1 2 3	Alfredo A. Bismonte (Cal. Bar. No. 136154) Remington A. Lenton-Young (Cal. Bar. No. 2 Beck, Bismonte & Finley, LLP 150 Almaden Blvd, 10 th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Tel: (408) 938-7900	95392)
4	Fax: (408) 938-0790 Email: abismonte@beckllp.com rlenton-young@beckllp.com	
5	, , ,	
6	Of counsel Wesley W. Whitmyer, Jr. (pro hac vice)	
7	Michael J. Kosma (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Stephen F.W. Ball, Jr. (<i>subject to pro hac vice</i>	admission)
8	Christopher J. Stankus (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Robert D. Keeler (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	,
9	Whitmyer IP Group LLC. 600 Summer Street, 3 rd Floor	
0	Stamford, Connecticut 06901	
	Tel: (203) 703-0800 Fax: (203) 703-0801	
1	Email: www.hitmyer@whipgroup.com mkosma@whipgroup.com	
2	sball@whipgroup.com	
3	cstankus@whipgroup.com rkeeler@whipgroup.com	
4	litigation@whipgroup.com	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc.	
6		
7	UNITED STATES COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA, INC.	Case No. 5:18-cv-06153
20	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
21	v.	PATENT INFRINGEMENT
22	STRYKER CORPORATION AND	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23	STRYKER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
,		

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc. ("KSEA") files this first amended complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1) against Defendants Stryker Corporation and Stryker Communications, Inc. ("Stryker") and alleges as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 1. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq., and seeking injunctive relief and damages under 35 U.S.C. §§ 283 285, arising from Stryker's unauthorized use of KSEA's patented technology in connection with Stryker's making, using, inducing others to use, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling infringing products.
- 2. KSEA brings this action to protect its reputation as an innovator, retain control over its intellectual property, prevent its technology from being unlawfully exploited by Stryker, and to avoid irretrievably lost sales.
- 3. KSEA hereby seeks: (1) injunctive relief against Stryker's continued unauthorized, improper and willful commercial use and exploitation of its patented technology; and (2) all damages arising from Stryker's past and present infringement, including all statutory damages, and KSEA's attorneys' fees and costs for having to bring this suit to enforce its rights.

PARTIES

- 4. KSEA is a California corporation having a principal place of business at 2151 E. Grand Avenue, El Segundo, California 90245.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Stryker Corporation is a Michigan corporation having a place of business at 2825 Airview Boulevard, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49002. Upon information and belief, Stryker Corporation's unincorporated division, Stryker Endoscopy, has a place of business at 5900 Optical Court, San Jose, California 95138.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Stryker Communications, Inc. is a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 571 Silveron Boulevard, Flower Mound,

Texas 75028. Upon information and belief, Defendant Stryker Communications, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Stryker Corporation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because this is a civil action arising under the Patent Act.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and/or 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to KSEA's claims occurred in this District and because Stryker is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Furthermore, Stryker has committed acts of infringement in this District and has a regular and established place of business in this District.
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Stryker because it systematically transacts and does business in this District by, *inter alia*, having designed, having manufactured, having offered for sale, having sold, continuing to design, continuing to manufacture, continuing to offer for sale, and/or continuing to sell infringing products and/or services, including but not limited to its 1588 AIM (Advanced Imaging Modality) Video Camera ("1588 Camera System"), SwitchPoint Infinity 3 ("SPI3") and Stryker Digital Capture 3 ("SDC3") System ("SPI3/SDC3 System"), and SDC3 with Connected OR Operating System and iSuite AV Router System ("SDC3/Connected OR System"), and their related products, services, and components (collectively, "Accused Products"), in this District.
- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Stryker because Stryker has a regular and established place of business in this District, including Stryker Corporation's unincorporated division, Stryker Endoscopy, located at 5900 Optical Court, San Jose, California 95138. Stryker has been doing business in this District, and has been and is designing, making, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, and selling products that infringe KSEA's patent rights to persons located within this District.
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Stryker because Stryker markets its products through physical sales and its operation of an interactive website, available at

www.stryker.com. Stryker's website is publicly accessible to consumers throughout the United States, in California, and in this District. Stryker attends tradeshows in California and sells its products to consumers in California, including the infringing products at issue in this litigation.

- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Stryker because Stryker has consented to jurisdiction in this District in an ongoing patent infringement action between the parties. *See Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc. v. Stryker Corp. and Stryker Communications, Inc.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-00876-RS ("KSEA v. Stryker II"). KSEA v. Stryker II involves two of the same patents-at-issue in this Action.
- 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Stryker because, *inter alia*, Stryker: (1) transacts business within this District; (2) contracts to supply goods or services in this District; (3) manufactures Accused Products in this District; (4) committed tortious acts within this District; (5) has committed tortious acts causing injury to KSEA within this District; (6) regularly does or solicits business, or engages in other persistent course of conduct, or derives substantial revenue from goods used or consumed or services rendered, in this District; (7) expects or should reasonably expect its acts to have consequences in this District and derives substantial revenue from interstate or international commerce; (8) has systematic and continuous contacts with this District; (9) continues to transact and do business in this District; (10) has websites and social media accounts that are accessible in this District; and (11) has a place of business in this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

14. Assignment on a district-wide basis is proper pursuant to the District's assignment plan and Civil Local Rule 3-2(c) because this is an intellectual property action.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. KSEA brings this action to cease Stryker's continued willful infringement of KSEA's patented technology that spans over a decade.
- 16. On November 2, 2007, KSEA sued Stryker for its infringement of three patents related to integrated operating room systems. *See Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc. v.*

Stryker, Inc. and Stryker Communications, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:07-cv-02702-JPM; transferred to the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:09-cv-00355-WHA ("KSEA v. Stryker I"). On November 23, 2012, the parties voluntarily dismissed KSEA v. Stryker I after executing a confidential settlement and license agreement.

- 17. On February 26, 2014, KSEA sued Stryker in the Northern District of California for its infringement of four patents related to video imaging and integrated operating room systems. *See KSEA v. Stryker II*). A fifth patent was added on June 27, 2014. *KSEA v. Stryker II* involves two of the same asserted patents in this Action, United States Patent Nos. 7,471,310 ("the '310 patent") and 8,439,821 ("the '821 patent"). Although Stryker has argued in *KSEA v. Stryker II* that it obtained a license to KSEA's patents from the *KSEA v. Stryker I* settlement agreement, the Court held that the settlement agreement was limited to only the asserted patents in *KSEA v. Stryker I.* (*KSEA v. Stryker II*, Dkt. 93.)
- 18. In 2015, Stryker filed eight Petitions for Inter Partes Review (IPR) of the asserted patents in *KSEA v. Stryker II*, including two IPRs against the '310 patent and one IPR against the '821 patent. (*Id.* at Dkt. 138.) The Patent Trial and Review Board declined to institute trials on the petitions relating to the '310 and '821 patents. (*Id.* at Dkt. 151.)

The Patents

- 19. KSEA is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '310 patent, entitled "Intelligent Camera Head," which was duly and legally issued by the United States

 Patent and Trademark Office on December 30, 2008. A copy of the patent is attached as Exhibit A.
 - 20. Representative claim 1 of the '310 patent reads:
 - 1. A video imaging system comprising:
 - a camera control unit processing a continuous stream of digital video data;
 - a cable, connected to said camera control unit, for transmitting the stream of digital video data to said camera control unit; and
 - a camera head, connected to said cable, for providing the stream of digital video data, said camera head including;

- an imager, for generating an analog stream of video data;
- a timing generator, generating a timing signal particular to said camera head, the timing signal actuating said imager and sent to said camera control unit;
- a converter, for converting the analog stream of video data into the stream of digital video data;
- a serializer, for serializing the stream of digital video data for transmission over said cable;
- at least one digital serial driver;
- a processor; and
- a memory device, accessible by said processor, containing camera head information;
- said camera control unit having at least one digital serial receiver and is controlled based at least in part upon said timing signal particular to said camera head.
- 21. Stryker has been on notice of the '310 patent since at least June 15, 2011. Since becoming aware of the '310 patent, Stryker has continued to develop, make, use, sell, and offer to sell the infringing 1588 Camera System, thereby willfully and knowingly infringing the '310 patent.
- 22. KSEA is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '821 patent, entitled "System and Method for the Central Control of Devices Used During an Operation," which was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 14, 2013. A copy of the patent is attached as Exhibit B.
 - 23. Representative claim 1 of the '821 patent reads:
 - 1. A system for controlling medical devices, comprising:
 - a first controller;
 - at least one medical device having safety-related functions controlled by said first controller;
 - a second controller in communication with said first controller;
 - at least one device having non-safety-related functions controlled by said second controller;
 - wherein said second controller can only control devices that do not have safetyrelated functions;
 - a touch panel that communicates a control command associated with said at least one medical device having safety-related functions and a control command

28

associated with said at least one device having non-safety related functions to said second controller;

- wherein said second controller controls said at least one device having nonsafety-related functions based on the control command associated therewith received from said touch panel; and
- wherein said second controller communicates the control command associated with said at least one medical device having safety related functions received from said touch panel to said first controller.
- 24. Stryker has been on notice of the '821 patent since at least June 27, 2014. Since becoming aware of the '821 patent, Stryker has continued to develop, make, use, sell, and offer to sell the infringing SPI3/SDC3 System and SDC3/Connected OR System, thereby willfully and knowingly infringing the '821 patent.
- 25. KSEA is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to United States Patent No. 9,417,614 ("the '614 patent"), entitled "System For the Central Control of Devices Used During an Operation," which was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 16, 2016. A copy of the patent is attached as Exhibit C.
 - 26. Representative claim 1 of the '614 patent reads:
 - 1. A system for controlling medical devices, comprising:
 - a first network including a first controller and at least one medical device connected to said first controller by a first bus;
 - a first input device from which said first controller receives a control command associated with said at least one medical device;
 - a second network including a second controller and at least one additional device connected to said second controller by a second bus different than said first bus; and
 - a second input device from which said second controller receives a control command associated with said at least one additional device;
 - wherein said first controller controls said at least one medical device based on the control commands received from said first input device;
 - wherein said second controller controls said at least one additional device based on the control commands received from said second input device;
 - wherein said first controller is connected to said second controller; and
 - wherein said second controller communicates control commands for said at least one medical device received from said second input device to said first controller to control said at least one medical device.

27. The '614 patent is a divisional of the '821 patent. Stryker has been on notice of the '821 patent family since at least June 27, 2014, and thus, has been on notice of the '614 patent at least since its issuance on August 16, 2016. Since becoming aware of the '614 patent, Stryker has continued to develop, make, use, sell, and offer to sell the infringing SPI3/SDC3 System and SDC3/Connected OR System, thereby willfully and knowingly infringing the '614 patent.

The Infringing 1588 Camera System

- 28. Upon information and belief, Stryker's 1588 Camera System includes, but is not limited to, several features that: produce still and video images in the surgical field during surgical endoscopic procedures; are sensitive to the visible and infrared spectrum; and accept a variety of rigid and flexible scopes. (*See e.g.*, Ex. D, p. 5.)
- 29. Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System's 510(K) Premarket Notification is entitled "Stryker 1488 HD Video Camera with Infrared Compatibility" and its 510(K) Summary is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System is a new model version of its 1488 Camera System, which KSEA has accused of infringement of the '310 patent in KSEA v. Stryker II.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Stryker has sold and offered for sale, and continues to sell and offer for sale the 1588 Camera System to KSEA's past and potential customers.
- 32. Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System and related products are manufactured by Stryker.
- 33. Upon information and belief, a picture of the 1588 Camera System's head is shown below and available at https://www.stryker.com/us/en/endoscopy/products/1588-aim-camera-system.html.



The Infringing SPI3/SDC3 System

- 34. Upon information and belief, Stryker's SPI3 product can be connected to and communicate with its SDC3 product, which is referred to herein as the SPI3/SDC3 System.
- 35. Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System includes, but is not limited to, several features that: provide a central point of control and integration of ancillary equipment, audio, video, and data routing, as well as teleconferencing for medical personnel; capture digital images and video during surgical procedures; and control electronic devices used in the operating room, such as medical cameras, insufflators, light sources, and pumps. (*See e.g.*, Ex. G, p. 7; Ex. H, p. 6.)
- 36. Upon information and belief, Stryker has sold and offered for sale, and continues to sell and offer for sale the SPI3/SDC3 System to KSEA's past and potential customers.
- 37. Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System and related products are manufactured by Stryker.

The Infringing SDC3/Connected OR System

- 38. Upon information and belief, Stryker's Connected OR product is a software package for SDC3, and SDC3 with Connected OR can be connected to and communicate with Stryker's iSuite AV Router product, which is referred to herein as the SDC3/Connected OR System.
- 39. Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System provides several features that include, but are not limited to: capturing digital images and video during surgical procedures; controlling electronic devices used in the operating room, such as medical cameras, insufflators, light sources, and pumps; and providing a graphical user interface that unifies workflows for digital capture, documentation, routing, OR communication, and device control. (*See e.g.*, Ex. H, p. 6; Ex. I, p. 8.)
- 40. Upon information and belief, Stryker has sold and offered for sale, and continues to sell and offer for sale the SDC3/Connected OR System to KSEA's past and potential customers.
- 41. Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System and related products are manufactured by Stryker.
- 42. Upon information and belief, a picture of a SDC3/Connected OR System is shown below and available at https://www.stryker.com/us/en/communications/products/connected-or-operating-system.html.

- 43. KSEA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is directly infringing at least claims 1-8, 15-26 of the '310 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the 1588 Camera System without the authorization of KSEA.
- 45. Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System infringes the '310 patent under the doctrine of equivalents at least because the differences, if any, between the features of the 1588 Camera System and the limitations of at least claims 1-8, 15-26 of the '310 patent are insubstantial and because the features of the 1588 Camera System have substantially the same function, and operate in substantially the same way to achieve the same result as the limitations of at least claims 1-8, 15-26 of the '310 patent.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is inducing others to infringe at least claims 1-8, 15-26 of the '310 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by its sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the 1588 Camera System.

- 47. Upon information and belief, Stryker has purposefully and voluntarily placed the 1588 Camera System in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by end users in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 48. Upon information and belief, Stryker's sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the 1588 Camera System have been and are made with the specific intent that the 1588 Camera System be used to infringe the '310 patent.
- 49. Upon information and belief, Stryker is jointly and severally liable with endusers who use Stryker's 1588 Camera System to infringe the '310 patent.
- 50. Upon information and belief, Stryker has not indemnified end-users for their infringement.
- 51. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is contributing to the infringement of at least claims 1-8, 15-26 of the '310 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by its sale of the 1588 Camera System.
- 52. Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System is material to practicing the invention of the '310 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to Stryker to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '310 patent.
- 53. These allegations are based on KSEA's current understanding of Stryker's 1588 Camera System and KSEA reserves the right to amend them as more information becomes available.
- 54. In *KSEA v. Stryker II*, KSEA alleged that the claimed "imager" is met by the pixel array of the CMOS chip in the 1488 Camera Head. KSEA alleges that Stryker's 1588 Camera System infringes the '310 patent at least for these same reasons.
- 55. Regarding representative claim 1 of the '310 patent, upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System is a video imaging system. (*See e.g.*, Ex. D, p. 5.) Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System comprises a camera control unit processing a continuous stream of digital video data. (*See e.g.*, Ex. D, p. 5, 7-8.) Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System comprises a cable, connected to said camera control unit, for transmitting the

25

26

27

28

stream of digital video data to said camera control unit. (See e.g., Ex. D, p. 5, 7-9.) Upon information and belief, the 1588 Camera System comprises a camera head, connected to said cable, for providing the stream of digital video data. (See e.g., Ex. D, p. 5, 7-9.) Upon information and belief, said camera head includes: an imager, for generating an analog stream of video data (see e.g., Ex. D, p. 9, 49; Ex. F); a timing generator, generating a timing signal particular to said camera head, the timing signal actuating said imager and sent to said camera control unit (see e.g., Ex. D, p. 9, 49; Ex. F (upon information and belief, a timing generator is in the same chip as the imager and/or processor)); a converter for converting the analog stream of video data into the stream of digital video data (see e.g., Ex. D, p. 9, 49; Ex. F (upon information and belief, a converter is in the same chip as the imager and/or processor)); a serializer for serializing the stream of digital video data for transmission over said cable (see e.g., Ex. D, p. 9, 49; Ex. F (upon information and belief, a serializer is in the same chip as the imager and/or processor)); at least one digital serial driver (see e.g., Ex. F (upon information and belief, at least one digital serial driver is in the same chip as the imager and/or processor); a processor (see e.g., Ex. F); and a memory device, accessible by said processor, containing camera head information (see e.g., Ex. F). Upon information and belief, said camera control unit has at least one digital serial receiver and is controlled based at least in part upon said timing signal particular to said camera head. (See e.g., Ex. D, p. 7-8.)

- 56. Stryker's infringement continues in willful disregard of KSEA's rights.
- 57. KSEA has suffered and continues to suffer damage from loss of sales and customers by Stryker's infringement of the '310 patent, and claims all damages to which it is entitled, including but not limited to lost sales and profits and reasonable royalties.
- 58. The harm to KSEA resulting from the infringing acts of Stryker is irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '821 PATENT BY STRYKER WITH ITS SPI3/SDC3 SYSTEM

- 59. KSEA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 60. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is directly infringing at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the SPI3/SDC3 System along with products for communicating therewith without the authorization of KSEA.
- 61. Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System infringes the '821 patent under the doctrine of equivalents at least because the differences, if any, between the features of the SPI3/SDC3 System and the limitations of at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent are insubstantial and because the features of the SPI3/SDC3 System have substantially the same function, and operate in substantially the same way to achieve the same result as the limitations of at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent.
- 62. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is inducing others to infringe at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by its sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SPI3/SDC3 System.
- 63. Upon information and belief, Stryker has purposefully and voluntarily placed the SPI3/SDC3 System in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by end users in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 64. Upon information and belief, Stryker's sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SPI3/SDC3 System have been made with the specific intent that the SPI3/SDC3 System be used to infringe the '821 patent.
- 65. Upon information and belief, Stryker is jointly and severally liable with endusers who use Stryker's SPI3/SDC3 System to infringe the '821 patent.
- 66. Upon information and belief, Stryker has not indemnified end-users for their infringement.

11

14

18

23

- 67. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is contributing to the infringement of at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by its sale of the SPI3/SDC3 System.
- 68. Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System is material to practicing the invention of the '821 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to Stryker to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '821 patent.
- 69. These allegations are based on KSEA's current understanding of Stryker's SPI3/SDC3 System and KSEA reserves the right to amend them as more information becomes available.
- 70. Regarding representative claim 1 of the '821 patent, upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System is a system for controlling medical devices. (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 7; Ex. H, p. 6.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 system comprises a first controller, which is the SDC3 product. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 6.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 system comprises at least one medical device having safety-related functions controlled by said first controller (SDC3). (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 57; Ex. H, p. 6, 19-20, 22.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 system comprises a second controller, which is the SPI3 product, in communication with said first controller (SDC3). (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 16, 57.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 system comprises at least one device having non-safety-related functions controlled by said second controller (SPI3). (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 7, 15, 21, 57-68.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (SPI3) can only control devices that do not have safety-related functions. (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 7, 15, 21, 57-68.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 system comprises a touch panel that communicates a control command associated with said at least one medical device having safety-related functions and a control command associated with said at least one device having non-safety related functions to said second controller (SPI3). (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 17-18, 57-68; Ex. H, p. 21.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (SPI3) controls said at least one device having non-safety-related functions based on the control command associated therewith received from said touch panel.

(*See e.g.*, Ex. G, p. 15, 17-18, 21, 57.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (SPI3) communicates the control command associated with said at least one medical device having safety related functions received from said touch panel to said first controller (SDC3). (*See e.g.*, Ex. G, p. 16-18, 57; Ex. H, p. 21.)

- 71. Stryker's infringement continues in willful disregard of KSEA's rights.
- 72. KSEA suffered and continues to suffer damage by loss of sales and customers by Stryker's infringement of the '821 patent, and claims all damages to which it is entitled, including but not limited to lost sales and profits and reasonable royalties.
- 73. The harm to KSEA resulting from the infringing acts of Stryker is irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '614 PATENT BY STRYKER WITH ITS SPI3/SDC3 SYSTEM

- 74. KSEA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 75. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is directly infringing at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the SPI3/SDC3 System along with products for communicating therewith without the authorization of KSEA.
- 76. Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System infringes the '614 patent under the doctrine of equivalents at least because the differences, if any, between the features of the SPI3/SDC3 System and the limitations of at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent are insubstantial and because the features of the SPI3/SDC3 System have substantially the same function, and operate in substantially the same way to achieve the same result as the limitations of at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent.

- 77. Upon information and belief, Stryker has and is still inducing others to infringe at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by its sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SPI3/SDC3 System.
- 78. Upon information and belief, Stryker has purposefully and voluntarily placed the SPI3/SDC3 System in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by end users in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 79. Upon information and belief, Stryker's sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SPI3/SDC3 System have been made with the specific intent that the SPI3/SDC3 System be used to infringe the '614 patent.
- 80. Upon information and belief, Stryker is jointly and severally liable with endusers who use Stryker's SPI3/SDC3 System to infringe the '614 patent.
- 81. Upon information and belief, Stryker has not indemnified end-users for their infringement.
- 82. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is contributing to the infringement of at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by its sale of the SPI3/SDC3 System.
- 83. Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System is material to practicing the invention of the '614 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to Stryker to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '614 patent.
- 84. These allegations are based on KSEA's current understanding of Stryker's SPI3/SDC3 System and KSEA reserves the right to amend them as more information becomes available.
- 85. Regarding representative claim 1 of the '614 patent, upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System is a system for controlling medical devices. (*See e.g.*, Ex. G, p. 7; Ex. H, p. 6.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System comprises a first network including a first controller, which is the SDC3 product, and at least one medical device connected to said first controller (SDC3) by a first bus. (*See e.g.*, Ex. G, p. 57; Ex. H, p. 6, 19-22.) Upon

25

2627

28

information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System comprises a first input device from which said first controller (SDC3) receives a control command associated with said at least one medical device. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 19-47.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System comprises a second network including a second controller, which is the SPI3 product, and at least one additional device connected to said second controller (SPI3) by a second bus different than said first bus. (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 7, 15, 57-68.) Upon information and belief, the SPI3/SDC3 System comprises a second input device from which said second controller (SPI3) receives a control command associated with said at least one additional device. (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 17-18, 57-68.) Upon information and belief, said first controller (SDC3) controls said at least one medical device based on the control commands received from said first input device. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 19-47.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (SPI3) controls said at least one additional device based on the control commands received from said second input device. (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 17-18, 57-68.) Upon information and belief, said first controller (SDC3) is connected to said second controller (SPI3). (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 16, 57; Ex. H, p. 21.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (SPI3) communicates control commands for said at least one medical device received from said second input device to said first controller (SDC3) to control said at least one medical device. (See e.g., Ex. G, p. 16-18, 57; Ex. H, p. 19-22.)

- 86. Stryker's infringement continues in willful disregard of KSEA's rights.
- 87. KSEA suffered and continues to suffer damage by loss of sales and customers by Stryker's infringement of the '614 patent, and claims all damages to which it is entitled, including but not limited to lost sales and profits and reasonable royalties.
- 88. The harm to KSEA resulting from the infringing acts of Stryker is irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '821 PATENT BY STRYKER WITH ITS SDC3/CONNECTED OR SYSTEM

KSEA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the foregoing

89.

paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

90. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still are directly infringing at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the SDC3/Connected OR System along with products for

communicating therewith without the authorization of KSEA.

- 91. Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System infringes the '821 patent under the doctrine of equivalents at least because the differences, if any, between the features of the SDC3/Connected OR System and the limitations of at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent are insubstantial and because the features of the SDC3/Connected OR System have substantially the same function, and operate in substantially the same way to achieve the same result as the limitations of at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent.
- 92. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and is still inducing others to infringe at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by its sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SDC3/Connected OR System.
- 93. Upon information and belief, Stryker has purposefully and voluntarily placed the SDC3/Connected OR System in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by end users in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 94. Upon information and belief, Stryker's sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SDC3/Connected OR System have been and are made with the specific intent that SDC3/Connected OR System be used to infringe the '821 patent.
- 95. Upon information and belief, Stryker is jointly and severally liable with endusers who use Stryker's SDC3/Connected OR System to infringe the '821 patent.
- 96. Upon information and belief, Stryker has not indemnified end-users for their infringement.

10

11

8

13

- 97. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is contributing to the infringement of at least claims 1-11 of the '821 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by its sale of the SDC3/Connected OR System.
- 98. Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System is material to practicing the invention of the '821 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to Stryker to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '821 patent.
- 99. These allegations are based on KSEA's current understanding of Stryker's SDC3/Connected OR System and KSEA reserves the right to amend them as more information becomes available.
- Regarding representative claim 1 of the '821 patent, upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System is a system for controlling medical devices. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 6; Ex. I, p. 8.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a first controller, which is the SDC3 product. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 6.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises at least one medical device having safety-related functions controlled by said first controller (SDC3). (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 6, 19-20, 22; Ex. I, 19-20.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a second controller, which is the Connected OR and the iSuite AV Router, in communication with said first controller (SDC3). (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 16.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises at least one device having non-safety-related functions controlled by said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router). (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 16, 20-21.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) can only control devices that do not have safety-related functions. (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 16, 20-21.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a touch panel that communicates a control command associated with said at least one medical device having safety-related functions and a control command associated with said at least one device having non-safety related functions to said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV

Router). (*See e.g.*, Ex. I, p. 8, 19-21.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) controls said at least one device having non-safety-related functions based on the control command associated therewith received from said touch panel. (*See e.g.*, Ex. I, p. 8, 16, 20-21.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) communicates the control command associated with said at least one medical device having safety related functions received from said touch panel to said first controller (SCD3). (*See e.g.*, Ex. H, p. 6, 19-20, 22; Ex. I, p. 8, 16, 19-20.)

- 101. Stryker's infringement continues in willful disregard of KSEA's rights.
- 102. KSEA suffered and continues to suffer damage by loss of sales and customers by Stryker's infringement of the '821 patent, and claims all damages to which it is entitled, including but not limited to lost sales and profits and reasonable royalties.
- 103. The harm to KSEA resulting from the infringing acts of Stryker is irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

<u>COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '614 PATENT</u> BY STRYKER WITH ITS SDC3/CONNECTED OR SYSTEM

- 104. KSEA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 105. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still are directly infringing at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the SDC3/Connected OR System along with products for communicating therewith without the authorization of KSEA.
- 106. Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System infringes the '614 patent under the doctrine of equivalents at least because the differences, if any, between the features of the SDC3/Connected OR System and the limitations of at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent are insubstantial and because the features of the SDC3/Connected

OR System have substantially the same function, and operate in substantially the same way to achieve the same result as the limitations of at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent.

- 107. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and is still inducing others to infringe at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by its sale, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SDC3/Connected OR System.
- 108. Upon information and belief, Stryker has purposefully and voluntarily placed the SDC3/Connected OR in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by end users in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 109. Upon information and belief, Stryker's sales, promotion, and/or instruction for use of the SDC3/Connected OR System have been made with the specific intent that the SDC3/Connected OR System be used to infringe the '614 patent.
- 110. Upon information and belief, Stryker is jointly and severally liable with endusers who use Stryker's SDC3/Connected OR System to infringe the '614 patent.
- 111. Upon information and belief, Stryker has not indemnified end-users for their infringement.
- 112. Upon information and belief, Stryker has been and still is contributing to the infringement of at least claims 1-13, 15-16 of the '614 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by its sale of the SDC3/Connected OR System.
- 113. Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System is material to practicing the invention of the '614 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to Stryker to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '614 patent.
- 114. These allegations are based on KSEA's current understanding of Stryker's SDC3/Connected OR System and KSEA reserves the right to amend them as more information becomes available.
- 115. Regarding representative claim 1 of the '614 patent, upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System is a system for controlling medical devices. (*See e.g.*, Ex. H, p.

2627

28

6; Ex. I, p. 8.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a first network including a first controller, which is the SDC3 product, and at least one medical device connected to said first controller (SDC3) by a first bus. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 6, 19-20, 22; Ex. I, p. 19-20.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a first input device from which said first controller (SDC3) receives a control command associated with said at least one medical device. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 19-47.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a second network including a second controller, which is the Connected OR and iSuite AV Router, and at least one additional device connected to said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) by a second bus different than said first bus. (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 16, 20-21.) Upon information and belief, the SDC3/Connected OR System comprises a second input device from which said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) receives a control command associated with said at least one additional device. (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 19-21.) Upon information and belief, said first controller (SDC3) controls said at least one medical device based on the control commands received from said first input device. (See e.g., Ex. H, p. 19-47.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) controls said at least one additional device based on the control commands received from said second input device. (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 19-21.) Upon information and belief, said first controller (SDC3) is connected to said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router). (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 16.) Upon information and belief, said second controller (Connected OR and iSuite AV Router) communicates control commands for said at least one medical device received from said second input device to said first controller (SDC3) to control said at least one medical device. (See e.g., Ex. I, p. 8, 16, 19-20.)

- 116. Stryker's infringement continues in willful disregard of KSEA's rights.
- 117. KSEA suffered and continues to suffer damage by loss of sales and customers by Stryker's infringement of the '614 patent, and claims all damages to which it is entitled to, including but not limited to lost sales and profits and reasonable royalties.

28

118. The harm to KSEA resulting from the infringing acts of Stryker is irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff KSEA prays for judgment as follows:

- A. That judgement be entered in favor of KSEA and against Stryker on each and every Claim in this First Amended Complaint;
- B. That Stryker be adjudicated and decreed to have infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and/or induced the infringement of the '310, '821, and '614 patents;
- C. That a permanent injunction be entered against Stryker, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with Stryker who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from any further infringement of the '310, '821, and '614 patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- D. That KSEA be awarded its damages, suffered by reason of the infringements by Stryker, together with prejudgment interest;
- E. That the damages awarded to KSEA be trebled pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 due to the willful acts of infringement complained of herein;
 - F. That this be declared an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
 - G. That KSEA be awarded its attorney's fees and costs; and
- H. That KSEA be awarded any other and further relief that this Court may deem just and proper and/or provided by law.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

/s/ Alfredo A. Bismonte
Alfredo A. Bismonte
Remington A. Lenton-Young

1 2	Beck, Bismonte & Finley, LLP 150 Almaden Blvd, 10 th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Tel: (408) 938-7900
3	Fax: (408) 938-0790 Email: abismonte@beckllp.com rlenton-young@beckllp.com
5	Of counsel Wesley W. Whitmyer, Jr. (pro hac vice)
6	Michael J. Kosma (pro hac vice) Stephen F.W. Ball, Jr. (subject to pro hac vice)
7	admission) Christopher J. Stankus (pro hac vice)
8	Robert D. Keeler (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Whitmyer IP Group LLC. 600 Summer Street, 3 rd Floor
9 10	Stamford, Connecticut 06901 Tel: (203) 703-0800
11	Fax: (203) 703-0801 Email: www.hitmyer@whipgroup.com
12	mkosma@whipgroup.com sball@whipgroup.com cstankus@whipgroup.com
13	rkeeler@whipgroup.com litigation@whipgroup.com
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff
15	KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA, INC.
16	
16 17	
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
17 18 19 20 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
117 118 119 120 121 122 122 123 124 125	