobile site, where *latest-1* means one major version earlier than the latest released version. (See <http://themeforest.net/category/wordpress>.) By way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities include various multimedia objects selected from a group contained within a WYSIWYG Editor. Examples include color, font, an image, an audio clip, a video, a text area and a URL as they appear in the WYSIWYG Editor. The multimedia objects created in the WYSIWYG editor are stored in the database and appear as HTML scripted text in the database. Text and vector objects can be selected and colored by selecting them or "click and dragging" over them in the WYSIWYG editor. A color may also be selected from the color dropdowns on the control bar of the Editor. This color is saved to the database; as part of the

HTML of the description record. Moreover, text objects may be assigned a font by making such a selection or “click and dragging” over them in the WYSIWYG editor. A font can then be selected from the font dropdown on the control bar of the Editor. This font selection is thereafter saved to the database as part of the HTML of the description record. Selecting the Image button in the WYSIWYG editor opens a tabbed panel where the user designates source, title, format, size, etc. The image file is uploaded to the server and the file’s location and style are saved and posted to the database as part of the HTML of the description record. Furthermore, videos are created by clicking on the Media button, which opens a tabbed panel where the user designates URL, format, size, etc. The video’s URL and style elements are saved to the database as part of the HTML of the description record. Moreover, audio is created by clicking on the Media button, which opens a tabbed panel where the user designates URL, format, size, etc. The audio URL and style elements are also saved to the database as part of the HTML of the description record. A text area may also be selected for creation by clicking in the frame of the WYSIWYG Editor and typing. The text and its style are saved to the database as part of the HTML of the description record. After entering text into the WYSIWYG editor’s text area, an URL assigned by clicking and dragging over the text object you wish to link, and then selecting the “chain” link button from the control bar; which opens a tabbed panel where the user can designate the URL, target, etc. The text and its style are saved to the database as part of the HTML of the description record. Furthermore, the Accused Instrumentalities enable data from the client-side form referenced to be stored in a server-side database. By way of further example, *see* <http://themeforest.net/category/wordpress>; <http://codex.wordpress.org/Templates>; [http://codex.wordpress.org/Template\\_Hierarchy](http://codex.wordpress.org/Template_Hierarchy); [http://codex.wordpress.org/Function\\_Reference/the\\_title](http://codex.wordpress.org/Function_Reference/the_title);

[http://codex.wordpress.org/Function\\_Reference/the\\_content](http://codex.wordpress.org/Function_Reference/the_content);

[http://codex.wordpress.org/Template\\_Tags/get\\_the\\_title](http://codex.wordpress.org/Template_Tags/get_the_title); and

[http://codex.wordpress.org/Query\\_Overview](http://codex.wordpress.org/Query_Overview).

27. Defendant was made aware of the '397 patent and its infringement thereof at least as early as the filing of this Complaint.

28. Since the date of the filing of this Complaint, Defendant's infringement of the '397 patent has been willful.

29. Plaintiff has been harmed by Defendant's infringing activities.

**COUNT II – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,594,168**

30. The allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 29 are incorporated into this Second Claim for Relief.

31. On September 22, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,594,168 entitled *Browser Based Web Site Generation Tool and Run Time Engine* was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '168 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

32. The inventions of the '168 patent resolve technical problems related to website creation and generation. For example, the inventions enable the creation of websites through browser-based build tools and a user interface, which features are exclusively implemented utilizing computer technology.

33. The claims of the '168 patent do not merely recite the performance of some business practice known from the pre-Internet world along with the requirement to perform it on the Internet. Instead, the claims of the '168 patent recite one or more inventive concepts that are rooted in computerized website creation technology, and overcome problems specifically arising in the realm of computerized website creation technologies.

34. The claims of the '168 patent recite an invention that is not merely the routine or conventional use of website creation systems and methods. Instead, the invention describes a browser-based website creation system including a server comprising a build engine configured to create and apply styles to, for example, a website with web pages comprised of objects.

35. The technology claimed in the '168 patent does not preempt all ways of using website or web page authoring tools nor preempt the use of all website or web page authoring tools, nor preempt any other well-known or prior art technology.

36. Accordingly, each claim of the '168 patent recites a combination of elements sufficient to ensure that the claim in practice amounts to significantly more than a patent on an ineligible concept.

37. As noted above and incorporated into this Second Claim for Relief, a defendant in another case in which the '397 and '168 patents were asserted, asserted that the '397 and '168 patents were invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101. That motion and related Order are discussed above.

38. Plaintiff is the assignee and owner of the right, title and interest in and to the '168 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patents and the right to any remedies for infringement of them.

39. Upon information and belief, Defendant has and continues to directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '168 patent by using a browser-based website and/or web page authoring tool in which the user-selected settings representing website elements are stored in a database, and retrieval of said information to generate said website (the "Accused Instrumentalities"). The Accused Instrumentalities include but are not limited to Defendant's website building tools.

40. In particular, claim 1 of the '168 patent generally recites a system for assembling a website comprising a server with a build engine, the website comprising web pages with

objects (one button or one image object), the server accepting user input to associate a style with objects, wherein a button or image object is associated with a style that includes values defining transformations and time lines; wherein each web page is defined entirely by the objects and the style associated with the object, produce a database with a multidimensional array comprising the objects that comprise the website including data defining the object style, number, and an indication of the web page that each object is part of, and provide the database to a server accessible to web browser; wherein the database is produced such that a web browser with access to a runtime engine is configured to generate the website from the objects and style data extracted from the provided database.

41. The Accused Instrumentalities infringe claim 1 of the '168 patent through a combination of features which collectively practice each limitation of claim 1. (*See, e.g.*, [https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/Document\\_Object\\_Model](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/Document_Object_Model), [http://www.w3schools.com/js/js\\_htmlDOM.asp](http://www.w3schools.com/js/js_htmlDOM.asp); <http://demos.dojotoolkit.org/demos/css3/demo.html>.)

42. Further, by way of example, the JSON strings that are used by the Accused Instrumentalities to generate, in part, page elements originate from the database and therefore reflect the database structure and contents showing, on information and belief, the implementation of a multidimensional array structured database comprising the objects that comprise the web site. By way of further evidence, the JSON strings show that there are dimensions for the pages and for arrays of elements. *See, e.g.*, <https://developer.wordpress.org/rest-api/reference/posts/>; <https://developer.wordpress.org/rest-api/#next-steps>.

43. Further, the Accused Instrumentalities enable the storing in the database of data defining each object such as object styles, an object number, and an indication of the which page each object is a part of. For example, a user can select a theme style for a body title on a specific page (for example, at a specific pixel level). The CSS database file is thereafter saved to the server, reflecting the selected font, size, and the object and page to which it applies.

44. By way of example, for the completed web site, the Accused Instrumentalities include two customized runtime files, an HTML file and a second unique CSS file.

45. Defendant was made aware of the '168 patent and its infringement thereof at least as early as the filing of this Complaint.

46. Since the date of the filing of this Complaint, Defendant's infringement of the '168 patent has been willful.

47. Plaintiff has been harmed by Defendant's infringing activities.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable as such.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for itself and against Defendant as follows:

- A. An adjudication that Defendant has infringed the '397 and '168 patents;
- B. An award of damages to be paid by Defendant adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's past infringement of the '397 and '168 patents, and any continuing or future infringement through the date such judgment is entered, including interest, costs, expenses and an accounting of all infringing acts including, but not limited to, those acts not presented at trial;

- C. A declaration that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and an award of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- D. An award to Plaintiff of such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 17, 2018

DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC

By: /s/ Timothy Devlin  
Timothy Devlin  
Delaware Bar No. 4241  
tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com  
Robert Kiddie (*pro hac vice* to be filed)  
Texas Bar No. 24060092  
rkiddie@devlinlawfirm.com  
1306 N. Broom St., 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, Delaware 19806  
Telephone: (302) 449-9010  
Facsimile: (302) 353-4251

BRENT COON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Mary C. Jacob (*pro hac vice* to be filed)  
Texas Bar No. 24072165  
mary.jacob@bcoonlaw.com  
300 Fannin St., Suite 200  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Telephone: (713) 225-1682  
Facsimile: (713) 225-1785

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Express Mobile, Inc.*