

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

---

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>Secure Cam, LLC</b>, a Wyoming limited liability company,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff, v.</p> <p><b>Babysense, LLC</b>, a Delaware corporation; <b>Hisense USA Corporation</b>, a Georgia corporation,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p> | <p>Civil Action No.</p><br><br><br><br><br><br><p style="text-align: center;">JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</p> |
|--|---|

---

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Secure Cam, LLC (“Plaintiff”) brings this complaint against Babysense, LLC (“Babysense”) and Hisense USA Corporation (“Hisense”) (collectively, “Defendants”). As its complaint against Defendants, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action under 35 U.S.C. § 271 for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,257,158 (“the ’158 Patent”).

**THE PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff Secure Cam, LLC, is a Wyoming limited liability company having a principal place of business at 30 N. Gould St. STE R, Sheridan, WY 82801.
3. Defendant Babysense, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company having a principal place of business at 3910 Adler Place, Suite 115, Bethlehem, PA.

4. Defendant Hisense USA Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Georgia with its principal place of business at 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because it arises under United States Patent Law.
6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because, *inter alia*, they regularly conduct business in the State of Delaware and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in the State of Delaware including by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling Accused Products within the State of Delaware and this Judicial District. Defendant Babysense is also incorporated in Delaware.
7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b). Defendants are subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because, *inter alia*, Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement including making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling Accused Products in this district, and/or importing Accused Products into this district; have an established place of business in this Judicial District and Defendant Babysense is incorporated in this Judicial District.

### **FACTS**

8. Plaintiff is the owner, by assignment, of the '158 Patent, titled "System for Transmitting Video Images over a Computer Network to a Remote Receiver," which was duly and legally issued on August 14, 2007 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"), and claims priority from Provisional Application 60/085,818, which was filed on May 18, 1998.
9. A copy of the '158 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.
10. The claims of the '158 Patent are valid and enforceable.

**COUNT I: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) ('158 PATENT)**

11. Plaintiffs hereby incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 10 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
12. Claim 12 of the '158 Patent covers “[a] system for transmitting a real-time video and remote control commands over a digital network, said system comprising a transmitter containing one or more digitized frames of said real-time video being transmitted, the digital network connected to said transmitter, and one or more remote receivers connected to said network for receiving said video from said transmitter, wherein at least one of said receivers is configured to receive one or more control commands from a user, wherein said transmitter is configured to receive and interpret at least one of said control commands from said one of said receivers over said network, and wherein, upon interpretation of said control command, said transmitter dynamically changes the operation of said transmitter while said video is being transmitted, whereby said user can remotely control the operation of said transmitter in substantially real-time.”
13. Defendants manufacture, import into the United States, offer for sale, and/or sell baby monitors which infringe at least Claim 12 of the '158 Patent (“Accused Product(s)”).
14. Defendants’ Accused Product(s) include, without limitation, the Babysense Video Monitor.
15. A claim chart comparing Claim 12 of the '158 Patent to the Accused Product(s) is attached as Exhibit B.
16. The Accused Product(s) includes a monitoring system. *See* Exhibit B, p. 1.
17. The Accused Product(s) includes a transmitter module connected to the moveable camera that buffers and wirelessly sends the video feed supplied by the camera to an associated parent unit. *See* Exhibit B, p. 2.
18. The Accused Product(s) includes a 2.4 GHz frequency-hopping spread spectrum digital network that communicates the transmitter module and the parent unit. *See* Exhibit B, p. 3.

19. The Accused Product(s) includes a parent unit that receives video data captured by the movable camera, via the transmitter module over the wireless network. *See* Exhibit B, p. 4.
20. The Accused Product(s) includes buttons and associated software menu selections that allow the user of the of the parent unit to selectively, remotely, and digitally alter a characteristic of the video feed buffered and sent via the transmitter unit such that the parent unit sends commands to the transmitter module requiring that the video feed be delivered according to an initial digital resolution setting in real-time. *See* Exhibit B, p. 5.
21. The Accused Product(s) includes the transmitter module that receives and interprets the command signal from the parent unit. *See* Exhibit B, p. 6.
22. On information and belief, the operation of the transmitter module is changed when the user is given continuous control over the Accused Product. *See* Exhibit B, p. 7.
23. The Accused Product(s) includes the parent unit that remotely activates the capture of photos and video, while the video feed is buffered and sent by the transmitter module. *See* Exhibit B, p. 8.
24. Each one of the elements included in the Infringing System, itemized in paragraphs above, is an element in Claim 12 of the '158 Patent.
25. Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendants' ongoing infringement of the '158 Patent.
26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '158 Patent, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendants as follows:

- A. In favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '158 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;

- B. Requiring Defendants to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '158 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, but not less than a reasonable royalty;
- C. An accounting for all damages not presented at trial;
- D. An accounting for all infringing actions not adjudicated at trial; and
- E. For such other and further relief, as may be just and equitable.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues and causes of action triable to a jury.

Date: December 7, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Timothy Devlin*  
Timothy Devlin  
Delaware Bar No. 4241  
DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC  
1306 N. Broom Street, 1st Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19806  
Phone: (302) 449-9010  
tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com

Isaac Rabicoff  
*(Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending)*  
Kenneth Matuszewski  
*(Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending)*  
RABICOFF LAW LLC  
73 W Monroe St  
Chicago, IL 60603  
773-669-4590  
isaac@rabilaw.com  
kenneth@rabilaw.com