

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SEMCON IP INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.
	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
v.	)	
	)	
LVMH MOËT HENNESSY LOUIS VUITTON SE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Semcon IP Inc. (“Semcon” or “Plaintiff”), for its Complaint against Defendant LVMH Moët Hennessy Louis Vuitton SE (“Luis Vuitton” or “Defendant”) alleges as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Semcon is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 100 W. Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant LVMH Moët Hennessy Louis Vuitton SE is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of France, with its principal place of business located at 22, avenue Montaigne, 75008 Paris, France , and may be served pursuant to the provisions of the Hague Convention. Luis Vuitton is a leading manufacturer and seller of luxury fashion in the world and in the United States. Upon information and belief, Luis Vuitton does business in Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas, directly or through intermediaries, including at a sales site at Louis Vuitton Plano Neiman Marcus, 2201 Dallas Parkway, Plano, TX 75093. On information and belief, Louis Vuitton employs individuals in this Judicial District

involved in the sales, distribution and marketing of its products including those accused of infringement below.

### **JURISDICTION**

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.* This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant regularly conducts business and has committed acts of patent infringement and/or has induced acts of patent infringement by others in this Judicial District and/or has contributed to patent infringement by others in this Judicial District, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States. For example, Defendant has placed and continues to place infringing products into the stream of commerce via an established distribution channel with the knowledge and/or understanding that such products are being and will continue to be sold in this Judicial District and the State of Texas.

5. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(b) because, among other things, Defendant is a defendant not resident in the United States, and thus may be sued in any Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3). Additionally, Defendant maintains a regular and established place of business in this Judicial District at 2201 Dallas Parkway, Plano, TX, where it transacts business and commits the acts of infringement alleged herein.

6. Defendant is subject to this Court's jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute due at least to its substantial business in this State and Judicial District, including (a) at least part of its past infringing activities, (b) regularly doing or soliciting business

in Texas, and/or (c) engaging in persistent conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to customers in Texas.

**PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

7. On August 29, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,100,061 (the “’061 Patent”) entitled “Adaptive Power Control.” A true and correct copy of the ’061 Patent is available at: <http://pdfpiw.uspto.gov/.piw?Docid=7100061&idkey=NONE&homeurl=http%3A%252F%252Fpatft.uspto.gov%252Fnethtml%252FPTO%252Fpatimg.htm>.

8. On September 29, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,596,708 (the “’708 Patent”) entitled “Adaptive Power Control Integration System.” A true and correct copy of the ’708 Patent is available at: <http://pdfpiw.uspto.gov/.piw?Docid=7596708&idkey=NONE&homeurl=http%3A%252F%252Fpatft.uspto.gov%252Fnethtml%252FPTO%252Fpatimg.htm>.

9. On October 22, 2013, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,566,627 (the “’627 Patent”) entitled “Adaptive Power Control.” A true and correct copy of the ’627 Patent is available at: <http://pdfpiw.uspto.gov/.piw?Docid=8566627&idkey=NONE&homeurl=http%3A%252F%252Fpatft.uspto.gov%252Fnethtml%252FPTO%252Fpatimg.htm>.

10. On August 12, 2014, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,806,247 (the “’247 Patent”) entitled “Adaptive Power Control.” A true and correct copy of the ’247 Patent is available at: <http://pdfpiw.uspto.gov/.piw?Docid=8806247&idkey=NONE&homeurl=http%3A%252F%252Fpatft.uspto.gov%252Fnethtml%252FPTO%252Fpatimg.htm>.

11. Semcon is the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title and interest in the '061 Patent, '708 Patent, '627 Patent and '247 Patent (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"), and holds the exclusive right to take all actions necessary to enforce its rights to the Patents-in-Suit, including the filing of this patent infringement lawsuit. Semcon also has the right to recover all damages for past, present, and future infringement of the Patents-in-Suit and to seek injunctive relief as appropriate under the law.

12. Semcon has at all times complied with the marking provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287 with respect to the Patents-in-Suit. On information and belief, prior assignees and licensees have also complied with the marking provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

13. The Patents-in-Suit generally cover apparatuses and methods for controlling the power used by a computer, and specifically, the adjustment of the clock frequency and voltage supply to a processor and other components to conserve processor power and extend battery life.

14. Non-party Qualcomm Inc. ("Qualcomm") sells System-on-a-Chips ("SoCs") and associated software, including the Snapdragon line of SoCs, which can perform Dynamic Clock and Voltage Scaling ("DCVS")<sup>1</sup>. According to Qualcomm, DCVS "is a technique used to adjust the frequency and voltage of the power equation to deliver the needed performance at the ideal power level."<sup>2</sup> Additionally, the "CPU cores of Snapdragon processors lie on separate voltage and frequency planes. This allows each CPU core to hit independent frequencies and voltages, delivering scalable performance and power levels."<sup>3</sup>

15. Luis Vuitton has infringed and is continuing to infringe the Patents-in-Suit by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing, and by actively inducing others to

---

<sup>1</sup> DCVS may alternately be referred to as Dynamic Frequency and Voltage Scaling ("DVFS").

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.qualcomm.com/news/onq/2013/10/25/power-vs-performance-management-cpu>

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

make, use, sell, offer to sell and/or importing, products that utilize SoCs and associated software that perform DCVS or DVFS for power management, including Qualcomm Snapdragon SoCs including at least the Snapdragon 2100 SoC. Such products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch. Additionally, these Louis Vuitton products include software that utilizes DCVS and/or DVFS for power management.

**COUNT I**  
**(Infringement of the '061 Patent)**

16. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

17. Semcon has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendant to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '061 Patent.

18. Defendant has and continues to directly infringe the '061 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '061 Patent. Such products include smartwatches utilizing SoCs and associated software that perform DCVS and/or DVFS for power management, including at least the Qualcomm Snapdragon 2100 SoC. Such products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch. These products infringe at least claim 56 of the '061 Patent.

19. Defendant has and continues to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '061 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, including Luis Vuitton customers and end-users, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology, such as Qualcomm Snapdragon SoCs and associated software that use

DCVS and/or DVFS for power management. Upon information and belief, these products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch.

20. Defendant, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '061 Patent at least as of the date of this Complaint, knowingly and intentionally induced, and continues to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '061 Patent by providing these products to end users for use in an infringing manner.

21. Defendant induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '061 Patent, but while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

22. Semcon has suffered damages as a result of Defendant's direct and indirect infringement of the '061 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

23. Semcon has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's infringement of the '061 Patent, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendant's infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT II**  
**(Infringement of the '708 Patent)**

24. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

25. Semcon has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendant to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '708 Patent.

26. Defendant has and continues to directly infringe the '708 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '708 Patent. Such products

include smartwatches utilizing SoCs and associated software that perform DCVS and/or DVFS for power management, including at least the Qualcomm Snapdragon 2100 SoC. Such Luis Vuitton products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch. These products infringe at least claim 7 of the '708 Patent.

27. Defendant has and continues to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '708 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, including Luis Vuitton customers and end-users to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology, such as Qualcomm Snapdragon SoCs and associated software that use DCVS and/or DVFS for power management. Upon information and belief, these products include at least Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch.

28. Defendant, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '708 Patent at least as of the date of this Complaint, knowingly and intentionally induced, and continues to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '708 Patent by supplying these products to end users for use in an infringing manner.

29. Defendant induces infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '708 Patent, but while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

30. Semcon has suffered damages as a result of Defendant's direct and indirect infringement of the '708 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

31. Semcon has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's infringement of the '708 Patent, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendant's infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT III**  
**(Infringement of the '627 Patent)**

32. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

33. Semcon has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendant to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '627 Patent.

34. Defendant has and continues to directly infringe the '627 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '627 Patent. Such products include smartwatches utilizing SoCs and associated software that perform DCVS and/or DVFS for power management, including at least the Qualcomm Snapdragon 2100 SoC. Such products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch. These products infringe at least claim 1 of the '627 Patent.

35. Defendant has and continues to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '627 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, including Luis Vuitton customers and end-users to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology, such as Qualcomm Snapdragon SoCs that use DCVS for power management. Upon information and belief, these products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch.



36. Defendant, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '627 Patent at least as of the date of this Complaint, knowingly and intentionally induced, and continues to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '627 Patent by providing these products to end users for use in an infringing manner.

37. Defendant induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '627 Patent, but while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

38. Semcon has suffered damages as a result of Defendant's direct and indirect infringement of the '627 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

39. Semcon has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's infringement of the '627 Patent, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendant's infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT IV**  
**(Infringement of the '247 Patent)**

40. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

41. Semcon has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendant to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '247 Patent.

42. Defendant has and continues to directly infringe the '247 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '247 Patent. Such products include smartwatches utilizing SoCs and associated software that perform DCVS and/or DVFS for power management, including at least the Qualcomm Snapdragon 2100 SoC. Such products

include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch. These products infringe at least claim 17 of the '247 Patent.

43. Defendant has and continues to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '247 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, including Luis Vuitton customers and end-users to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology, such as Qualcomm Snapdragon SoCs and associated software that use DCVS and/or DVFS for power management. Upon information and belief, these products include at least the Louis Vuitton Tambour Horizon smartwatch.

44. Defendant, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '247 Patent at least as of the date of this Complaint, knowingly and intentionally induced, and continues to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '247 Patent by providing these products to end users for use in an infringing manner.

45. Defendant induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '247 Patent, but while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

46. Semcon has suffered damages as a result of Defendant's direct and indirect infringement of the '247 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

47. Semcon has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's infringement of the '247 Patent, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendant's infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all issues so triable.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Semcon prays for relief against Defendant as follows:

- a. Entry of judgment declaring that Defendant has directly and/or indirectly infringed one or more claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit;
- b. An order pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283 permanently enjoining Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with it, from further acts of infringement of the Patents-in-Suit;
- c. An order awarding damages sufficient to compensate Semcon for Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs;
- d. Entry of judgment declaring that this case is exceptional and awarding Semcon its costs and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- e. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 9, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alfred R. Fabricant

Alfred R. Fabricant

NY Bar No. 2219392

Email: afabricant@brownrudnick.com

Peter Lambrianakos

NY Bar No. 2894392

Email: plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com

Vincent J. Rubino, III

NY Bar No. 4557435

Email: vrubino@brownrudnick.com

Shahar Harel

NY Bar No. 4573192

Email: sharel@brownrudnick.com

**BROWN RUDNICK LLP**

7 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 209-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 209-4801

Justin Kurt Truelove  
Texas Bar No. 24013653  
Email: kurt@truelovelawfirm.com  
**TRUELOVE LAW FIRM, PLLC**  
100 West Houston  
Marshall, Texas 75670  
Telephone: (903) 938-8321  
Facsimile: (903) 215-8510

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF,  
SEMCON IP INC.**