	Case 4:18-cv-00361-PJH Document 38 Filed 01/30/18 Page 1 of 5		
1 2 3 4 5 6	James J. Foster jfoster@princelobel.com Aaron Jacobs (CA No. 214953) ajacobs@princelobel.com Prince Lobel Tye LLP One International Place, Suite 3700 Boston, MA 02110 617-456-8000 Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	OAKLAND DIVISION		
10	UNILOC USA, INC., and) Case No.: 4:18-cv-00361-PJH UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.,)		
11	Plaintiffs, Plaint		
12	v.)		
13	APPLE INC.,		
14) Defendant.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	1. Uniloc USA is a Texas corporation, having a principal place of business at Legacy		
23	Town Center I, Suite 380, 7160 Dallas Parkway, Plano, Texas 75024.		
24			
25	principal place of business at 15, Rue Edward Steichen, 4 th Floor, L-2540, Luxembourg (R.C.S.		
26	Luxembourg B159161).		
27			
28	$\frac{1}{1}$ As this Second Amended Complaint completely supersedes the earlier First Amended Complaint, its filing moots the		

pending motion to dismiss, Dkt. 17.

Apple is a California corporation, having a principal place of business in Cupertino,
 California.

3 **JURISDICTION** 4 4. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United 5 States, 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a). 6 PATENT INFRINGEMENT 7 5. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 8,872,646 ("the 8 '646 Patent"), entitled METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR WAKING UP A DEVICE DUE TO 9 MOTION that issued on October 28, 2014. (A copy of the '646 Patent was attached as Exhibit A to 10 the Complaint.) 11 6. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '646 Patent, with ownership of all 12 substantial rights in that patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, to exclude others, and to 13 enforce, sue, and recover past damages for infringement. 14 7. The '646 patent describes, in detail, and claims, in various ways and at different levels 15 of specificity, an invention DP developed in 2008 as an improved method and system for waking up 16 a device. The invention improved upon existing methods and systems by increasing the battery life 17 of personal devices through incorporating a motion sensor in the device and waking the device from 18 an idle power saving mode only when the motion sensed by the motion sensor meets a certain 19 threshold. 20 8. The approach DP invented, and the methods and systems the '646 patent claims, were 21 not conventional or generic in the industry in 2008, but rather involved or contain programming that 22 represented a novel, and not obvious, approach that other companies in this field had not reduced to 23 practice. 24 9. The invention represented a technological solution to a technological problem. The

9. The invention represented a technological solution to a technological problem. The
 written description of the '646 patent describes, in technical detail, each of the limitations in the
 claims, allowing a person of skill in the art to understand what those limitations cover, and therefore
 what was claimed, and also understand how the nonconventional and non-generic ordered

28

Case 4:18-cv-00361-PJH Document 38 Filed 01/30/18 Page 3 of 5

combination of the elements of the claims differ markedly from what had been conventional or
generic in the industry in 2008.

10. Apple makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and imports electronic devices, such as
iPhones (including versions SE, 6s, 6s+, 7, 7+, and 7 Red) and Watches that incorporate hardware
(such as accelerometers, altimeters, gyroscopes, and M9/M10 motion detecting coprocessors/S2
SiPs) and software (including iOS10.0.x and watchOS versions) that provide a Raise to Wake
functionality to the devices (together, "Accused Infringing Devices").

8 11. Apple has infringed, and continues to infringe, at least claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8-9, 11, 13 9 18, and 20, of the '646 Patent, by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and importing Accused
 10 Infringing Devices. (Attached as Exhibit 1 is a chart identifying, as specifically as possible without
 11 discovery, where each element of each asserted claim is found within the accused instrumentalities.)

12 12. Apple has infringed, and continues to infringe, those same claims of the '646 Patent
 13 by actively inducing others to use, offer for sale, or sell Accused Infringing Devices. Apple's
 14 customers who use those devices in accordance with Apple's instructions infringe claims of the '646
 15 Patent. Apple intentionally instructs its customers to infringe through training videos,
 16 demonstrations, brochures, and installation and user guides, such as those located at:

17

www.apple.com

18 19 • https://support.apple.com

- https://appleid.apple.com
- 20

21

• https://itunes.apple.com

• www.youtube.com

Apple also induces infringement by failing to remove or diminish infringing features of the Accused
 Infringing Devices.

Apple has infringed, and continues to infringe, those same claims of the '646 Patent
by contributing to the infringement by others, including customers who use the Accused Infringing
Devices, by offering for sale, selling, and importing a component of a patented machine, manufacture,
or combination, or of an apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material
part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or adapted for use in infringing the

'646 Patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing
 use.

³ 14. For example, the software that causes the Accused Infringing Devices to operate as
⁴ described above is a component of a patented machine, manufacture, or combination, or of an
⁵ apparatus use in practicing a patented process. The software is a material part of the claimed
⁶ inventions and is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non⁷ infringing use.

8 15. Apple has been on notice of the '646 Patent since, at the latest, the service of the
 9 original Complaint. By the time of trial, Apple will have known and intended (since receiving such
 10 notice) that its continued actions would actively induce, and contribute to, the infringement of claims
 11 of the '646 Patent.

12 16. Apple may have infringed the '646 Patent through other software and devices utilizing
 13 the same or reasonably similar functionality, including other current or future versions of the accused
 14 software and Accused Infringing Devices that allow a user of iPhones, iPads or Watches to wake up
 15 such devices, as described above.

16

17

17. Uniloc has been damaged by Apple's infringement of the '646 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

18 Uniloc requests that the Court enter judgment against Apple as follows: 19 (A) declaring that Apple has infringed the '646 Patent; 20 awarding Uniloc its damages suffered as a result of Apple's infringement of the '646 (B) 21 Patent: 22 (C) awarding Uniloc its costs, attorneys' fees, expenses, and interest; and 23 (D) granting Uniloc such further relief as the Court may decide is warranted. 24 25 26 27 28

	Case 4:18-cv-00361-PJH	Document 38 Filed 01/30/18 Page 5 of 5
1		
2	Date: January 30, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
3		/s/ James J. Foster James J. Foster
4		Jfoster@princelobel.com Aaron Jacobs (CA No. 214953)
5		jfoster@princelobel.com Aaron Jacobs (CA No. 214953) ajacobs@princelobel.com Prince Lobel Tye LLP One International Place, Suite 3700 Boston, MA 02110
6		Boston, MA 02110 617-456-8000
7		017-450-0000
8	2873953.v1	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		