

1 John J. Edmonds (State Bar No. 274200)

2 jedmonds@ip-lit.com

3 **COLLINS EDMONDS**

4 Collins Edmonds Schlather & Tower, PLLC

5 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450

6 Los Angeles, California 90071

7 Telephone: (213) 973-7846

8 Facsimile: (213) 835-6996

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
10 CELLSPIN SOFT INC.

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 OAKLAND DIVISION

14 Case No. 4:17-cv-05932

15 CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 UNDER ARMOUR, INC.,

19 Defendant.

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT
NOS. 8,738,794, 8,892,752, AND
9,749,847¹**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Original Complaint Filed: October 16, 2017
Judge: Honorable Yvonne G. Rogers

20 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

21 1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant’s infringement of United States
22 Patent Nos. 8,738,794 entitled “Automatic Multimedia Upload for Publishing Data and
23 Multimedia Content” (the “794 patent”), 8,892,752 entitled “Automatic Multimedia Upload
24 for Publishing Data and Multimedia Content” (the “752 patent”), and 9,749,847 entitled
25 “Automatic Multimedia Upload for Publishing Data and Multimedia Content” (the “847

26 ¹ Cellspin files this Amended Complaint pursuant to the Court’s very recent February 27th
27 Order approving the parties’ stipulation that pleadings in this case may be “amended, without
28 the need for leave of Court, up to, and including June 5, 2018,” and pursuant to very recent
decisions from the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit -- *see, e.g., Automated Tracking
Solutions, LLC v. The Coca-Cola Co.*, 2018 WL 935455 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 16, 2018) – concerning
the significance of pled facts in connection with the evaluation of motions brought under 35
U.S.C. § 101. Cellspin is mindful of the fact that § 101 motions (briefed prior to these recent
decisions from the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit) are currently pending and set for
hearing. Cellspin hereby stipulates and agrees that Defendants need not re-file their § 101
motions and that the filing of this Amended Complaint does not render moot such pending
motions, and Cellspin is fully prepared to have all relevant matters heard at the Court’s
upcoming hearing § 101 motions.

1 patent”) (collectively, the “Patents-in-Suit”).

2 THE PARTIES

3 2. Plaintiff, Cellspin Soft, Inc. (“Cellspin”), is a California corporation with an office and
4 place business at 1410 Mercy Street, Mountain View, California 94041.

5 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Under Armour, Inc. (“UA”), is a corporation
6 organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland, with its principal place of
7 business at 1020 Hull Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21230. UA has already been served with
8 process and is being served with this Amended Complaint via ECF.

9 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10 4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.,
11 including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, and 284. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over
12 this case for patent infringement, including pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

13 5. Plaintiff is the assignee of the Patents-in-Suit with all right, title and interest to bring the
14 claims herein comprising those for past and present infringement, including to recover
15 damages therefor.

16 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over UA, including because UA has minimum
17 contacts within the State of California; UA has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of
18 conducting business in the State of California; UA regularly conducts business within the State
19 of California; and Plaintiff’s cause of action arises directly from UA’s business contacts and
20 other activities in the State of California, including at least by virtue of UA’s infringing
21 methods and products, which are at least practiced, made, used, offered for sale, and sold in
22 the State of California. UA is subject to this Court’s specific and general personal jurisdiction,
23 pursuant to due process and the California Long Arm Statute, due at least to its continuous and
24 systematic business contacts in California. Further, on information and belief, UA is subject
25 to the Court’s specific jurisdiction, including because UA has committed patent infringement
26 in the State of California, including as detailed herein. In addition, UA induces infringement
27 of the Patents-in-Suit by customers and/or infringing users located in California. Further, on
28 information and belief, UA regularly conducts and/or solicits business, engages in other

1 persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services
2 provided to persons and/or entities in California.

3 7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), including
4 because UA has at least one regular and established place of business, including Under Armour
5 Stores and/or Under Armour Outlet Stores, in this District and in California, and at least some
6 of its infringement of the patent-in-suit occurs in this District and in California.

7 THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

8 8. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein the allegations in the above paragraphs.

9 9. The claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including the asserted claims, when viewed as a
10 whole, including as an ordered combination, are not merely the recitation of well-understood,
11 routine, or conventional technologies or components. The claimed inventions were not well-
12 known, routine, or conventional at the time of the invention, over ten years ago, and represent
13 specific improvements over the prior art and prior existing systems and methods.

14 10. At the time of the patented inventions, publishing captured data from a data capture
15 device to a web service was cumbersome and inefficient.

16 11. At the time of the priority date of the Patents-in-Suit (December 2007), the same year
17 the world's first prominent mobile "smartphone" was released, and 6 months before the
18 world's first prominent mobile "app store" (*see* History of the iPhone on Wikipedia at
19 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_iPhone & App Store (iOS) on Wikipedia at
20 [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/App_Store_\(iOS\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/App_Store_(iOS))), it was a cumbersome and time consuming
21 process to use a data capture device to acquire data, send that data to a mobile device with an
22 internet connection, and the mobile device to upload that wirelessly received data to a website,
23 especially for large data such as pictures or video data.

24 12. The most common and practical way to transfer large data was to physically plug a data
25 capture device into, or transfer a memory card from a data capture device to, a computer,
26 upload the data on the capture device or memory card to the computer, and further upload the
27 data from the computer to a web service. *See, e.g.*, '794 at 1:37-54. In the case of using a 2007
28 mobile phone, the software on both the data capture device and mobile phone that established

1 a paired connection and potentially transferred large data was extremely under developed and
 2 not the intended or foreseeable use of the mobile phone. Further, HTTP transfers of data
 3 received over the paired wireless connection to web services was non-existent. Mobile phones
 4 of that time exclusively used SMS,² MMS,³ or email-based communication methods (such as
 5 POP3 or IMAP⁴ to transfer data that was acquired by the mobile phone. It was not until 2009
 6 or later when the leading tech companies, such as Facebook and Google, started releasing
 7 HTTP APIs for developers to utilize a HTTP transfer protocol for mobile devices. *See*
 8 <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/graph-api/changelog/archive>; [http://mashable.com/](http://mashable.com/2009/05/19/twitter-share-images/#K9kEHwxammq0)
 9 [2009/05/19/twitter-share-images/#K9kEHwxammq0](http://mashable.com/2009/05/19/twitter-share-images/#K9kEHwxammq0). Even in 2009 when Facebook and
 10 Google HTTP APIs were released, the released HTTP APIs were only used for data that was
 11 acquired by the mobile phone, and not for the data that was received wirelessly over the secure
 12 paired connection from a physically separate data capture device. Applying HTTP to a data in
 13 transit and on intermediary mobile device was not developed until the inventions of the
 14 Patents-in-Suit.

15 13. Including as of the priority date of the Patents-in-Suit, there have been many, albeit
 16 vastly inferior, means outside of the claimed invention for achieving the ends of acquiring and
 17 transferring data for publication, including on the Internet. For example, as noted in the
 18 specification,

19 Typically, the user would capture an image using a digital camera or a video
 20 camera, store the image on a memory device of the digital camera, and transfer
 21 the image to a computing device such as a personal computer (PC). In order to
 22 transfer the image to the PC, the user would transfer the image off-line to the PC,
 use a cable such as a universal serial bus (USB) or a memory stick and plug the
 cable into the PC. The user would then manually upload the image onto a website
 which takes time and may be inconvenient for the user.

23 *See, e.g.*, '794/1:38-47. Another inferior method would be to have the capture device simply
 24 forward data to a mobile device as captured. This example is inferior including because,

25 _____
 26 ² Short Message Service (SMS) is a text messaging service component of most telephone, World Wide Web,
 and mobile device systems. It uses standardized communication protocols to enable mobile devices to
 exchange short text messages. *See* <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SMS>.

27 ³ Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) is a standard way to send messages that include multimedia content
 28 to and from a mobile phone over a cellular network. *See*
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multimedia_Messaging_Service.

⁴ *See* <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Email#Types>.

1 without a paired connection, there is no assurance that the mobile device is capable (*e.g.*, on
2 and sufficiently near) of receiving the data. Such constant and inefficient broadcasting would
3 quickly drain the battery of the capture device. Another inferior method for posting data from
4 a capture device onto the Internet is to have a capture device with built in mobile wireless
5 Internet, for example cellular, capability. As noted in the specification, “[t]he digital data
6 capture device is physically separated from the BT enabled mobile device.” *See, e.g.*, ‘794/2:2-
7 3. This example is inferior including because, especially at the time of the patent priority date
8 in 2007 but also today, it makes the combined apparatus bulky, expensive in terms of hardware,
9 and expensive in terms of requiring a user to purchase an extra and/or separate cellular service
10 for the data capture device.

11 14. Prior art methods for posting data from a data capture device onto the Internet were
12 inferior. Back at the time of invention, capture devices such as cameras had only rudimentary
13 wireless capabilities as exemplified by the U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/015,796 to
14 Kennedy (“Kennedy”) and ancillary prior art addressed extensively during prosecution of
15 certain Patents-in-Suit and related patents. As noted by the inventors during prosecution of the
16 ‘794 patent, in every day scenarios, the computer attaches a hypertext transfer protocol
17 (HTTP)_header and user ID to the data generated by the computer (“native data”), and the
18 existing home wireless routers did not apply website user information or apply HTTP to the
19 data sent over the wireless network from the computer to the home wireless router. However,
20 the claimed invention improves and builds on this, including because the claimed mobile
21 device is configured to send a HTTP request comprising the website user information and the
22 non-native data, such that the mobile device is acting as more than just a normal home wireless
23 router. According to the inventors, the wireless pairing established is therefore very important
24 for the transfer of non-native data that is acquired by a physically separate device and then
25 transferred to the mobile device over the trusted paired wireless connection.

26 15. Including at the time of the invention, data capture devices posed a number of specific
27 challenges associated with publishing data to a web service from a capture device using a
28 mobile device. The process to transfer new data from a data capture device to a web service

1 was cumbersome and time consuming for the user. Further, data capture devices typically
2 house small batteries, so users would be obligated to constantly charge batteries. The
3 technology embodied in the Patents-in-Suit solved these, and other, problems. The claimed
4 inventions comprise superior ways to achieve the ends of uploading data to the Internet via a
5 mobile device. The claimed processes of the asserted claims seamlessly transfer data from a
6 data capture device to a web service with little to no user intervention using a mobile device
7 with a wireless internet connection as the center piece doing most of the heavy lifting. Making
8 changes to the data in transit, at the mobile device, and not at the data capture device where
9 the data originated from, results in a much-improved user experience making the process much
10 easier on the user and improving data capture device battery life. The method of receiving the
11 data at the mobile device, attaching user identifying information and HTTP methods to the
12 data relieves the data capture device or web service of performing those steps which results in
13 a seamless and improved user experience over the previous methods.

14 16. Among other things, the inventors of the Patents-in-Suit wanted to post onto the Internet
15 content captured while a capture device, such a camera, was capturing data, for example
16 photographs, in “real time” situations, for example, when the capture device was in remote
17 areas, adverse conditions or on the move. As noted in the specification, “[a] user may need to
18 capture and publish data and multimedia content on the Internet in real time.” *See, e.g.*,
19 ‘794/1:37-38. As further noted in the specification, “there is a need for a method and system
20 to utilize a digital data capture device in conjunction with a mobile device for automatically
21 detecting capture of data and multimedia content, transferring the captured data and
22 multimedia content to the mobile device, and publishing the data and multimedia content on
23 one or more websites automatically or with minimal user intervention.” *See, e.g.*, ‘794/1:48-
24 54. But existing technology offered only unacceptably inferior solutions of posting to the
25 Internet content captured from a capture device in “real time” situations.

26 17. The claims of the Patents-in-Suit are directed to specific improvements in computer and
27 networking functionality and capabilities. Among other things, the claimed inventions
28 improve functionality of data capture devices and methods, systems and networks comprising

1 those devices. Including as noted in the Patents-in-Suit, the claimed technologies comprise
2 innovative systems and processes which use less power than those existing at the time, and
3 allow for multiple efficiencies resulting in a better user experience and reduced costs. The
4 Patents-in-Suit thus provided concrete applications that improved computer and networking
5 technology, including for publishing directly to a web service from a data capture device.

6 18. Additionally, the inventions of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit comprise
7 improvements in improving battery life on the data capture device, including that they reduce
8 the processing done by the device and thus reduce battery consumption. Particularly applicable
9 to wireless data capture devices small in size, such as petite fitness tracking devices, battery
10 life plays a major role in the user experience. The Patents-in-Suit allow for a data capture
11 device to be in a low power state to conserve battery life, and send an event notification to the
12 mobile device to initiate a higher power consumption state during a brief communication
13 period, and then revert back to the lower power consumption state. This saves a tremendous
14 amount of power, including because the application on the mobile device, or the Bluetooth
15 client, is charged with the majority of listening, rather than the data capture device, or the
16 Bluetooth server, which results in much better battery life for the data capture device, including
17 since there is “[a] file event listener *in the client application 203* [which] listens for the signal
18 from the digital data capture device 201. ‘794 at 4:66-5:1 (emphasis added). Similarly, the
19 Patents-in-Suit allow for a data capture device to be in a low power state to conserve battery
20 life because in certain claimed embodiment the application on the mobile device with the
21 internet connection, is charged with polling the data capture device for new data to transfer.

22 19. In sum, including as noted above, the claimed technologies of the Patents-in-Suit
23 improved, *inter alia*, prior computer and networking technology, including in connection with:

- 24 a. Improving and increasing efficiencies of the claimed inventions, including over
25 inferior alternative means for achieving the same or similar ends of uploading
26 content, including by reducing or eliminating the cumbersome steps of previous
27 methods of data transfer to the Internet and providing the ability to upload or
28 transfer the captured data at a time subsequent to the capture of the data where a
connection to the Internet may not be available to the data capture device. *See*,
e.g., ‘794/1:37-54 & 4:55-5:3.
- b. Leveraging the capabilities of mobile devices, including their Internet connection

1 capabilities (through use of custom hardware and/or software), including by
2 shifting the transfer of data from the data capture device to the mobile device, to
3 greatly enhance the functionality of Internet incapable data capture devices,
4 including because the mobile device, with its larger storage, may then store the
5 captured data for upload or transfer to the web service via the Internet at a later
6 time. *See, e.g.*, ‘794/2:26-34, 5:18-56, 6:2-46, 9:37-60, & 10:10-61.

- 7
- 8 c. Uploading captured data from data capture devices to the Internet while avoiding
9 the cost, memory usage, complexity, hardware (*e.g.*, cellular antenna), physical
10 size, and battery consumption of an Internet accessible mobile device, including
11 without the data capture device being capable of wireless Internet connections or
12 being capable of communicating in Internet accessible protocols such as HTTP.
13 *See, e.g.*, ‘794/2:46-54, 5:4-11, 5:55-6:8, 7:29-33, 7:62-67, 8:23-9:26.
- 14 d. Minimizing power usage by the data capture device, including to minimize the
15 need to change batteries or recharge the device. *See, e.g.*, ‘794 at 4:66-5:1.
- 16 e. Using event notification, polling and request/return communication protocols
17 over an already paired connection to have the benefits from an efficient or
18 automated upload system while conserving resources such as batteries by
19 avoiding the data capture device broadcasting captured data when an intermediate
20 mobile device is unavailable (*e.g.*, off or out of Bluetooth range) or incapable of
21 receiving captured data for uploading to the Internet. *See, e.g.*, ‘794/4:55-5:3 &
22 5:12-17.
- 23 f. Applying HTTP in transit and on an intermediary device. *See, e.g.*, ‘794/9:61-
24 10:9.

25 20. The claimed inventions also provide computer and network efficiency at least because
26 they allow data capture devices to have the useful and improved claimed sharing functionality
27 without the need to include expensive and battery consuming electronics, cellular antenna,
28 paying for separate cellular service, and extra software and data processing required on the
data capture device. The inventors did more than simply apply current technology to an
existing problem. Their invention, as embodied in the asserted claims, was a significant
advancement in mobile data capture and sharing technology. The inventions covered by the
asserted claims comprise utilization of the mobile Internet to create a novel architecture
enabling data captured by non-Internet enabled capture devices to quickly, easily and
automatically be uploaded to the Internet, and more specifically to what is referred to today as
“the cloud” and “social media.” Additionally, the claimed inventions also improve pairing
identification, different ways to transfer of new-data between paired devices (event
notification, polling, mobile initiated request response), and use of HTTP and adding user
information to the wirelessly received new-data on the intermediary mobile device, when the

1 new-data is in transit to the website.

2 21. These noted improvements over the prior art represent meaningful limitations and/or
3 inventive concepts based upon the state of the art over a decade ago. Further, including in view
4 of these specific improvements, the inventions of the asserted claims, when such claims are
5 viewed as a whole and in ordered combination, are not routine, well-understood, conventional,
6 generic, existing, commonly used, well known, previously known, typical, and the like over a
7 decade ago, including because, until inventions of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit,
8 the claimed inventions were not existing or even considered in the field.

9 22. The asserted claims, including as a whole and where applicable in ordered combination,
10 comprise, *inter alia*, a non-conventional and non-generic arrangement of communications
11 between a data capture device and a Bluetooth enabled mobile device that is a technical
12 improvement to the communications between the devices and web services, including those
13 improvements noted above.

14 23. The claimed inventions are necessarily rooted in computer technology, *i.e.*, portable
15 monitoring device technology, and comprise improvement over prior technologies in order to
16 overcome the problems, including those noted above, specifically arising in the realm of
17 computer networks. The claimed solutions amount to an inventive concept for resolving the
18 particular problems and inefficiencies noted above, including in connection publishing data
19 from a data capture device to the Internet described.

20 **COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,738,794**

21 24. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein the allegations in the above paragraphs.

22 25. United States Patent No. 8,738,794 Patent was duly and legally issued by the USPTO
23 on May 27, 2014 after full and fair examination. *See* Exhibit A.

24 26. Claims of the '794 Patent comprise, in general, methods comprising acquiring new data
25 in a data capture device after establishing a paired connection with a mobile device;
26 determining the existence of new data by the capture device; transferring the new data from
27 the capture device to the mobile device automatically over the paired connection; applying a
28 user identifier uniquely identifying a particular user to the new data; transferring the new data

1 along with the user identifier to a web service; and making available, at the web service, the
2 new data received from the mobile device over the internet, wherein the new data corresponds
3 to the user identifier.

4 27.UA has infringed, and is now infringing, the '794 patent, including at least claims 1, 2,
5 3, 4, 7, and 9, in this judicial district, the State of California, and elsewhere, in violation of 35
6 U.S.C. § 271 through actions comprising the practicing, without authority from Plaintiff,
7 methods for acquiring and transferring data from UA Bluetooth enabled data capture devices
8 to UA web services via Bluetooth enabled mobile devices. On information and belief, UA at
9 least practices the claimed methods via its fitness tracking devices, including smart watches,
10 wearables, fitness bands, and other data capture devices, designed to monitor a user's
11 biological and/or fitness information and metrics, *e.g.*, heart rate and physical activity such as
12 walking and/or running, as specified herein, comprising Bluetooth functionality, with such
13 products comprising the Under Armour HealthBox, Under Armour Band, Under Armour
14 Armour39, Under Armour MapMyRun Bluetooth HR Monitor, Under Armour Scale, Under
15 Armour Heart Rate, UA Speedform Velociti RE, UA Speedform Gemini 3 RE, UA Speedform
16 Europa RE, UA Sport Wireless Heart Rate Headphones, UA Speedform Gemini 2, and UA
17 HOVR, including Model Nos. 1303999 and UAJBLHRMB, including when used in
18 conjunction with UA mobile applications (including iOS and Android versions thereof)
19 comprising UA Record and/or MapMyRun, including when used in conjunction with web
20 services comprising record.underarmour.com.

21 28.Without limitation, the accused methods, comprising UA devices and software which
22 practice said methods, support Bluetooth protocols, including Bluetooth 4.0, which enables
23 connection between such devices and other Bluetooth-enabled mobile devices, such as a cell
24 phone, tablet, laptop, or other mobile device, and which permits the user to acquire and transfer
25 data from UA devices to the UA web services via a Bluetooth enabled mobile device. The
26 accused UA methods comprise acquiring and determining the existence of new tracking data,
27 such as heart rate, steps, etc., in the UA device after establishing a paired connection with the
28 mobile device, and transferring the new data from the UA device to the mobile device

1 automatically over the paired connection. The accused UA methods further comprise the UA
2 applications receiving the new data from the UA device and transferring the new data, along
3 with the account information identifying the user, and tied to the new data, to the UA web
4 service, such that the UA web service receives, and makes available, the new data received
5 over the Internet. Upon information and belief, at least through UA's hardware, software, and
6 efforts to test, demonstrate, and otherwise use UA devices, UA has practiced the accused UA
7 methods via at least the use of UA devices, comprising at least the foregoing steps.

8 29. Additionally, or in the alternative, UA has infringed, and now infringing, the '794 Patent
9 in this judicial district, the State of California, and elsewhere, jointly with end users and/or
10 customers (collectively, "users"), wherein all of the foregoing steps are performed by UA
11 and/or users. Without limitation, UA provides software modules for UA Bluetooth enabled
12 capture devices and UA applications comprising software modules, and UA further receives
13 new data at its web services and makes said new data available via its web services. Further,
14 without limitation, user mobile devices perform at least the remaining steps in the claimed
15 methods under the direction or control of UA, including UA software and hardware, including
16 because user mobile devices perform said steps in order to receive the benefits of UA's web
17 services and/or application, and/or because UA conditions use of its web services and/or
18 applications upon performance of the remaining method steps.

19 30. UA has had notice of its infringement of the '794 patent pursuant to notifications from
20 Plaintiff comprising letters mailed on June 15, 2017 and August 31, 2017.

21 31. To the extent UA continues, and has continued, its infringing activities noted above in
22 an infringing manner post-notice of the '794 patent, such infringement is necessarily willful
23 and deliberate. Plaintiff believes and contends that UA's continuance of its clear and
24 inexcusable infringement of the '794 patent post notice is willful, wanton, malicious, bad-
25 faith, deliberate, and/or consciously wrongful.

26 32. Including on account of the foregoing, Plaintiff contends such activities by UA qualify
27 this as an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement, entitling Plaintiff to
28 enhanced damages. Including based on the foregoing, Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests an

1 award of enhanced damages, including treble damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

2 33. Each of UA's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from
3 Plaintiff.

4 **COUNT II – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,892,752**

5 34. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein the allegations in the above paragraphs.

6 35. U.S. Patent No. 8,892,752 was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on November 18,
7 2014 after full and fair examination. *See* Exhibit B.

8 36. Claims of the '752 Patent comprise, generally, methods comprising establishing a
9 secure paired Bluetooth connection between a Bluetooth enabled data capture device and a
10 Bluetooth enabled mobile device using an encryption key; acquiring new data in the capture
11 device; receiving a message from the mobile device over the paired connection to enable event
12 notification corresponding to new data on the capture device; determining existence of the new
13 data for transfer; sending an event notification to the mobile device, corresponding to existence
14 of the new data, over the paired connection, wherein the mobile device is configured to listen
15 for the event notification; and transferring the encrypted data from the data capture device to
16 the mobile device, over the paired connection, wherein the mobile device sends the obtained
17 new data with an attached user identifier, a hypertext transfer protocol method, and a
18 destination web address to a remote internet server.

19 37. UA has infringed, and is now infringing, the '752 patent, including at least claims 1, 2,
20 4, 5, 12, 13, and 14, in this judicial district, the State of California, and elsewhere, in violation
21 of 35 U.S.C. § 271 through actions comprising the practicing, without authority from Plaintiff,
22 methods for transferring data from UA Bluetooth enabled data capture device to remote UA
23 internet servers via Bluetooth enabled mobile devices. On information and belief, UA
24 practices, and/or induces others to practice, the claimed methods via its fitness tracking
25 devices, including smart watches, wearables, fitness bands, and other data capture devices,
26 designed to monitor a user's biological and/or fitness information and metrics, *e.g.*, heart rate
27 and physical activity such as walking and/or running, as specified herein, comprising
28 Bluetooth functionality, with such products comprising the Under Armour HealthBox, Under

1 Armour Band, Under Armour Armour39, Under Armour MapMyRun Bluetooth HR Monitor,
2 Under Armour Scale, Under Armour Heart Rate, UA Speedform Velociti RE, UA Speedform
3 Gemini 3 RE, UA Speedform Europa RE, UA Sport Wireless Heart Rate Headphones, UA
4 Speedform Gemini 2, and UA HOVR, including Model Nos. 1303999 and UAJBLHRMB,
5 including when used in conjunction with UA mobile applications (including iOS and Android
6 versions thereof) comprising UA Record and/or MapMyRun, including when used in
7 conjunction with web services comprising record.underarmour.com.

8 38. Without limitation, the accused methods comprising UA devices and software which
9 practice said methods support Bluetooth protocols, including Bluetooth 4.0, which enables
10 connection between these devices and other Bluetooth-enabled devices, such as a cell phone,
11 laptop, tablet, or other mobile device, which permits the user to establish a secure connection
12 between UA devices and a mobile device and acquire and transfer data from the UA devices
13 to the UA web services via the mobile device. The accused UA methods comprise establishing
14 a secure paired Bluetooth connection between the UA device and the mobile device using a
15 Bluetooth encryption key. Once paired, new data is acquired on the UA device, the UA device
16 receives a message from the mobile device over the paired connection to enable event
17 notifications which correspond to new data on the UA device, the UA device determines the
18 existence of the new data for transfer, and the UA device sends an event notification to the
19 mobile device over the paired connection, corresponding to existence of new data for transfer,
20 wherein the mobile device is configured to listen for the event notification. The encrypted data
21 is transferred from the UA device to the mobile device over the paired connection, wherein
22 the mobile device sends the obtained new data along with the account information, a hypertext
23 transfer protocol operation, and a destination web address to the UA web server. Upon
24 information and belief, at least through UA's hardware, software, and efforts to test,
25 demonstrate, and otherwise use UA devices, UA has practiced the accused UA methods via at
26 least the use of UA devices, comprising at least the foregoing steps.

27 39. UA has had notice of its infringement of the '752 patent pursuant to notifications from
28 Plaintiff comprising letters mailed on June 15, 2017 and August 31, 2017.

1 40. Additionally, or in the alternative, UA has induced, and continues to induce,
2 infringement of the '752 Patent in this judicial district, the State of California, and elsewhere,
3 by actively inducing direct infringement of the '752 Patent, including by knowingly and
4 actively aiding or abetting infringement by users, by and through at least instructing and
5 encouraging the use of the UA products and software noted above. Such aiding and abetting
6 comprises providing devices, software, web servers, and/or instructions regarding the use
7 and/or operation of the UA devices, applications, and web servers in an infringing manner.
8 Further, the direct infringement of users that occurs in connection with UA's applications
9 and/or web services occurs under the direction or control of UA, including UA software and
10 hardware, including because user devices perform said steps in order to receive the benefits of
11 UA's web services and/or mobile application, and/or because UA conditions use of its web
12 services and/or mobile applications upon performance of the remaining method steps. Such
13 induced infringement has occurred since UA became aware of the '752 Patent, at a minimum,
14 as noted above, and the knowledge and awareness that such actions by users comprise
15 infringement of the '752.

16 41. To the extent UA continues, and has continued, its infringing activities noted above in
17 an infringing manner post-notice of the '752 patent, such infringement is necessarily willful
18 and deliberate. Plaintiff believes and contends that UA's continuance of its clear and
19 inexcusable infringement of the '752 patent post notice is willful, wanton, malicious, bad-
20 faith, deliberate, and/or consciously wrongful.

21 42. Including on account of the foregoing, Plaintiff contends such activities by UA qualify
22 this as an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement, entitling Plaintiff to
23 enhanced damages. Including based on the foregoing, Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests an
24 award of enhanced damages, including treble damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

25 43. Each of UA's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from
26 Plaintiff.

27 **COUNT III – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,749,847**

28 44. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein the allegations in the above paragraphs.

1 45.U.S. Patent No. 9,749,847 was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on August 29,
2 2017 after full and fair examination. *See* Exhibit C.

3 46.Claims of the '847 Patent comprise, generally, systems comprising a capture device
4 comprising: a communication device configured to establish a secure paired connection with
5 a cellular phone, a processor configured to acquire new-datsa using a data capture circuitry
6 after the paired connection is established, wherein said processor is configured to store the
7 acquired new-data in a coupled memory device and send an event notification along with the
8 acquired new-data to the cellular phone over the paired connection; and a mobile application
9 comprising a graphical user interface in the cellular phone configured to listen for and receive
10 the event notification, receive the acquired new-data over the established paired connection,
11 store the new-data in a memory device of the cellular phone before transfer to a website, and
12 use HTTP to transfer the new-data, along with user information, to the website over a cellular
13 data network.

14 47.UA has infringed, and is now infringing, the '847 patent, including at least claims 1, 2,
15 and 3, in this judicial district, the State of California, and elsewhere, in violation of 35 U.S.C.
16 § 271 through actions comprising the making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling, without
17 authority from Plaintiff, systems for transferring data from UA Bluetooth enabled data capture
18 devices to UA websites via Bluetooth enabled cellular phones. On information and belief, UA
19 makes, uses, offers for sale, and/or sells, and/or induces others to use, the claimed systems,
20 including fitness tracking devices, including smart watches, wearables, fitness bands, and other
21 data capture devices, designed to monitor a user's biological and/or fitness information and
22 metrics, *e.g.*, heart rate and physical activity such as walking and/or running, as specified
23 herein, comprising Bluetooth functionality, with such products comprising the Under Armour
24 HealthBox, Under Armour Band, Under Armour Armour39, Under Armour MapMyRun
25 Bluetooth HR Monitor, Under Armour Scale, Under Armour Heart Rate, UA Speedform
26 Velociti RE, UA Speedform Gemini 3 RE, UA Speedform Europa RE, UA Sport Wireless
27 Heart Rate Headphones, UA Speedform Gemini 2, and UA HOVR, including Model Nos.
28 1303999 and UAJBLHRMB, including when used in conjunction with UA mobile

1 applications (including iOS and Android versions thereof) comprising UA Record and/or
2 MapMyRun.

3 48. Without limitation, the accused UA devices support Bluetooth protocols, including
4 Bluetooth 4.0, which enables connection between such devices and other Bluetooth-enabled
5 devices, such as a cellular phone, which permits the user to establish a secure connection
6 between the UA devices and a cellular phone and acquire and transfer data from the UA
7 devices to the UA web services via the cellular phone. These UA devices comprise capture
8 devices, comprising a communication device within the UA devices configured to establish a
9 secure paired connection with a cellular phone, a processor configured to acquire new-data on
10 the UA devices, *e.g.*, heart rate or step tracking data, using data capture circuitry within the
11 UA devices after the paired connection is established. The processor within the UA devices is
12 coupled to a memory device within said devices, wherein said processor is configured to store
13 the acquired new-data in the memory device and send an event notification, along with the
14 acquired new-data, to the authenticated and paired cellular phone over the established paired
15 connection. The UA application comprises a graphical user interface for operation on the
16 cellular phone, and the UA application is configured to listen for and receive the event
17 notification from the UA devices, receive the acquired new-data over the established paired
18 connection from the UA devices, store the new-data in a memory device of the cellular phone
19 before transfer to the UA websites, and use HTTP to transfer the new-data, along with the
20 account information, to the UA websites over a cellular data network servicing the cellular
21 phone. In addition, and in the alternative, to UA's making, offering for sale, and/or selling of
22 the UA devices and applications, upon information and belief, at least through UA's hardware,
23 software, and efforts to test, demonstrate, and otherwise use UA devices, UA has used the
24 claimed systems via at least the use of the UA devices as noted above.

25 49. UA has had notice of its infringement of the '847 patent pursuant to notification from
26 Plaintiff comprising a letter mailed on August 31, 2017.

27 50. Additionally, or in the alternative, UA has induced, and continues to induce,
28 infringement of the '847 Patent in this judicial district, the State of California, and elsewhere,

1 by intentionally inducing direct infringement of the '847 Patent, including by knowingly and
2 actively aiding or abetting infringement by users, by and through at least instructing and
3 encouraging the use of the UA products and software noted above. Such aiding and abetting
4 comprises providing devices, hardware, software, websites, and/or instructions, including
5 providing the accused UA devices and applications to users who, in turn, use the claimed
6 systems, including as noted above. Further, the direct infringement by users of the claimed
7 systems provides the user with a direct benefit from the use of UA devices and applications.
8 Such induced infringement has occurred since UA became aware of the '847 Patent, at a
9 minimum, as noted above, and the knowledge and awareness that such actions and use by users
10 comprise infringement of the '847.

11 51.To the extent UA continues, and has continued, its infringing activities noted above in
12 an infringing manner post-notice of the '847 patent, such infringement is necessarily willful
13 and deliberate. Plaintiff believes and contends that UA's continuance of its clear and
14 inexcusable infringement of the '847 patent post notice is willful, wanton, malicious, bad-
15 faith, deliberate, and/or consciously wrongful.

16 52.Including on account of the foregoing, Plaintiff contends such activities by UA qualify
17 this as an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement, entitling Plaintiff to
18 enhanced damages. Including based on the foregoing, Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests an
19 award of enhanced damages, including treble damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

20 53.Each of UA's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from
21 Plaintiff.

22 **DAMAGES**

23 54.By way of its infringing activities, UA has caused, and continues to cause, Plaintiff to
24 suffer damages, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover from UA the damages sustained by Plaintiff
25 as a result of UA's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot
26 be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under
27 35 U.S.C. § 284.

28 55.UA's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the Patents-in-Suit will continue to

1 damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law,
2 unless enjoined by this Court.

3 56. Plaintiff also requests that the Court make a finding that this is an exceptional case
4 entitling Plaintiff to recover their attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

5 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in
7 favor of Plaintiff and against UA, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- 8 A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit has been directly and/or
9 indirectly infringed by UA;
- 10 B. An award to Plaintiff of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for UA's past
11 infringement, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and any
12 continuing or future infringement through the date such judgment is entered, including
13 interest, costs, expenses, and an accounting of all infringing acts including, but not
14 limited to, those acts not presented at trial;
- 15 C. A grant of preliminary and permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining
16 UA and all persons, including its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates,
17 employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active
18 concert or participation therewith, from making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the
19 United States or importing into the United States any methods, systems, or computer
20 readable media that directly or indirectly infringe any claim of the Patents-in-Suit, or
21 any methods, systems, or computer readable media that are colorably different;
- 22 D. That this Court declare that UA's infringement has been, and continues to be, willful,
23 including that UA acted to infringe the Patents-in-Suit despite an objectively high
24 likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of a valid patent and, accordingly,
25 award enhanced damages, including treble damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 26 E. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff reasonable
27 attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- 28 F. A judgment and order requiring UA to pay Plaintiff their damages, costs, expenses, fees,

1 and prejudice and post-judgment interest for UA's infringement of the Patents-in-
2 Suit as provided under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and/or 285; and
3 G. Any and all further relief for which Plaintiff may show itself justly entitled that this
4 Court deems just and proper.

5 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

6 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby respectfully
7 requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

8
9 Dated: March 2, 2018

**COLLINS EDMONDS
SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC**

10
11 By: /s/ John J. Edmonds

12 JOHN J. EDMONDS
13 State Bar No. 274200

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,
CELLSPIN SOFT INC.*

15
16 Of counsel:

17 Stephen F. Schlather (*pro hac vice*)
18 ssschlather@ip-lit.com
19 Shea N. Palavan (*pro hac vice* filed)
20 spalavan@ip-lit.com
21 Brandon G. Moore (*pro hac vice*)
22 bmoore@ip-lit.com
23 **COLLINS, EDMONDS
SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC**
24 1616 South Voss Road, Suite 125
25 Houston, Texas 77057
26 Telephone: (713) 364-5291
27 Facsimile: (832) 415-2535
28