c	ase 2:18-cv-04525-JAK-JPR	Document 35	Filed 09/26/18	Page 1 of 16	Page ID #:955		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Jeffrey Francis Craft SBN 1 jcraft@devlinlawfirm.com DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC 1306 N. Broom St., 1st Floo Wilmington, DE 19806 Tel. (302) 449-9010 Fax. (302) 353-4251 Attorney for Plaintiff						
8							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION					
11			DIVISION				
12	BLUE SPIKE LLC,	Í	Case No. 2:1	8-cv-04525-JA	AK-JPR		
13 14	Plaintiff,		SECOND A	MENDED			
14	V.			NT FOR PAT	ENT		
16	PANDORA MEDIA, INC.						
17	Defendant.		JURY TRIA	L DEMAND	ED		
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23 24							
24 25							
23 26							
27							
28							
	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT F	OR PATENT INFRI -]					

Plaintiff Blue Spike LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Blue Spike") files this Second Amended Complaint against Pandora Media, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Pandora") seeking damages and other relief for patent infringement, and alleges with knowledge to its own acts, and on information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

I. <u>NATURE OF ACTION</u>

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under Title 35 of the United States Code, seeking monetary damages and other relief against Defendant due to its infringement of United States Patent Nos. 7,813,506 ("the'506 Patent"), 7,664,263 ("the '263 Patent"), and 8,265,276 ("the '276 Patent") (the "Patents-in-Suit") in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271.

II. <u>PARTIES</u>

2. Blue Spike is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, having its principal place of business at 1820 Shiloh Road, Suite 1201-C, Tyler, Texas 75703.

3. Defendant is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 3050, Santa Monica, California 90405. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, CT Corporation System, located at 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1, et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a). Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(a) & (c), and 1400(b).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant under the laws of the State of California, including the California long-arm statute, CAL. CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE §410.10.

6. Plaintiff's claims arise directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in the Central District of California: SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

-2-

Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and the Central District of California; Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in the Central District of California; Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of California; and Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of California and within the Central District of California.

7. Defendant directly or through intermediaries, makes, uses, offers for sale, imports, sells, advertises or distributes products and services in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in California, including within this District.

8. Defendant has regularly and systematically conducted and solicited business in this District by and through at least sales and offers for sale of Defendant's products and services.

9. Defendant has been, and currently is, continuously and systematically conducting business in this District and throughout California.

10. Defendant has systematically and continuously harmed Plaintiff in this District by infringing one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

11. Venue is proper in this District because, inter alia, Defendant maintains a regular and established place of business in this judicial District.

12. Pandora has a regular and established place of business at 3000 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 3050, Santa Monica, California 90405.

IV. <u>PATENTS-IN-SUIT</u>

U.S. Patent No. 7,813,506

13. On March 30, 2009, Blue Spike, Inc. filed United States Patent Application No. 12/383,879 entitled "System and Methods for Permitting Open Access to Data Objects and for Securing Data Within the Data Objects" with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO").

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

14. Application No. 12/383,879 is a continuation application of United States Patent Application No. 11/647,861, which Blue Spike, Inc. filed on December 29, 2006, which issued as United States Patent No. 7,532,725.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15. Application No. 11/647,861 is a continuation application of United States Patent Application No. 09/731,039, which Blue Spike, Inc. filed on December 7, 2000, which issued as United States Patent No. 7,177,429.

The Cross Reference to Related Applications section of United States 16. Patent No. 7,177,429 recites: "This application claims the benefit of pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/674,726, filed Jul. 2, 1996, entitled "Exchange Mechanisms for Digital Information Packages with Bandwidth Securitization, Multichannel Digital Watermarks, and Key Management"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/999,766, filed Jul. 23, 1997, entitled "Steganographic Method and Device"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/046,627, filed Mar. 24, 1998, entitled "Method for Combining Transfer Function with Predetermined Key Creation"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/053,628, filed Apr. 2, 1998, entitled "Multiple Transform Utilization and Application for Secure Digital Watermarking"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/281,279, filed Mar. 30, 1999, entitled "Optimization Methods for the Insertion, Protection, and Detection of Digital Watermarks in Digital Data"; pending U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/169,274, filed Dec. 7, 1999, entitled "Systems, Methods And Devices For Trusted Transactions"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/456,319, filed Dec. 8, 1999, entitled "Z-Transform Implementation of Digital Watermarks"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/545,589, filed Apr. 7, 2000, entitled "Method and System for Digital Watermarking"; pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/594,719, filed Jun. 16, 2000, entitled "Utilizing Data Reduction in Steganographic and Cryptographic Systems" (which is a continuationin-part of International Application No. PCT/US00/06522, filed Mar. 14, 2000, which PCT application claimed priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/125,990, filed Mar. 24, 1999); International Application No. PCT/US00/21189, SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

filed Aug. 4, 2000 (which claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 60/147,134, filed Aug. 4, 1999, and to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 60/213,489, filed Jun. 23, 2000, both of which are entitled "A Secure Personal Content Server"), U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/657,181, filed Sep. 7, 2000, entitled "Method And Device For Monitoring And Analyzing Signals"; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/234,199, filed Sep. 20, 2000, entitled "Improved Security Based on Subliminal and Supraliminal Channels For Data Objects"; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/671,739, filed Sep. 29, 2000, entitled "Method And Device For Monitoring And Analyzing Signals"; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/731,040, entitled "Systems, Methods and Devices for Trusted Transactions," filed Dec. 7, 2000. The previously identified patents and/or patent applications are hereby incorporated by reference, in their entireties."

17. Application No. 12/383,879 issued as the '506 Patent on October 12, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '506 Patent is attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and is incorporated herein by reference.

18. The '506 Patent is presumed valid.

19. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the '506 Patent.

20. The '506 Patent is directed to electronically securing data objects by scrambling a data object to degrade the data object to a predetermined signal quality level. *See, e.g.*, Exhibit A, '506 Patent, col. 2, ll. 38–52.

21. By scrambling a data object to degrade the data object to a predetermined signal quality level, the '506 Patent describes a technical solution to a technical problem that is intrinsically tied to electronically securing data objects. *Id.* at Abstract.

22. The '506 Patent describes improvements to electronically securing data objects. As an example, rather than providing disparate security schemes for audio files of different signal quality, the '506 Patent describes methods for "designing security to meet either model [streaming and downloads]." *Id.* at col. 7, 1. 66 – col. 8, 1. 5.

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

-5-

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

23. The '506 Patent also discloses multiple inventive concepts and improvements over prior data security systems. E.g., id. at col. 11, l. 36–62.

24. The '506 Patent is not directed to any abstract idea, method of organizing human activity, or any fundamental economic practice. The claims of the '506 Patent are directed toward technical solutions to technical problems—how to protect digital audio files when those files are widely distributed over a large, networked population. See, e.g., id. at col. 11, ll. 36–63.

As demonstrated by its frequent citation by the USPTO in other later-25. issued patents and pending patent applications involving data security systems, the '506 Patent represents a fundamental technical improvement involving electronically securing data objects. Specifically, the '506 Patent has been cited during the prosecution of 112 subsequently issued U.S. patents and pending U.S. patent applications.

U.S. Patent No. 7,664,263

26. On June 25, 2003, Blue Spike, Inc. filed United States Patent Application No. 10/602,777, entitled "Method for Combining Transfer Functions" with Predetermined Key Creation" with the USPTO.

Application No. 10/602,777 is a continuation application of United 27. States Patent Application No. 09/046,627, which Blue Spike, Inc. filed on March 24, 1998, which issued as United States Patent No. 6,598,162.

28. U.S. Patent Application No. 09/046,627, which issued July 22, 2003 as United States Patent No. 6,598,162, is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/587,943, filed Jan. 17, 1996, which issued Apr. 28, 1998, as U.S. Pat. No. 5,745,569.

29. Application No. 10/602,777 issued as the '263 Patent on February 16, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '263 Patent is attached hereto as "Exhibit B" and is incorporated herein by reference.

30. The '263 Patent is presumed valid.

31. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the '263 Patent.

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

32. The '263 Patent is directed to protecting a digital signal by manipulating the digital signal using a predetermined key to generate a permutation of the digital signal parameterized by file format information defining how the digital signal is encoded. *See, e.g.*, Exhibit B, '263 Patent, col. 7, ll. 39–47.

33. By manipulating the digital signal in a manner that is parameterized by file format information, the '263 Patent describes a technical solution to a technical problem that is intrinsically tied to electronically securing data objects. *See, e.g., id.* at col. 4, 11. 38–54.

34. The '263 Patent describes improvements to protecting digital signals. As an example, by using a predetermined key to generate a permutation of the digital signal parameterized by file format information defining how the digital signal is encoded, the '263 Patent allows for the generation of degraded digital signals that may be "freely distributed in encoded form to the public This lets the public decide, based on the available lower quality version of the song, if they want to purchase a key from the publisher to decode, or 'clean-up,' the content." *Id.* at col. 4, 11. 38–54.

35. The '263 Patent is not directed to any abstract idea, method of organizing human activity, or any fundamental economic practice. The claims of the '263 Patent are directed toward technical solutions to technical problems—how to protect digital audio files when those files are widely distributed over a large, networked population. *See, e.g., id.* at col. 4, ll. 38–54.

36. The '263 Patent also discloses multiple inventive concepts and improvements over prior data security systems. *E.g.*, *id.* at col. 6, ll. 39–52.

37. As demonstrated by its frequent citation by the USPTO in other laterissued patents and pending patent applications involving data security systems, the '263 Patent represents a fundamental technical improvement involving electronically securing data objects. Specifically, the '263 Patent has been cited during the prosecution of 31 subsequently issued U.S. patents and pending U.S. patent applications.

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

U.S. Patent No. 8,265,276

38. On June 25, 2003, Blue Spike, Inc. filed United States Patent Application No. 12/655,002, entitled "Method for Combining Transfer Functions with Predetermined Key Creation" with the USPTO.

39. Application No. 12/655,002 is a continuation application of United States Patent Application No. 10/602,777, which Blue Spike, Inc. filed on June 25, 2003, which issued as United States Patent No. 7,664,263.

40. Application No. 10/602,777 is a continuation application of United States Patent Application No. 09/046,627, which Blue Spike, Inc. filed on March 24, 1998, which issued as United States Patent No. 6,598,162.

41. Application No. 09/046,627 is a continuation-in-part of United States Patent Application No. 08/587,943, which Blue Spike, Inc. filed on January 17, 1996, which issued as United States Patent No. 5,745,569.

42. Application No. 12/665,002 issued as the '276 Patent on September 11, 2012. A true and correct copy of the '276 Patent is attached hereto as "Exhibit C" and is incorporated herein by reference.

43. The '276 Patent is presumed valid.

44. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the '276 Patent.

45. The '276 Patent is directed to protecting a digital signal by manipulating the digital signal using a predetermined key to generate a permutation of the digital signal parameterized by file format information defining how the digital signal is encoded. See, e.g., Exhibit C, '276 Patent, col. 7, ll. 40–48.

46. By manipulating the digital signal in a manner that is parameterized by file format information, the '276 Patent describes a technical solution to a technical problem that is intrinsically tied to electronically securing data objects. *See, e.g., id.* at col. 4, ll. 43–62.

47. The '276 Patent describes improvements to protecting digital signals. As an example, by using a predetermined key to generate a permutation of the digital signal parameterized by file format information defining how the digital signal is SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT -8encoded, the '276 Patent allows for the generation of degraded digital signals that may be "freely distributed in encoded form to the public This lets the public decide, based on the available lower quality version of the song, if they want to purchase a key from the publisher to decode, or 'clean-up,' the content." *Id.* at col. 4, 11. 43–62.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

48. The '276 Patent also discloses multiple inventive concepts and improvements over prior data security systems. *E.g.*, *id.* at col. 6, ll. 40–60.

49. The '276 Patent is not directed to any abstract idea, method of organizing human activity, or any fundamental economic practice. The claims of the '276 Patent are directed toward technical solutions to technical problems—how to protect digital audio files when those files are widely distributed over a large, networked population. *See, e.g.*, col. 4, ll. 43–58.

50. As demonstrated by its frequent citation by the USPTO in other laterissued patents and pending patent applications involving data security systems, the '276 Patent represents a fundamental technical improvement involving electronically securing data objects. Specifically, the '276 Patent has been cited during the prosecution of 31 subsequently issued U.S. patents and pending U.S. patent applications.

V. <u>COUNT I</u>

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,813,506

51. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth here.

52. Defendant makes, uses, sells, imports, or offers for sale in the United States, without authority, products, equipment, or services that infringe one or more claims of the '506 Patent, including without limitation, the Pandora, Pandora Plus, and/or Pandora Premium music streaming services and associated apps ("Accused Products"). *See* Exhibit D, https://www.pandora.com/upgrade (last accessed September 26, 2018).

53. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10 of the '506 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, importing, or selling the Accused Products. *See* '506 Patent Claim Chart, attached hereto as Exhibit E. Plaintiff notes that the '506 Claim Chart and analysis constitute a preliminary and exemplary infringement analysis based on publicly available information. Plaintiff has not obtained discovery from Defendant, nor has Defendant disclosed any analysis in support of any purported non-infringement positions. Plaintiff hereby specifically reserves the right to supplement and/or amend the positions taken in this preliminary and exemplary infringement analysis, including with respect to literal infringement and infringement under the doctrine of equivalents, if and when warranted by further information obtained by Plaintiff during the pendency of litigation, including information adduced through fact discovery, claim construction, expert discovery, and/or further analysis.

54. At least as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint, Defendant indirectly infringed and continues to infringe the Patents-in-Suit within the United States by inducement under 35 U.S.C. §271(b). By failing to cease making, using, selling, importing, or offering for sale the Accused Products, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally induced users of the Accused Products to directly infringe one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including, by: (1) providing instructions or information, for example on its publicly available website, to explain how to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner; and (2) touting these infringing uses of the Accused Products in advertisements, including but not limited to, those on its website.

55. At least as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint, Defendant has indirectly infringed, and continues to indirectly infringe, the Patentsin-Suit within the United States by contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271(c). Defendant is aware, at least as early as the date of receipt of the Correspondence, that components of the Accused Products are a material and SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1

-10-

substantial part of the invention claimed by the Patents-in-Suit, and that they are designed for a use that is both patented and infringing, and that has no substantial non-infringing uses.

56. Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit has injured Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages from Defendant (or any successor entity to Defendant).

VI. <u>COUNT II</u>

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,664,263

57. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth here.

58. Defendant makes, uses, sells, imports, or offers for sale in the United States, without authority, products, equipment, or services that infringe one or more claims of the '263 Patent, including without limitation, the Pandora, Pandora Plus, and/or Pandora Premium music streaming services and associated apps ("Accused Products"). *See* Exhibit D, https://www.pandora.com/upgrade (last accessed September 26, 2018).

59. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '263 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, importing, or selling the Accused Products. *See* '263 Patent Claim Chart, attached hereto as Exhibit F. Plaintiff notes that the '263 Claim Chart and analysis constitute a preliminary and exemplary infringement analysis based on publicly available information. Plaintiff has not obtained discovery from Defendant, nor has Defendant disclosed any analysis in support of any purported noninfringement positions. Plaintiff hereby specifically reserves the right to supplement and/or amend the positions taken in this preliminary and exemplary infringement analysis, including with respect to literal infringement and infringement under the doctrine of equivalents, if and when warranted by further information obtained by Plaintiff during the pendency of litigation, including information adduced through fact discovery, claim construction, expert discovery, and/or further analysis SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 60. At least as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint, Defendant indirectly infringed and continues to infringe the Patents-in-Suit within the United States by inducement under 35 U.S.C. §271(b). By failing to cease making, using, selling, importing, or offering for sale the Accused Products, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally induced users of the Accused Products to directly infringe one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including, by: (1) providing instructions or information, for example on its publicly available website, to explain how to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner; and (2) touting these infringing uses of the Accused Products in advertisements, including but not limited to, those on its website.

61. At least as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint, Defendant has indirectly infringed, and continues to indirectly infringe, the Patentsin-Suit within the United States by contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271(c). Defendant is aware, at least as early as the date of receipt of the Correspondence, that components of the Accused Products are a material and substantial part of the invention claimed by the Patents-in-Suit, and that they are designed for a use that is both patented and infringing, and that has no substantial non-infringing uses.

62. Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit has injured Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages from Defendant (or any successor entity to Defendant).

VII. <u>COUNT III</u>

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,265,276

63. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth here.

64. Defendant makes, uses, sells, imports, or offers for sale in the United States, without authority, products, equipment, or services that infringe one or more claims of the '276 Patent, including without limitation, the Pandora, Pandora Plus, and/or Pandora Premium music streaming services and associated apps ("Accused SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT -12-

1

Products"). *See* Exhibit D, https://www.pandora.com/upgrade (last accessed September 26, 2018).

65. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 9 of the '276 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, importing, or selling the Accused Products. *See* '276 Patent Claim Chart, attached hereto as Exhibit G. Plaintiff notes that the '276 Claim Chart and analysis constitute a preliminary and exemplary infringement analysis based on publicly available information. Plaintiff has not obtained discovery from Defendant, nor has Defendant disclosed any analysis in support of any purported non-infringement positions. Plaintiff hereby specifically reserves the right to supplement and/or amend the positions taken in this preliminary and exemplary infringement analysis, including with respect to literal infringement and infringement under the doctrine of equivalents, if and when warranted by further information obtained by Plaintiff during the pendency of litigation, including information adduced through fact discovery, claim construction, expert discovery, and/or further analysis

66. At least as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint, Defendant indirectly infringed and continues to infringe the Patents-in-Suit within the United States by inducement under 35 U.S.C. §271(b). By failing to cease making, using, selling, importing, or offering for sale the Accused Products, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally induced users of the Accused Products to directly infringe one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including, by: (1) providing instructions or information, for example on its publicly available website, to explain how to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner; and (2) touting these infringing uses of the Accused Products in advertisements, including but not limited to, those on its website.

67. At least as early as the date of service of the Original Complaint, Defendant has indirectly infringed, and continues to indirectly infringe, the Patentsin-Suit within the United States by contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1

\$271(c). Defendant is aware, at least as early as the date of receipt of the Correspondence, that components of the Accused Products are a material and substantial part of the invention claimed by the Patents-in-Suit, and that they are designed for a use that is both patented and infringing, and that has no substantial non-infringing uses.

68. Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit has injured Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages from Defendant (or any successor entity to Defendant).

VIII. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Enter judgment that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
- B. Enter judgement that Defendant has induced infringement and continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit;
- C. Enter judgement that Defendant has contributed to and continues to contribute to infringement of one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit;
- D. Enter judgement that Defendant's infringement has been willful;
- E. Award Plaintiff past and future damages, to be paid by Defendant, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty and adequate to compensate Plaintiff for such past and future damages, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit through the date that such judgment is entered in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284, and increase such award by up to three times the amount found or assessed in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284;
- F. Declare this case exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285; and
- G. Award Plaintiff its costs, disbursements, attorneys' fees, and such further and additional relief as is deemed appropriate by this Court.

С	se 2:18-cv-04525-JAK-JPR Docu	ment 35	Filed 09/26/18	Page 15 of 16 Page ID #:969					
1									
2	IX. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>								
3	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.								
4	a that by jury on an issues so the	ion.							
5									
6	Dated: September 26, 201	8	Respectf	fully submitted,					
7			By: <u>/s/ J</u>	effrey Francis Craft					
8				Francis Craft SBN 147186 levlinlawfirm.com					
9			DEVLIN	I LAW FIRM LLC					
10				Broom St., 1st Floor gton, DE 19806					
11				2) 449-9010 2) 353-4251					
12				for Plaintiff					
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19 20									
20									
21 22									
22									
23									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT -15-								

С	ase 2:18-cv-04525-JAK-JPR Document 35 Filed 09/26/18 Page 16 of 16 Page ID #:970
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	This is to certify that a true and correct copy of this document has been served on
3	all parties through counsel of record on this September 26, 2018 via the Court's CM/ECF
4	system.
5	
6	By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey Francis Craft</u>
7	Jeffrey Francis Craft SBN 147186 jcraft@devlinlawfirm.com
8	DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC
9	1306 N. Broom St., 1st Floor Wilmington, DE 19806
10	Tel. (302) 449-9010
11	Fax. (302) 353-4251 Attorney for Plaintiff
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT