

1 STEVEN A. NIELSEN, CALIFORNIA STATE BAR NO. 133864  
2 (STEVE@NIELSENPATENTS.COM)  
3 100 LARKSPUR LANDING CIRCLE, SUITE 216  
4 LARKSPUR, CA 94939-1743  
5 TELEPHONE:(415) 272-8210

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 MAGNACROSS LLC, a Texas limited liability corporation

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 **MAGNACROSS LLC,**  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 **EDIMAX COMPUTER COMPANY,**  
15 Defendant.

PATENT

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

**ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR  
PATENT INFRINGEMENT  
AGAINST EDIMAX COMPUTER  
COMPANY**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

16 Plaintiff Magnacross LLC files this Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against  
17 Sercomm USA Inc., and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

18 **I. THE PARTIES**

19 1. Plaintiff Magnacross LLC (“Magnacross” or “Plaintiff”) is a Texas limited  
20 liability company with its principal place of business at 5900 South Lake Forest Drive, Suite  
21 300, McKinney, Texas 75070.

22 2. On information and belief, Defendant Edimax Computer Company (“Defendant”)  
23 is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, with a place of business at  
24 3350 Scott Blvd #15, Santa Clara, CA 95054.  
25

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1  
2 3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the  
3 United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of such action under 28 U.S.C. §§  
4 1331 and 1338(a).

5  
6 4. On information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court’s specific and  
7 general personal jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and the California Long-Arm Statute, due  
8 at least to its business in this forum, including at least a portion of the infringements alleged  
9 herein. Furthermore, Defendant is subject to this Court’s specific and general personal  
10 jurisdiction because Defendant is a California corporation.

11 5. Without limitation, on information and belief, within this State and this District,  
12 Defendant has used the patented inventions thereby committing, and continuing to commit, acts  
13 of patent infringement alleged herein. In addition, on information and belief, Defendant has  
14 derived revenues from its infringing acts occurring within California and the Northern District of  
15 California. Further, on information and belief, Defendant is subject to the Court’s general  
16 jurisdiction, including from regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent  
17 courses of conduct, and deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to  
18 persons or entities in California and the Northern District of California. Further, on information  
19 and belief, Defendant is subject to the Court’s personal jurisdiction at least due to its sale of  
20 products and/or services within California and the Northern District of California. Defendant has  
21 committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in California and the Northern District of  
22 California such that it reasonably should know and expect that it could be haled into this Court as  
23 a consequence of such activity.

24 6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). On information and  
25 belief, Defendant is incorporated in California, and it has a place of business within this District.  
26  
27  
28

1 On information and belief, from and within this District Defendant has committed at least a  
2 portion of the infringements at issue in this case.

3 7. For these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court  
4 under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

5  
6 **III. COUNT I**  
**(PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,917,304)**

7 8. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

8 9. On July 12, 2005, United States Patent No. 6,917,304 (“the ‘304 Patent”) was  
9 duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The ‘304 Patent is  
10 titled “Wireless Mutliplex [sic] Data Transmission System.” The PCT application leading to the  
11 ‘304 Patent was filed on April 3, 1998. A true and correct copy of the ‘304 Patent is attached  
12 hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

13 10. Magnacross is the assignee of all right, title and interest in the ‘304 patent,  
14 including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for  
15 all relevant times against infringers of the ‘304 Patent. Accordingly, Magnacross possesses the  
16 exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the ‘304 Patent  
17 by Defendant.

18 11. The invention in the ‘304 Patent relates to methods and apparatuses for the  
19 wireless transmission of data through a communications channel from at least two local data  
20 sensors to a data processor. (Ex. A at col. 1:4-7). Prior to the filing of the initial application in  
21 1997, the inventors of the ‘304 patent recognized that there were problems with the efficiency of  
22 transmitting data from sensors to data processors. (Ex. A at col. 1:4-7; col. 2:5-13).  
23 Conventional methods usually had data transmitted from data sensors to the data processors  
24 using cables that put limitations on the convenience and operations of the equipment. (*Id.* at col.  
25 1:37-40). Attempts were made to achieve wireless transmission from the data sensors to data  
26  
27  
28

1 processors; however, these attempts had shortcomings. One main issue with the conventional  
2 wireless transmission systems is they resulted in inefficient bandwidth utilization. (*Id.* at col.  
3 1:50 – 2:1). For example, in a system in which there are sensors that require high data  
4 transmission rates and sensors that require lower data transmission rates, a conventional system  
5 would set aside the same amount of bandwidth for both types of sensors necessarily resulting in  
6 overutilization or underutilization of bandwidth requirements. (*See id.*).

7  
8 12. The inventors therefore created a method and system by which data sensors with  
9 substantially different data rates required for data transmission would have the data transmitted  
10 over an asymmetrically divided communication channel such that the data from the sensors is  
11 allocated to ones or groups of the sub-channels based on the data carrying capacities of the sub-  
12 channels. (*See id.* at col. 7:30-45; col. 8:20-35). For example, a data sensor with higher data rate  
13 requirements was assigned a sub-channel or group of sub-channels with a higher data rate  
14 capacity and a data sensor with lower data rate requirements was assigned a sub-channel with a  
15 lower data rate capacity. (*E.g., see id.* at col. 5:22-26). By allocating bandwidth in the novel  
16 way, the invention achieves the economical use of the available bandwidth within a  
17 communications channel that was not found in the prior art. (*See, id.* at col. 3:8-12).

18  
19 13. **Direct Infringement.** Upon information and belief, Defendant has been directly  
20 infringing at least claim 12 of the ‘304 patent in Delaware, and elsewhere in the United States,  
21 by actions comprising making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale an apparatus for wireless  
22 transmission of data in digital and/or analog format through a communications channel from at  
23 least two local data sensors to a data processing means, including without limitation Defendant’s  
24 BR-6428nS 300Mbps Wireless 11n Range Extender/Access Point, CAP1750 3x3 AC Dual-Band  
25 Ceiling-Mount PoE Access Point, BR-6478AC, BR-6208AC, BR-6428nS V4, BR-6428nS V2,  
26 and BR-6228nS V2 (“Accused Instrumentality”).  
27  
28

1           14. On information and belief, the Accused Instrumentality is an apparatus for  
2 wireless transmission of data in digital format through a communications channel, for example,  
3 the 2.4 GHz channel, between approximately 2.4 GHz and 2.5 GHz. Data sensors, such as data  
4 sensors that use the IEEE 802.11g and IEEE 802.11n wireless specifications to transmit over a  
5 wireless local area network, are capable of being and are wirelessly connected to the Accused  
6 Instrumentality to transmit data through the communication channel to a data processing means.

7  
8 (*E.g.*,

9 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/home\\_legacy\\_wir](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/home_legacy_wireless_routers/br-6428ns_v2/)  
10 [less\\_routers/br-6428ns\\_v2/;](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/home_legacy_wireless_routers/br-6428ns_v2/)

11 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/smb\\_access\\_point](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/smb_access_points/ac1750/cap1750/)  
12 [s\\_ac1750/cap1750/;](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/smb_access_points/ac1750/cap1750/)

13 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless\\_routers](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/ac1200/br-6478ac/)  
14 [ac1200/br-6478ac/;](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/ac1200/br-6478ac/)

15 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless\\_routers](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/ac750/br-6208ac/)  
16 [ac750/br-6208ac/;](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/ac750/br-6208ac/)

17 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless\\_routers](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/n300/br-6428ns_v4/)  
18 [n300/br-6428ns\\_v4/;](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/n300/br-6428ns_v4/)

19 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless\\_routers](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/n300/br-6428ns_v2/)  
20 [n300/br-6428ns\\_v2/;](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/n300/br-6428ns_v2/)

21 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless\\_routers](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/n150/br-6228ns_v2/)  
22 [n150/br-6228ns\\_v2/\).](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/wireless_routers/n150/br-6228ns_v2/)

23           Upon information and belief, the Accused Instrumentality has a  
24 multiplexer adapted to divide the communications channel into sub-channels and has a  
25 transmitter to transmit data through the sub-channels. For example, upon information and belief,  
26 the Accused Instrumentality divides the 2.4 GHz channel into multiple sub-channels through  
27  
28

1 which data can be transmitted. The multiplexer is adapted to divide the communications channel  
2 asymmetrically such that the data carrying capacities of the sub-channels are unequal. For  
3 example, the data carrying capacity for channels of the Accused Instrumentality using the  
4 802.11b/g specification is unequal to the data carrying capacity for channels using the 802.11n.

5  
6 15. On information and belief, the Accused Instrumentality has a controller that  
7 allocates data from the local data sensors to ones or groups of the communications sub-channels  
8 in accordance with the substantially different data rate requirements of the local sensors. (*E.g.*,

9 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/air\\_quality\\_monit](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/air_quality_monit)  
10 [oring\\_semioutdoor/ai-1001w\\_v2/](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/air_quality_monit);

11 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/home\\_automation](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/home_automation)  
12 [\\_smart\\_plug/sp-2101w\\_v2/](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/home_automation);

13 [http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise\\_detail/data/edimax/us/home\\_network\\_c](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/home_network_c)  
14 [ameras\\_indoor\\_ptz/ic-7113w/](http://us.edimax.com/edimax/merchandise/merchandise_detail/data/edimax/us/home_network_c)). For example, the data sensors that use the 802.11b/g

15 specification can have a substantially different data rate requirement than data sensors using the  
16 802.11n specification and the data from the data sensors are allocated to the channels for the  
17 appropriate specification.  
18

19 16. On information and belief, Defendant infringes claim 12 through making, using  
20 (including through testing and demonstrations), selling, and/or offering for sale products,  
21 including the Accused Instrumentality, that are used with 802.11b/g and 802.11n wireless  
22 sensors.  
23

24 17. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct.  
25 Defendant is thus liable to Plaintiff for damages in an amount that adequately compensates  
26 Plaintiff for such Defendant's infringement of the '304 patent, *i.e.*, in an amount that by law  
27

28

1 cannot be less than would constitute a reasonable royalty for the use of the patented technology,  
2 together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

3 18. On information and belief, Defendant has had at least constructive notice of the  
4 '304 patent by operation of law, and there are no marking requirements that have not been  
5 complied with.  
6

7 **IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court find in its favor and against  
9 Defendant, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- 10 a. Judgment that one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,917,304 have  
11 been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by  
12 Defendant;  
13
- 14 b. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Plaintiff all damages to and costs  
15 incurred by Plaintiff because of Defendant's infringing activities and other  
16 conduct complained of herein;
- 17 c. That Plaintiff be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages  
18 caused by Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of  
19 herein;
- 20 d. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just  
21 and proper under the circumstances.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

August 7, 2018  
  
OF COUNSEL:  
  
David R. Bennett  
(Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice to  
be filed)  
Direction IP Law  
P.O. Box 14184  
Chicago, IL 60614-0184  
(312) 291-1667  
dbennett@directionip.com

By /s/Steven A. Nielsen  
Steven A. Nielsen  
Nielsen Patents  
100 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 216  
Larkspur, CA 94939  
PHONE 415 272 8210  
E-MAIL: Steve@NielsenPatents.com  
  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Magnacross LLC



**JURY DEMAND**

1  
2 Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of  
3 any issues so triable by right.  
4

5 August 7, 2018

By /s/Steven A. Nielsen

6  
7 OF COUNSEL:

8 David R. Bennett  
9 (Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice to  
be filed)  
10 Direction IP Law  
11 P.O. Box 14184  
12 Chicago, IL 60614-0184  
(312) 291-1667  
dbennett@directionip.com

Steven A. Nielsen  
Nielsen Patents  
100 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 216  
Larkspur, CA 94939  
PHONE 415 272 8210  
E-MAIL: Steve@NielsenPatents.com  
  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Magnacross LLC