

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

UNILOC 2017 LLC, Plaintiff, v. BLACKBERRY CORPORATION, Defendant.	Case No. 3:18-cv-03066-N
---	--------------------------

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, Uniloc 2017 LLC (“Uniloc”), for its amended complaint against defendant, Blackberry Corporation (“Blackberry”), alleges:

THE PARTIES

1. Uniloc 2017 LLC is a Delaware limited liability company, having addresses at 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801; 620 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, California 92660; and 102 N. College Avenue, Suite 303, Tyler, Texas 75702.

2. Blackberry is a Delaware corporation, having a regular and established place of business in Irving, Texas.

JURISDICTION

3. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

4. Uniloc is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 7,020,106 (“the ’106 Patent”), entitled RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, which issued March 28, 2006,

claiming priority to an application filed August 10,2000. A copy of the '106 Patent was attached as Exhibit A to the original Complaint.

5. The '106 Patent describes in detail, and claims in various ways, inventions in systems, methods, and devices developed by Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. for improved communication of data using modes and channels.

6. The '106 Patent describes problems and shortcomings in the then-existing field of communications between portable devices and describes and claims novel and inventive technological improvements and solutions to those problems and shortcomings.

7. The written description of the '106 Patent describes in technical detail each of the limitations of the claims, allowing a person of ordinary skill in the art to understand what the limitations cover and how the combination of claim elements differed markedly from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic.

8. Blackberry imports, uses, offers for sale, and sells numerous electronic devices implementing Bluetooth version 3.0 + HS and above, including the following: BlackBerry Z10, BlackBerry Priv, BlackBerry Motion, BlackBerry KEY2, BlackBerry KEYone, BlackBerry Passport, BlackBerry Z3, BlackBerry Q10, BlackBerry Aurora, BlackBerry Classic, BlackBerry Z30, BlackBerry DTEK60, BlackBerry Q5, BlackBerry Leap, BlackBerry DTEK50, BlackBerry Porsche Design P'9983, BlackBerry Classic Non Camera, BlackBerry Porsche Design P'9982, BlackBerry Z20, and BlackBerry A10 (collectively, "Accused Infringing Devices").

9. The Accused Infringing Devices use a first device as a transceiver to transmit information to another Accused Infringing Device at a Basic Rate/Enhanced Data Rate (BR/EDR) in accordance with the Bluetooth version 3.0 + HS or higher specification and the receiving device then transmits information to the master device.

10. In those communications, the BR/EDR radio is used to perform discovery, association, connection establishment, and connection maintenance.

11. Once a connection has been established between a pair of Accused Infringing Devices using BR/EDR, the first device can discover whether the second device has a common AMP and cause transmission of data traffic to be moved from BR/EDR to AMP controllers.

12. The Accused Infringing Products can also communicate wirelessly with each other via an Alternate MAC/PHY (AMP) physical link and using WiFi (such as IEEE 802.11).

13. Blackberry has infringed, and continues to infringe, the '106 Patent by using, offering for sale, selling, and importing the Accused Infringing Devices. As exemplified in Exhibit 1 to this Amended Complaint, the Accused Infringing Devices incorporate each limitation of the asserted claims.

14. Blackberry knowingly and intentionally incorporates into the Accused Infringing Devices components and software that enable the devices to operate automatically as described above to infringe the '106 Patent and knows and intends that its customers use the Accused Infringing Devices in a manner that infringes.

15. In its marketing, promotional, and instructional materials, such as in the attached Exhibit 2, Blackberry intentionally instructs its customers to use the Accused Infringing Devices in a manner that causes the devices to operate in accordance with Bluetooth version 3.0 + HS and above functionality.

16. Blackberry has also infringed, and continues to infringe, the '106 patent by offering to sell, selling, and importing the Accused Infringing Devices, which devices are components of the systems claimed in the '106 patent and are used in the claimed methods and constitute a material part of the inventions. Blackberry knows portions of the software used in

the Accused Infringing Devices are especially written or especially adapted for use as described above in what Blackberry now knows to be infringement of the '106 patent; are not staple articles or commodities of commerce; and are not suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

17. Blackberry has had knowledge of the '106 Patent since, at the latest, the service of the complaint upon it in case no. 3:18-cv-01884. By the time of trial, Blackberry will have been on notice of Uniloc's infringement allegations and theory of infringement since that date of service, and thus will have known that its continued actions would induce and contribute to the infringement of the '106 Patent. Despite that, and as further evidence of its intent, Blackberry has refused to discontinue its infringing acts and has also induced infringement by failing to remove or distinguish infringing features of the Accused Infringing Devices or otherwise place a non-infringing limit on its use.

18. Blackberry may have infringed the '106 Patent through other software and devices utilizing the same or reasonably similar functionality, including other versions of the Accused Infringing Products.

19. Uniloc has been damaged by Blackberry's infringement of the '106 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Uniloc requests that the Court enter judgment against Blackberry:

- (A) declaring that Blackberry has infringed the '106 Patent;
- (B) awarding Uniloc its damages suffered as a result of Blackberry's infringement of the '106 Patent;
- (C) awarding Uniloc its costs, attorneys' fees, expenses, and interest, and
- (D) granting Uniloc such further relief as the Court finds appropriate.

Date: January 16, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kevin Gannon

Kevin Gannon
Massachusetts State Bar No. 640931
Aaron Jacobs
Massachusetts State Bar No. 677545
PRINCE LOBEL TYE LLP
One International Place, Suite 3700
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 456-8000
Fax: (617) 456-8100
Email: kgannon@princelobel.com
Email: ajacobs@princelobel.com

Edward R. Nelson III
ed@nbafirm.com
Texas State Bar No. 00797142
NELSON BUMGARDNER ALBRITTON P.C.
3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, TX 76107
Tel: (817) 377-9111

Shawn Latchford
shawn@nbafirm.com
Texas State Bar No. 24066603
NELSON BUMGARDNER ALBRITTON P.C.
111 West Tyler Street
Longview, Texas 75601
Tel: (903) 757-8449
Fax: (903) 758-7397

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system on January 16, 2019.

/s/ Kevin Gannon

Kevin Gannon