UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

| TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC.,) | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Plaintiff,) | |
|) | Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-354 |
| v.) | |
|) | |
| HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC.) | |
| ET AL., | |
|) | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| Defendant.) | |

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Traxcell Technologies, LLC. ("Traxcell") files this First Amended Complaint¹ and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement by Defendants Huawei Technologies USA, Inc., Huawei Device USA Inc., Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Huawei Device (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., and Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co., Ltd. (collectively "the Huawei Defendants" or simply "Huawei") hereby alleges as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Traxcell is a Texas Limited Liability Company, with its principal place of business located 1405 Municipal Ave., Suite 2305, Plano, TX 75074.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China with a principal place of business at Huawei Industrial Base (Shenzhen Campus), Bantian, Longgan District, Shenzhen, 518129, China. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. has agreed to waive service.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Huawei Device USA Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas with a principal place of business at 5700 Tennyson

¹ Defendants are not opposed to the filing of this Amended Complaint.

Parkway, Plano, Texas 75024. Upon information and belief, Defendant Huawei Device USA Inc. is a subsidiary of Defendant Huawei Device (Hong Kong) Co., Ltd. Defendant Huawei Device USA Inc. may be served via its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201-3136. Huawei Device USA Inc. has agreed to waive service.

- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Huawei Technologies USA Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas with a principal place of business at 5700 Tennyson Parkway, Plano, Texas 75024. Defendant Huawei Technologies USA Inc. may be served via its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201-3136. Huawei Technologies USA Inc. has agreed to waive service.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Huawei Device (Shenzen) Co. Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China with a principal place of business at Longgan District, Shenzhen, 518129, China. Huawei Device (Shenzen) Co. Ltd. has agreed to waive service.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co. Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China with a principal place of business at Dongguan, Guandong, 523808 China. Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co. Ltd. has agreed to waive service.
- 7. On information and belief, Huawei Technologies USA, Inc., Huawei Device USA Inc., Defendant Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Huawei Device (Shenzen) Co. Ltd., and Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co. Ltd. (collectively referred to as Huawei) sell and offer to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the U.S., 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et. seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Huawei because: Huawei is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of Texas and within this judicial district; and Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.
- 10. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District.

III. INFRINGEMENT ('388 Patent (Attached as exhibit A))

- 11. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-10 as if they presented herein.
- 12. On January 17, 2017, U.S. Patent No. 9,549,388 ("the '388 patent") entitled "Mobile wireless device providing off-line and on-line geographic navigation information" (attached as Exhibit A) was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Traxcell owns the '388 patent by assignment.
- 13. The '388 Patent's Abstract states, "A mobile device, wireless network and their method of operation provide both on-line (connected) navigation operation, as well as off-line navigation from a local database within the mobile device. Routing according to the navigation system can be controlled by traffic congestion measurements made by the wireless network that allow the navigation system to select the optimum route based on expected trip duration."

14. Defendants make, use, offer to sell, or sell within or import into the U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use online and/or off-line navigation such that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '388 patent, including—for example, but not by way of limitation—Claims 1-30, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

A preliminary chart illustrating examples of Plaintiff's claims for infringement of the claims of the '388 patent is as follows: ²

| Example Claim | Examples of Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A wireless communications system including | |
| a first radio-frequency transceiver within a wireless mobile communications device and an associated first antenna to which the first radio- frequency transceiver is coupled, wherein the first radio- frequency transceiver is configured for radio-frequency communication with a wireless communications network | This element corresponds to a wireless mobile communication device—including but not limited to Huawei Mate Series Phones (Mate 10, Mate 10 Pro, Porsche Design Mate 10, Mate 9 Pro, Mate 9, Porsche Design Mate 9)—include radio-frequency transceivers and an associated antenna. When wireless communication device transceivers and antennas are in communication, they are coupled. Further, in addition to being so coupled, the transceiver of each Exhibit-B item is also configured for RF-communication with wireless cellular communication network, such as Sprint, AT&T, Verizon, or T-Mobile network via Google Maps or other navigation applications; which can be installed or comes preloaded on Exhibit-B items including but not limited to Huawei Mate Series Phones (Mate 10, Mate 10 Pro, Porsche Design Mate 10, Mate 9 Pro, Mate 9, Porsche Design Mate 9) |

² Plaintiff's infringement claims are not limited to the components provided herein.

| Example Claim | Examples of Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| a first processor within the wireless mobile communications device coupled to the at least one first radio-frequency transceiver programmed to receive a location of the wireless mobile communications device from the wireless communications network and generate an indication of a location of the wireless mobile communications device with respect to geographic features according to mapping information stored within the wireless mobile communications device | Plaintiff contends that each Wireless mobile communication device-including but not limited Huawei Mate 10 has a Kirin 970 processor or like processor. When wireless communication device transceivers and processor are in communication, they are coupled. Further, the Google Maps application or any other indoor/outdoor navigation application on the wireless communication device utilizes a processor coupled to the transceiver to estimates/receive the location on mobile wireless communications devices by utilizing wireless communication network such as Sprint, AT&T, Verizon, or T-Mobile network or Huawei's WLAN network The Blue dot on Google Maps indicates the location of the wireless communication device, with respect to the various geographical features such as streets, cities, or any point of interest. Furthermore, Google Maps application mapping information comes from the Google Maps hardware/software using data plan or Wi-Fi network and hence is stored within the memory of wireless communication device. |
| and wherein the processor displays to the user navigation information according to the location of the | Plaintiff contends each Wireless mobile communication device- including but not limited Huawei Mate 10 has a Kirin 970 processor or like processor. The Wireless communication device having Google Maps application or any other navigation application, displays to the user navigation information, based on the destination entered by the user. |

| Example Claim | Examples of Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| wireless mobile communications device with respect to the geographic features and a destination specified by the user at the wireless mobile communications device; | The Google Maps application estimates/receives the location of the wireless communication device, by utilizing wireless communication network such as Sprint, AT&T, T-Mobile, Verizon or any other cellular communication network, and indicates it on the map with respect of various geographic features such as streets, cities, or any point of interest. Google Maps application or any other navigation application which comes preloaded on the Huawei Smartphones, provides route from present location to the destination entered by the user on the wireless communication device. |
| at least one second radio-frequency transceiver and an associated at least one second antenna of the wireless communications network to which the second radio- frequency transceiver is coupled | Plaintiff contends each item listed on Exhibit A corresponds to this claim limitation because each Exhibit-A item is a RF transmitting device. Wireless cellular communication network such as of Sprint's, AT&T's, Verizon's, T-Mobile's etc., includes cell towers which provide radio communication to and from wireless communication devices (specifically one or more of the mobile wireless communications devices identified on Exhibit B). Thus, the cell towers (base stations) include the radio frequency transceiver coupled with antenna (Exhibit A) in the mentioned wireless cellular communication networks. |
| a second processor coupled to the at least one second radio-frequency transceiver programmed to determine the location of the wireless mobile communications device | Plaintiff contends each Google Maps hardware/software operating in wireless communication network, corresponds to this claim limitation because each such Google Maps hardware/software serves as a processor and as medium of communication between Google Maps application and wireless communication network (cellular). The cellular communication network includes cell towers/base station which provide radio communication to and from wireless communication mobile devices. As mentioned previously, the cell towers/base station include the radio frequency transceiver in cellular communication network such as of Sprint's, AT&T's or any others. |

| Example Claim | Examples of Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | The cellular communication network allows communication between Google hardware/software and the wireless communication device to determine the current location of the wireless communication device |
| wherein the second processor selectively determines the location of the wireless mobile communications device dependent on the setting of preference flags | Plaintiff contends, for example, Google Maps hardware/software operating in wireless communication network, corresponds to this claim limitation because each such Google Maps hardware/software serves as a processor and a medium of communication between Google Maps application and wireless communication network (cellular) The Google Maps hardware/software will only be able to determine the location of the Wireless communication device, if the location flag on the Wireless communication device is turned "ON". |
| wherein the second processor determines the location of the wireless mobile communications device if the preference flags are set to a state that permits tracking of the user of the wireless mobile communications device and communicates the location of the wireless mobile communications device to the first processor via the | Plaintiff contends, for example, each Google Maps hardware/software operating in wireless communication network, corresponds to this claim limitation because each such Google Maps hardware/software serves as a processor and a medium of communication between Google Maps application and wireless communication network. The Google Maps hardware/software will only be able to determine and track the location of the Wireless communication device such as but not limited to Mate 10, Mate 10 Pro, Porsche Design Mate 10, Mate 9 Pro, Mate 9, Porsche Design Mate 9 etc, if the location flag on the Wireless communication device is turned "ON". The location of the Wireless communication device is communicated to the Google Maps application on the Wireless communication device via communication established by the cell site/base station or Access Points between Google Maps hardware/software and the Wireless communication device |
| second radio- frequency transmitter | |

| Example Claim | Examples of Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| and wherein the second processor does not determine and communicate the location of the wireless mobile communications device if the preference flags are set to a state that prohibits tracking of the wireless mobile communications device. | Plaintiff contends, for example, each Google Maps hardware/software operating in wireless communication network, corresponds to this claim limitation because each such Google Maps hardware/software serves as a processor and a medium of communication between Google Maps application and wireless communication network. The Google Maps hardware/software will only be not be able to determine and track the location of the Wireless communication device such as but not limited to Mate 10, Mate 10 Pro, Porsche Design Mate 10, Mate 9 Pro, Mate 9, Porsche Design Mate 9 etc, if the location flag on the Wireless communication device is turned "OFF" or disabled. |

15. Defendants put the inventions claimed by the '388 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendants' actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendants' products and services would never have been put into service. Defendants' acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendants obtaining monetary and commercial benefit from it.

16. Defendants have and continue to induce infringement. Defendants have actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers, suppliers, and competitors), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (see chart in paragraph 16), and related services) that use identified U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use online and/or off-line navigation such to cause infringement one or more claims of the '388 patent, including—for example—Claims 1-30, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendants have known and should have known of the '388 patent, if not by the issuance of the '284 patent, by at least by the date of the patent's issuance, which followed the date

that the patent's underlying application was cited to Defendants by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office during prosecution of one of Defendants' patent applications, such that Defendants knew and should have known that it was and would be inducing infringement. Further, evidence exists that shows Huawei knew of published patent Application, 20080045234, which is in the family of the '388 patent, since at least May of 2015 when it was cited against a patent application assigned to Huawei, USSN 14/297073.

17. Defendants have caused and will continue to cause Traxcell damage by infringing (including inducing infringement of) the '388 patent.

IV. INFRINGEMENT ('353 Patent (Attached as exhibit B))

- 18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-17 as if they presented herein.
- 19. On February 6, 2018, U.S. Patent No. 9,888,353 ("the '353 patent") entitled "Mobile wireless communications system and method with hierarchical location determination" (attached as Exhibit B) was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Traxcell owns the '353 patent by assignment.
- 20. The '353 Patent's Abstract states, "[a] mobile wireless network and a method of operation provide a hierarchical selection from among various location methods in populating a user location database. A digital signature technique is used to determine a location of a mobile wireless communications device. The location is compared with received signal-strength indication (RSSI) measurements to determine if the location provided by the digital signature technique is reasonable, and if so, the digital signal measurement location result is stored in the database."
- 21. Defendants make, use, offer to sell, or sell within or import into the U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use online and/or off-line navigation such

that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '353 patent, including—for example, but not by way of limitation—Claims 1-19, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

A preliminary chart illustrating examples of Plaintiff's claims for infringement of the claims of the '353 patent is as follows: ³

| Example Claim | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A mobile wireless communications network, comprising: | |
| multiple radio-frequency transceivers and associated multiple antennas to which the associated radio-frequency transceivers are coupled, | Plaintiff contends each Accused System includes this limitation because each Accused System includes devices capable of performing the claim limitation of coupling in communication of one or more radio-frequency transceivers and an associated one or more antennas because each is a base station. Base stations—including Huawei's base stations—include radio-frequency transceivers designed and used for radio-frequency communication with at least one antenna. When base-station transceivers and antennas are in communication, they are coupled in communication. Further, in addition to being so coupled, the transceivers and antenna of each Exhibit-A item are also, by placement within a base station, physically coupled. |
| | The following exemplifies the existence of the limitation one or more radio-frequency transceivers and an associated one or more antennas coupled in communication to one or more wireless devices in Accused Systems: |
| | The patented invention pertains to, and the concept and features disclosed therein are implementable in, a wireless RF-based communication network, wherein communication happens through reception and transmission of RF signals between RF transceivers / |

³ Plaintiff's infringement claims are not limited to the components provided herein.

| Example Claim | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | towers / base stations / nodes belonging to a wireless network and mobile wireless devices containing RF transceivers in their hardware and are therefore capable of receiving and transmitting RF signals. A wireless RF-based communication network can be any of – conventional Cellular telecommunication network, Wireless network, Wi-Fi, WLAN or Wireless mesh networks. |
| | Huawei Cellular (or SON) Networks (using Huawei Digital Footprint solution) – Huawei Location APIs, Softwares, Apps, SDKs, etc. as well as other Network Location APIs or Softwares offered by Huawei partners (See exhibit C for more examples), Huawei Base Stations / Towers / Small Cells / DAS etc. (examples of different types of compatible Huawei BTS or Base Stations are: Huawei BTS3900, BTS3900A, BTS3900L, BTS3900AL, DBS3900, etc.; Huawei Distributed Antenna System (DAS), Huawei Small or Femto cells, Huawei LTE EnodeB such as Huawei LTE eNodeB V100R004C00, etc.; as well as other similar products of third-parties sold (or offered or used) by Huawei; See Exhibit A for more examples), Huawei Gateway, etc., – are designed to monitor, manage, optimize and troubleshoot the wireless cellular telecommunication network. |
| | The said cellular telecommunication network comprises a plurality of RF transceivers, that is, Huawei base-stations or towers (examples of different types of compatible Huawei base-station or towers are: Huawei BTS3900, BTS3900A, BTS3900L, BTS3900AL, DBS3900, etc.; Huawei Distributed Antenna System (DAS), Huawei Small or Femto cells, Huawei LTE EnodeB such as Huawei LTE eNodeB V100R004C00, etc.; as well as other similar products of third-parties sold (or offered or used) by Huawei; See Exhibit A for more examples) – |

| Example Claim | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | communicating wirelessly through RF signals with a plurality of Huawei mobile wireless communication devices (for example, Huawei Mate Series Phones, etc.) as well as other compatible wireless communication devices handsets, mobile phones, PDAs, laptops, tablets and mobile navigation devices etc. The said base stations or towers invariably contain one or more antennae. |
| wherein the multiple radio-frequency transceivers are configured for radio-frequency communication with one or more mobile wireless communications devices; and | Plaintiff contends that each item listed above corresponds to this claim limitation because each item item is configured for radio-frequency communication with one or more mobile wireless communications devices For example, the following depicts transceivers with antennae communicating by radio-frequency with mobile wireless communications devices: |
| a user location database controller coupled to the multiple radio-frequency transceivers, | Plaintiff contends that a user location database controller corresponds to this claim limitation because each user location database controller is a controller coupled to multiple radio-frequency transceivers. Each Accused System has such a controller because the products and applications listed within Exhibit C as a part of the identification of user location database controller has software code that is specifically designed for use by a controller. Each of such products and applications is used by a controller while coupled to multiple RF transceivers. Thus, the claim-limitation corresponding to user location database controller is a controller on which any of those products or applications are operated, for example: |

| Example Claim | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Huawei (or its partners) APIs, Apps, Softwares, SDKs, etc. such as Location APIs, Historical Location Analysis APIs, Real-Time Location APIs, Location Service APIs, etc. as well as network management softwares or solutions such as Huawei iManager SONMaster, Huawei SingleSON solution, etc., these APIs or Softwares run on the controller coupled to the Huawei RF transceivers. |
| wherein the user location database controller determines a location of a first one of the mobile wireless communications devices by comparing a result of a digital signature location with received signal strength indication measurements to determine whether or not the result of the digital signature location is reasonable, | Plaintiff contends that each user location database controller corresponds to this claim limitation because each user location database controller is a controller which is programmed to locate the one or more mobile wireless communications devices by comparing a result of a digital signature location with received signal strength indication (RSSI) measurements to determine whether or not the result of the digital signature location is reasonable. Each Accused System has such a controller because the products and applications have software code that is specifically designed for use by the controller and allows the controller to locate the one or more mobile wireless communication devices based on the comparison. |
| wherein the user location database controller, responsive to determining that the result of the digital signature location is reasonable, stores the result as the location of the first mobile wireless communications device in a user location database, | Plaintiff contends that each user location database controller corresponds to determining that the result of the digital signature location is reasonable, stores the result as the location of the mobile wireless communications device in a user location database or location server. The following exemplifies the existence of this limitation in Accused Systems: |
| wherein the user location database controller, responsive to determining that the result of the | Plaintiff contends that each described user location database controller computes location by using different methods and in response to determining that |

| Example Claim | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| digital signature location is not reasonable, computes the location of the first mobile wireless communications device in conformity with the received signal strength indication measurements, | the result of the digital signature location is not reasonable, it then computes the location of the mobile wireless communications device in conformity with the received signal strength indication (RSSI) measurements. The following exemplifies the existence of this limitation in Accused Systems: |
| wherein the user location database controller compares the result of the digital signature location with the received signal strength indication measurements by determining a test zone from signal strength indications of a plurality of towers corresponding to the multiple antennas that are in radio-frequency communication with the first mobile wireless communication device and comparing the result of the digital signature location with the test zone, so that the user location database controller determines that the result of the digital signature location is reasonable if the digital signature location is within the test zone. | Plaintiff contends that each described user location database controller corresponds to this claim limitation, compares the result of the digital signature location with the received signal strength indication (RSSI) measurements by determining a test zone from signal strength indications of a plurality of access points or towers corresponding to the multiple antennas that are in radio-frequency communication with the mobile wireless communication device. It then compares the result of the digital signature location with the test zone. The controller determines that the result of the digital signature location is reasonable if the digital signature location is within the test zone. |

22. Defendants put the inventions claimed by the '353 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendants' actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendants' products and services would never have been put into service. Defendants' acts complained of herein caused

those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendants obtaining monetary and commercial benefit from it.

- 23. Defendants have and continue to induce infringement. Defendants have actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers, suppliers, and competitors), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (see chart in paragraph 23), and related services) that use identified U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use online and/or off-line navigation such to cause infringement one or more claims of the '388 patent, including—for example—Claims 1-19, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendants have known and should have known of the '353 patent, if not by the issuance of the '284 patent, by at least by the date of the patent's issuance, which followed the date that the patent's underlying application was cited to Defendants by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office during prosecution of one of Defendants' patent applications, such that Defendants knew and should have known that it was and would be inducing infringement. Further, evidence exists that shows Huawei knew of published patent Application, 20080045234, which is in the family of the '353 patent, since at least May of 2015 when it was cited against a patent application assigned to Huawei, USSN 14/297073.
- 24. Defendants have caused and will continue to cause Traxcell damage by infringing (including inducing infringement of) the '353 patent.

V. INFRINGEMENT ('196 Patent (Attached as exhibit C))

- 25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-24 as if they presented herein.
- 26. On March 13, 2018, U.S. Patent No. 9,918,196 ("the '196 patent") entitled "[i]nternet Queried Directional Navigation System With Mobile And Fixed Originating Location

Determination" (attached as Exhibit C) was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Traxcell owns the '196 patent by assignment.

27. The '196 Patent's Abstract states, "[a] mobile wireless network and a method of operation provide directional assistance in response to an Internet query. The directional assistance is provided from a location of the querying device to a destination that may be selectively prompted based on whether the destination is a nearby business, a type of business, a street address, or another mobile device or fixed telephone location. The location of the querying device is also selectively determined depending on whether the querying device is a wireless device such as a mobile telephone, or whether the device has a presumed fixed location, such as an ordinary telephone connected to a public-switched telephone network (PSTN)."

28. Defendants make, use, offer to sell, or sell within or import into the U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use online and/or off-line navigation such that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '196 patent, including—for example, but not by way of limitation—Claims 1-30, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

A preliminary chart illustrating examples of Plaintiff's claims for infringement of the claims of the '196 patent is as follows: ⁴

| Example Claims | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A method of providing navigation assistance to a user of a communications device, the method comprising: | Plaintiff contends that the services using "Huawei Emergency Command Center Solution (ECC Solution)" correspond to this claim limitation because the "Huawei ECC Solution" integrates eSpace CAD Console and "location-based service" and can therefore provide directional assistance service. |
| assistance service, an | Plaintiff contends this step occurs in each Accused System because each is a computer/ server operating in a communication network using 'Huawei Emergency Command Center Solution |

⁴ Plaintiff's infringement claims are not limited to the components provided herein.

| Example Claims | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| communications device and directed via the Internet to initiate a request for navigational assistance to a destination; | (ECC Solution)' and the "Huawei ECC Solution" integrates eSpace CAD Console and "location-based service" which can provide directional assistance service. |
| | The call taker/dispatcher of the eSpace CAD Console has the ability to receive calls from the mentioned variety of communication devices and provide navigational assistance to a destination, whenever an incident reporter (user of the communication device) initiates an emergency call/incident reporting call (initiates an Internet query) via voice call, email, SMS or MMS. Fixed and mobile phones can be used by the reporter for initiating the emergency incident reporting. |
| responsive to receiving the Internet query, determining whether or not the communications device is a mobile wireless communications device; | Plaintiff contends this step occurs in each Accused System because each is a computer/ server operating in a communication network using 'Huawei Emergency Command Center Solution (ECC Solution)' and the "Huawei ECC Solution" integrates eSpace CAD Console and "location-based service" which can provide directional assistance service. Also, eSpace CAD automatically generates the location of the device from which the incident has been reported. As the incident can be reported from mobile or fixed phone and way of generating the location is different for fixed devices and mobile devices, another step is performed by eSpace CAD to determine whether the reporting device is fixed or mobile device. |
| responsive to determining that the communications device is the mobile wireless communications device, the directional assistance service determining and using a present location of the mobile wireless communications device as a location of the communications device; | Plaintiff contends this step occurs in each Accused System because each is a computer/ server operating in a communication network using 'Huawei Emergency Command Center Solution (ECC Solution)' and the "Huawei ECC Solution" integrates eSpace CAD Console and "location-based service" which can provide directional assistance service. Also, eSpace CAD automatically generates the location of the mobile device from which the incident has been reported. As the incident can be reported from mobile or fixed phone, the ECC Solution has an ability to determine whether the incident is reported by the mobile or the fixed phone so as to generate the location of the mobile phone. |
| responsive to determining that the communications device is not the mobile wireless communications device, obtaining a fixed | Plaintiff contends this step occurs in each Accused System because each is a computer/ server operating in a communication network using 'Huawei Emergency Command Center Solution (ECC Solution)' and the "Huawei ECC Solution" integrates eSpace CAD Console and "location-based service" which can |

| Example Claims | Corresponding Structure in Accused Systems |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| location associated with the communications device to determine the location of the communications device; and | provide directional assistance service. Also, eSpace CAD automatically generates the location of the fixed phone from which the incident has been reported. As the incident can be reported from mobile or fixed phone, the ECC Solution has an ability to determine whether the incident is reported by the mobile or the fixed phone so as to generate the location of the fixed phone. |
| the directional assistance service providing navigation information to the communications device in response to the Internet query, wherein the navigation provides directions for proceeding from the location of the communications device to a location of the destination. | Plaintiff contends this step occurs in each Accused System because each is a computer/ server operating in a communication network using 'Huawei Emergency Command Center Solution (ECC Solution)' and the "Huawei ECC Solution" integrates eSpace CAD Console and "location-based service" which can provide directional assistance service. The call taker/dispatcher of eSpace CAD can locate the incident reporter's phone on a map and also has the ability to allow the incident reporter/third-party incident handling operator to access the incident details (incident details also has the location of the incident reporting device). As the incident can be reported from mobile or fixed phone, the eSpace CAD has the ability to share the location details with that mobile or fixed device. Also, the call taker/dispatcher can send SMS/MMS/Email or can make outgoing calls to the incident reporter's device while handling the incident and the map on the eSpace CAD can be saved as an image in JPG/PNG/BMP format. As the Email service supports the exchange of files in JPG/PNG/BMP format, the eSpace CAD has the ability to send the Map locating the incident reporting device to any fixed or mobile phone. The eSpace CAD has the ability to send the mavigation assistance in the form of map to the fixed or mobile device (which is equivalent to providing the navigation assistance to communication device) wherein the destination can be the same as the incident reporting device's location from where the incident report has been initiated. |

29. Defendants put the inventions claimed by the '196 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendants' actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendants' products and services would never have been put into service. Defendants' acts complained of herein caused

those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendants obtaining monetary and commercial benefit from it.

30. Defendants have and continue to induce infringement. Defendants have actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers, suppliers, and competitors), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (see chart in paragraph 30), and related services) that use identified U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use online and/or off-line navigation such to cause infringement one or more claims of the '196 patent, including—for example—Claims 1-30, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendants have known and should have known of the '196 patent, if not by the issuance of the '284 patent, by at least by the date of the patent's issuance, which followed the date that the patent's underlying application was cited to Defendants by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office during prosecution of one of Defendants' patent applications, such that Defendants knew and should have known that it was and would be inducing infringement. Further, evidence exists that shows Huawei knew of published patent Application, 20080045234, which is in the family of the '196 patent, since at least May of 2015 when it was cited against a patent application assigned to Huawei, USSN 14/297073.

31. Defendants have caused and will continue to cause Traxcell damage by infringing (including inducing infringement of) the '196 patent.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Traxcell respectfully requests that this Court:

- i. enter judgment that Defendants have infringed the '388, '353, and '196 patents;
- ii. award Traxcell damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendants' infringement of the '388, '353, and '196 patents, in an amount no less than a reasonable

royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C.

§ 284;

iii. award Traxcell an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award

by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;

iv. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Traxcell its

attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;

v. declare Defendants infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys'

fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award

pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284;

vi. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction

enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and

subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendants, from infringing the claims of the

Patents-in-Suit or (ii) award damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction, in an

amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendants will be

adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the

future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and,

vii. award Traxcell such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Traxcell hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

Respectfully submitted,

Ramey & Schwaller, LLP

By: <u>/s/ William P. Ramey, III</u>
William P. Ramey, III

Texas Bar No. 24027643

1 exas Dai 100. 2402/043

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 750

Houston, Texas 77006

(713) 426-3923 (telephone) (832) 900-4941 (fax) wramey@rameyfirm.com

Hicks Thomas, LLP

John B. Thomas (Co-Counsel) Texas Bar No. 19856150 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 2000 Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 547-9100 (telephone) (713) 547-9150 (fax) jthomas@hicks-thomas.com

Attorneys for Traxcell Technologies, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15(a), I certify I conferred with Defendants' Counsel and Defendants are not opposed to the Filing of this Amended Complaint.

/s/ William P. Ramey, III William P. Ramey, III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule CV-5, I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have appeared in this case are being served today, September 25, 2018, with a copy of the foregoing via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ William P. Ramey, III William P. Ramey, III