IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PFIZER INC., WARNER-LAMBERT)
COMPANY LLC, PF PRISM C.V., PFIZER)
MANUFACTURING HOLDINGS LLC and)
PFIZER PFE IRELAND)
PHARMACEUTICALS HOLDING 1 B.V.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
V.) C.A. No
)
APOTEX INC. and APOTEX CORP.,)
)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, PF PRISM C.V., Pfizer Manufacturing Holdings LLC, and Pfizer PFE Ireland Pharmaceuticals Holding 1 B.V. (collectively "Pfizer") file this Complaint for patent infringement against Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. (collectively, "Apotex"), and by their attorneys, hereby allege as follows:

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, and for a declaratory judgment of patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, that arises out of Apotex's submission of an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") seeking approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import generic versions of IBRANCE[®] (Palbociclib) capsules, 75 mg, 100 mg, and 125 mg, prior to the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 6,936,612 ("the '612 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,208,489 ("the '489 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 7,456,168 ("the '168 patent"). These three patents are referred to collectively herein as "the patents-in-suit."

2. Apotex Inc. notified Pfizer by letter dated March 14, 2019 ("Apotex's Notice Letter") that it had submitted to the FDA ANDA No. 213066 ("Apotex's ANDA"), seeking approval from the FDA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use and/or sale of generic Palbociclib capsules, 75mg, 100 mg, and 125 mg ("Apotex's ANDA Product") prior to the expiration of the patents-in-suit.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Pfizer Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and having a place of business at 235 East 42nd Street, New York, New York 10017. Pfizer Inc. is the holder of New Drug Application ("NDA") No. 207103 for the manufacture and sale of palbociclib tablets, 75 mg, 100 mg and 125 mg, which has been approved by the FDA.

4. Plaintiff Warner-Lambert Company LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and having a place of business at 235 East 42nd Street, New York, New York 10017.

5. Plaintiff PF PRISM C.V. is a limited partnership (*commanditaire vennootschap*) organized under the laws of the Netherlands, having its registered seat in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, that for all purposes is represented by and acting through its general partner Pfizer Manufacturing Holdings LLC, a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and having its address at 235 East 42nd Street, New York, New York 10017.

6. Plaintiff Pfizer PFE Ireland Pharmaceuticals Holding 1 B.V. is a private limited liability company (*besloten vennootschap*) organized under the laws of the Netherlands, having its registered seat in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, having its business address at Rivium Westlaan 142, 2909 LD, Capelle aan den IJssel, the Netherlands.

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 3 of 26 PageID #: 3

7. Upon information and belief, defendant Apotex Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada with its principal place of business at 150 Signet Drive, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M9L 1T9. Upon information and belief, Apotex Inc. is in the business of, among other things, manufacturing and selling generic versions of branded pharmaceutical drugs through various operating subsidiaries, including Apotex Corp.

8. Upon information and belief, defendant Apotex Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 2400 North Commerce Parkway, Suite 400, Weston, Florida 33326. Upon information and belief, Apotex Corp. is in the business of, among other things, manufacturing and selling generic versions of branded pharmaceutical products for the U.S. market.

9. Upon information and belief, Apotex Corp. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Apotex Inc.

10. Upon information and belief, Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. acted in concert to prepare and submit Apotex's ANDA to the FDA.

11. Upon information and belief, Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. know and intend that upon approval of Apotex's ANDA, Apotex Inc. will manufacture Apotex's ANDA Product and Apotex Corp. will directly or indirectly market, sell, and distribute Apotex's ANDA Product throughout the United States, including in Delaware. Upon information and belief, Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. are agents of each other and/or operate in concert as integrated parts of the same business group, including with respect to Apotex's ANDA Product, and enter into agreements with each other that are nearer than arm's length. Upon information and belief, Apotex Corp. participated in, assisted, and cooperated with Apotex Inc. in the acts complained of herein.

12. Upon information and belief, following any FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA, Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. will act in concert to distribute and sell Apotex's ANDA Product throughout the United States, including within Delaware.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 2201 and 2202.

14. Apotex Inc. is subject to personal jurisdiction in Delaware because, among other things, Apotex Inc., itself and through its wholly-owned subsidiary Apotex Corp., has purposefully availed itself of the benefits and protections of Delaware's laws such that it should reasonably anticipate being haled into court here. Upon information and belief, Apotex Inc., itself and through its subsidiary Apotex Corp., develops, manufactures, imports, markets, offers to sell, and/or sells generic drugs throughout the United States, including in the State of Delaware and therefore transacts business within the State of Delaware. In addition, Apotex Inc. is subject to personal jurisdiction in Delaware because, upon information and belief, it controls Apotex Corp. and therefore the activities of Apotex Corp. in this jurisdiction are attributed to Apotex Inc.

15. Apotex Corp. is subject to personal jurisdiction in Delaware because, among other things, it has purposely availed itself of the benefits and protections of Delaware's laws such that it should reasonably anticipate being haled into court here. Apotex Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, is qualified to do business in Delaware, and has appointed a registered agent for service of process in Delaware. It therefore has consented to general jurisdiction in Delaware. In addition, upon information and belief, Apotex Corp. develops, manufactures, imports, markets, offers to sell, and/or sells generic drugs

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 5 of 26 PageID #: 5

throughout the United States, including in the State of Delaware and therefore transacts business within the State of Delaware related to Pfizer's claims, and/or has engaged in systematic and continuous business contacts within the State of Delaware.

16. Apotex has previously used the process contemplated by the Hatch-Waxman Act to challenge branded pharmaceutical companies' patents by filing a certification of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) of the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV), serving a notice letter on those companies, and engaging in patent litigation arising from the process contemplated by the Hatch-Waxman Act.

17. Upon information and belief, Apotex, with knowledge of the Hatch-Waxman Act process, directed Apotex's Notice Letter to, inter alia, Pfizer Inc., an entity incorporated in Delaware, and alleged in Apotex's Notice Letter that Pfizer's patents are invalid. Upon information and belief, Apotex knowingly and deliberately challenged Pfizer's patent rights, and knew when it did so that it was triggering the forty-five day period for Pfizer to bring an action for patent infringement under the Hatch-Waxman Act.

18. Because Pfizer Inc. is incorporated in Delaware, Pfizer suffers injury and consequences from Apotex's filing of Apotex's ANDA, challenging Pfizer's patent rights, in Delaware. Upon information and belief, Apotex knew that it was deliberately challenging the patent rights of a Delaware entity and seeking to invalidate intellectual property held in Delaware. Apotex has been a litigant in connection with other infringement actions under the Hatch-Waxman Act, and reasonably should have anticipated that by sending Apotex's Notice Letter to Pfizer, a Delaware corporation, that it would be sued in Delaware for patent infringement.

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 6 of 26 PageID #: 6

19. Upon information and belief, if Apotex's ANDA is approved, Apotex will directly or indirectly manufacture, market, sell, and/or distribute Apotex's ANDA Product within the United States, including in Delaware, consistently with Apotex's practices for the marketing and distribution of other generic pharmaceutical products. Upon information and belief, Apotex regularly does business in Delaware, and its practices with other generic pharmaceutical products have involved placing those products into the stream of commerce for distribution throughout the United States, including in Delaware. Upon information and belief, Apotex's generic pharmaceutical products are used and/or consumed within and throughout the United States, Upon information and belief, Apotex's ANDA Product will be including in Delaware. prescribed by physicians practicing in Delaware, dispensed by pharmacies located within Delaware, and used by patients in Delaware. Each of these activities would have a substantial effect within Delaware and would constitute infringement of Pfizer's patents in the event that Apotex's ANDA Product is approved before the patents expire.

20. Upon information and belief, Apotex derives substantial revenue from generic pharmaceutical products that are used and/or consumed within Delaware, and which are manufactured by Apotex and/or for which Apotex Inc. or Apotex Corp. is the named applicant on approved ANDAs. Upon information and belief, various products for which Apotex Inc. or Apotex Corp. is the named applicant on approved ANDAs are available at retail pharmacies in Delaware.

21. Venue is proper in this district as to Apotex Inc. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because, inter alia, Apotex Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

22. Venue is proper in this district as to Apotex Corp. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because, *inter alia*, Apotex Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

COUNT I - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '612 PATENT

23. Pfizer incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1–22 as if fully set forth herein.

24. The inventors named on the '612 patent are Mark Barvian, Richard J. Booth, John Quin, III, Joseph T. Repine, Derek J. Sheehan, Peter L. Toogood, Scott N. Vanderwel, and Hairong Zhou.

25. The '612 patent, entitled "2-(Pyridin-2-ylamino)-pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7-ones" (attached as Exhibit A), was duly and legally issued on August 30, 2005.

26. Pfizer is the owner and assignee of the '612 patent.

27. Claim 1 of the '612 patent recites "[a] compound which is 6-Acetyl-8cyclopentyl-5-methyl-2-(5-piperazin-1-yl-pyridin-2-ylamino)-8H-pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7one."

28. Claim 2 of the '612 patent recites "A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of the compound according to claim 1 and a pharmaceutical carrier therefor."

29. $IBRANCE^{\text{(B)}}$ is covered by claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent, and the '612 patent has been listed in connection with $IBRANCE^{\text{(B)}}$ in the FDA's Orange Book.

30. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex notified Pfizer of the submission of Apotex's ANDA to the FDA. The purpose of this submission was to obtain approval under the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '612 patent.

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 8 of 26 PageID #: 8

31. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex also notified Pfizer that, as part of its ANDA, Apotex had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv) of the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 355 (j)(2)(B)(iv), with respect to the '612 patent. On information and belief, Apotex submitted its ANDA to the FDA containing certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '612 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product.

32. Apotex's ANDA Product and the use of Apotex's ANDA Product are covered by claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent.

33. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex did not contest the infringement of claim 1 or 2 of the '612 patent on any basis other than the alleged invalidity of those claims.

34. Apotex's submission of Apotex's ANDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product before the expiration of the '612 patent was an act of infringement of the '612 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

35. On information and belief, Apotex will engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distribution, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product immediately and imminently upon approval of its ANDA.

36. The manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product would infringe claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent.

37. On information and belief, the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product in accordance with, and as directed by, its proposed product labeling would infringe claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent.

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 9 of 26 PageID #: 9

38. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '612 patent when Apotex's ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval. Apotex's activities will be done with knowledge of the '612 patent and specific intent to infringe that patent.

39. On information and belief, Apotex knows that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '612 patent, that Apotex's ANDA Product is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, and that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, contribute to infringement of the '612 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of Apotex's ANDA.

40. Notwithstanding Apotex's knowledge of the claims of the '612 patent, Apotex has continued to assert its intent to manufacture, offer for sale, sell, distribute, and/or import Apotex's ANDA Product with its product labeling following FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA prior to the expiration of the '612 patent.

41. The foregoing actions by Apotex constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '612 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '612 patent; and contribution to the infringement by others of the '612 patent.

42. On information and belief, Apotex has acted with full knowledge of the '612 patent and without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringement of the '612 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '612 patent; and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '612 patent.

43. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably damaged by infringement of the '612 patent.

44. Unless Apotex is enjoined from infringing the '612 patent, actively inducing infringement of the '612 patent, and contributing to the infringement by others of the '612 patent, Pfizer will suffer irreparable injury. Pfizer has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II - DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '612 PATENT

45. Pfizer incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1–44 as if fully set forth herein.

46. The Court may declare the rights and legal relations of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 because there is a case of actual controversy between Pfizer on the one hand and Apotex on the other regarding Apotex's infringement, active inducement of infringement, and contribution to the infringement by others of the '612 patent, and/or the validity of the '612 patent.

47. Claim 1 of the '612 patent recites "[a] compound which is 6-Acetyl-8cyclopentyl-5-methyl-2-(5-piperazin-1-yl-pyridin-2-ylamino)-8H-pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7one."

48. Claim 2 of the '612 patent recites "A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of the compound according to claim 1 and a pharmaceutical carrier therefor."

49. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex notified Pfizer of the submission of Apotex's ANDA to the FDA. The purpose of this submission was to obtain approval under the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '612 patent.

50. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex also notified Pfizer that, as part of its ANDA, Apotex had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv) of the FDCA,

21 U.S.C. § 355 (j)(2)(B)(iv), with respect to the '612 patent. On information and belief, Apotex submitted its ANDA to the FDA containing certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '612 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product.

51. Apotex's ANDA Product and the use of Apotex's ANDA Product are covered by claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent.

52. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex did not contest the infringement of claim 1 or 2 of the '612 patent on any basis other than the alleged invalidity of those claims.

53. On information and belief, Apotex will engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distribution, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product immediately and imminently upon approval of its ANDA.

54. The manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product would infringe claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent.

55. On information and belief, the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product in accordance with, and as directed by, its proposed product labeling would infringe claims 1 and 2 of the '612 patent.

56. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '612 patent when Apotex's ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval. Apotex's activities will be done with knowledge of the '612 patent and specific intent to infringe that patent.

57. On information and belief, Apotex knows that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '612 patent, that

Apotex's ANDA Product is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, and that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, contribute to infringement of the '612 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of Apotex's ANDA.

58. Notwithstanding Apotex's knowledge of the claims of the '612 patent, Apotex has continued to assert its intent to manufacture, offer for sale, sell, distribute, and/or import Apotex's ANDA Product with its product labeling following FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA prior to the expiration of the '612 patent.

59. The foregoing actions by Apotex constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '612 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '612 patent; and contribution to the infringement by others of the '612 patent.

60. On information and belief, Apotex has acted with full knowledge of the '612 patent and without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringement of the '612 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '612 patent; and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '612 patent.

61. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably damaged by infringement of the '612 patent.

62. The Court should declare that the commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product with its proposed labeling, or any other Apotex drug product that is covered by or whose use is covered by the '612 patent, will infringe, induce the infringement of, and contribute to the infringement by others of the '612 patent, and that the claims of the '612 patent are not invalid.

COUNT III - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '489 PATENT

63. Pfizer incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1–62 as if fully set forth herein.

64. The inventors named on the '489 patent are Mark Barvian, Richard J. Booth, John Quin, III, Joseph T. Repine, Derek J. Sheehan, Peter L. Toogood, Scott N. Vanderwel, and Hairong Zhou.

65. The '489 patent, entitled "2-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-pyrido [2,3-d]pyrimidin-7-ones" (attached as Exhibit B), was duly and legally issued on April 24, 2007.

66. Pfizer is the owner and assignee of the '489 patent.

67. The '489 patent claims, *inter alia*, a compound of the formula recited in claim 1 of the '489 patent.

68. IBRANCE® is covered by one or more claims of the '489 patent, including claims 1 - 7 and 9 of the '489 patent, and the '489 patent has been listed in connection with IBRANCE[®] in the FDA's Orange Book.

69. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex notified Pfizer of the submission of Apotex's ANDA to the FDA. The purpose of this submission was to obtain approval under the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '489 patent.

70. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex also notified Pfizer that, as part of its ANDA, Apotex had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv) of the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 355 (j)(2)(B)(iv), with respect to the '489 patent. On information and belief, Apotex submitted its ANDA to the FDA containing certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '489 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 14 of 26 PageID #: 14

infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product.

71. Apotex's ANDA Product and the use of Apotex's ANDA Product are covered by at least claims 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent.

72. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex did not contest the infringement of claim 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent on any basis other than the alleged invalidity of those claims.

73. Apotex's submission of Apotex's ANDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product before the expiration of the '489 patent was an act of infringement of the '489 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

74. On information and belief, Apotex will engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distribution, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product immediately and imminently upon approval of its ANDA.

75. The manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product would infringe one or more claims of the '489 patent, including, *inter alia*, claims 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent.

76. On information and belief, the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product in accordance with, and as directed by, its proposed product labeling would infringe one or more claims of the '489 patent, including, *inter alia*, claims 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent.

77. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '489 patent when Apotex's ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 15 of 26 PageID #: 15

will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval. Apotex's activities will be done with knowledge of the '489 patent and specific intent to infringe that patent.

78. On information and belief, Apotex knows that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '489 patent, that Apotex's ANDA Product is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, and that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, contribute to infringement of the '489 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of Apotex's ANDA.

79. Notwithstanding Apotex's knowledge of the claims of the '489 patent, Apotex has continued to assert its intent to manufacture, offer for sale, sell, distribute, and/or import Apotex's ANDA Product with its product labeling following FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA prior to the expiration of the '489 patent.

80. The foregoing actions by Apotex constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '489 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '489 patent; and contribution to the infringement by others of the '489 patent.

81. On information and belief, Apotex has acted with full knowledge of the '489 patent and without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringement of the '489 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '489 patent; and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '489 patent.

82. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably damaged by infringement of the '489 patent.

83. Unless Apotex is enjoined from infringing the '489 patent, actively inducing infringement of the '489 patent, and contributing to the infringement by others of the '489 patent, Pfizer will suffer irreparable injury. Pfizer has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT IV - DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '489 PATENT

84. Pfizer incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1–83 as if fully set forth herein.

85. The Court may declare the rights and legal relations of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 because there is a case of actual controversy between Pfizer on the one hand and Apotex on the other regarding Apotex's infringement, active inducement of infringement, and contribution to the infringement by others of the '489 patent, and/or the validity of the '489 patent.

86. The '489 patent claims, *inter alia*, a compound of the formula recited in claim 1 of the '489 patent.

87. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex notified Pfizer of the submission of Apotex's ANDA to the FDA. The purpose of this submission was to obtain approval under the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '489 patent.

88. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex also notified Pfizer that, as part of its ANDA, Apotex had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv) of the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 355 (j)(2)(B)(iv), with respect to the '489 patent. On information and belief, Apotex submitted its ANDA to the FDA containing certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '489 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 17 of 26 PageID #: 17

infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product.

89. Apotex's ANDA Product and the use of Apotex's ANDA Product are covered by at least claims 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent.

90. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex did not contest the infringement of claim 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent on any basis other than the alleged invalidity of those claims.

91. Apotex's submission of Apotex's ANDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product before the expiration of the '489 patent was an act of infringement of the '489 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

92. On information and belief, Apotex will engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distribution, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product immediately and imminently upon approval of its ANDA.

93. The manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product would infringe one or more claims of the '489 patent, including, *inter alia*, claims 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent.

94. On information and belief, the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product in accordance with, and as directed by, its proposed product labeling would infringe one or more claims of the '489 patent, including, *inter alia*, claims 1–7 and 9 of the '489 patent.

95. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '489 patent when Apotex's ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 18 of 26 PageID #: 18

will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval. Apotex's activities will be done with knowledge of the '489 patent and specific intent to infringe that patent.

96. On information and belief, Apotex knows that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '489 patent, that Apotex's ANDA Product is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, and that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, contribute to infringement of the '489 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of Apotex's ANDA.

97. Notwithstanding Apotex's knowledge of the claims of the '489 patent, Apotex has continued to assert its intent to manufacture, offer for sale, sell, distribute, and/or import Apotex's ANDA Product with its product labeling following FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA prior to the expiration of the '489 patent.

98. The foregoing actions by Apotex constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '489 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '489 patent; and contribution to the infringement by others of the '489 patent.

99. On information and belief, Apotex has acted with full knowledge of the '489 patent and without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringement of the '489 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '489 patent; and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '489 patent.

100. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably damaged by infringement of the '489 patent.

101. The Court should declare that the commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product with its proposed labeling, or any other Apotex

drug product that is covered by or whose use is covered by the '489 patent, will infringe, induce the infringement of, and contribute to the infringement by others of the '489 patent, and that the claims of the '489 patent are not invalid.

COUNT V - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '168 PATENT

102. Pfizer incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1–101 as if fully set forth herein.

103. The inventors named on the '168 patent are Mark Barvian, Richard J. Booth, John Quin, III, Joseph T. Repine, Derek J. Sheehan, Peter L. Toogood, Scott N. Vanderwel, and Hairong Zhou.

104. The '168 patent, entitled "2-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-pyrido [2,3-d]pyrimidin-7-ones" (attached as Exhibit C), was duly and legally issued on November 25, 2008.

105. Pfizer is the owner and assignee of the '168 patent.

106. The '168 patent claims, *inter alia*, "[a] method of treating breast cancer in a mammal comprising administering to said mammal an amount of a compound of' the formula recited in claim 1 of the '168 patent.

107. IBRANCE[®], as well as methods of using IBRANCE[®], are covered by one or more claims of the '168 patent, including claim 1 of the '168 patent, and the '168 patent has been listed in connection with IBRANCE[®] in the FDA's Orange Book.

108. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex notified Pfizer of the submission of Apotex's ANDA to the FDA. The purpose of this submission was to obtain approval under the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '168 patent.

109. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex also notified Pfizer that, as part of its ANDA, Apotex had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv) of the FDCA,

21 U.S.C. § 355 (j)(2)(B)(iv), with respect to the '168 patent. On information and belief, Apotex submitted its ANDA to the FDA containing certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '168 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product.

110. The use of Apotex's ANDA Product is covered by claims 1–4 of the '168 patent.

111. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex did not contest the infringement of claim 1–4 of the '168 patent on any basis other than the alleged invalidity of those claims.

112. Apotex's submission of Apotex's ANDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product before the expiration of the '168 patent was an act of infringement of the '168 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

113. On information and belief, Apotex will engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distribution, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product immediately and imminently upon approval of its ANDA.

114. The manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product would directly and/or indirectly infringe claims 1–4 of the '168 patent.

115. On information and belief, the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product in accordance with, and as directed by, its proposed product labeling would directly and/or indirectly infringe claims 1–4 of the '168 patent.

116. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '168 patent when Apotex's ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and

Case 1:19-cv-00747-UNA Document 1 Filed 04/25/19 Page 21 of 26 PageID #: 21

will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval. Apotex's activities will be done with knowledge of the '168 patent and specific intent to infringe that patent.

117. On information and belief, Apotex knows that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '168 patent, that Apotex's ANDA Product is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, and that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, contribute to infringement of the '168 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of Apotex's ANDA.

118. Notwithstanding Apotex's knowledge of the claims of the '168 patent, Apotex has continued to assert its intent to manufacture, offer for sale, sell, distribute, and/or import Apotex's ANDA Product with its product labeling following FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA prior to the expiration of the '168 patent.

119. The foregoing actions by Apotex constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '168 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '168 patent; and contribution to the infringement by others of the '168 patent.

120. On information and belief, Apotex has acted with full knowledge of the '168 patent and without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringement of the '168 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '168 patent; and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '168 patent.

121. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably damaged by infringement of the '168 patent.

122. Unless Apotex is enjoined from infringing the '168 patent, actively inducing infringement of the '168 patent, and contributing to the infringement by others of the '168 patent, Pfizer will suffer irreparable injury. Pfizer has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT VI - DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '168 PATENT

123. Pfizer incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1-122 as if fully set forth herein.

124. The Court may declare the rights and legal relations of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 because there is a case of actual controversy between Pfizer on the one hand and Apotex on the other regarding Apotex's infringement, active inducement of infringement, and contribution to the infringement by others of the '168 patent, and/or validity of the '168 patent.

125. The '168 patent claims, *inter alia*, "[a] method of treating breast cancer in a mammal comprising administering to said mammal an amount of a compound of" the formula recited in claim 1 of the '168 patent.

126. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex notified Pfizer of the submission of Apotex's ANDA to the FDA. The purpose of this submission was to obtain approval under the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '168 patent.

127. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex also notified Pfizer that, as part of its ANDA, Apotex had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv) of the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 355 (j)(2)(B)(iv), with respect to the '168 patent. On information and belief, Apotex submitted its ANDA to the FDA containing certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '168 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be

infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product.

128. The use of Apotex's ANDA Product is covered by claims 1–4 of the '168 patent.

129. In Apotex's Notice Letter, Apotex did not contest the infringement of claim 1–4 of the '168 patent on any basis other than the alleged invalidity of those claims.

130. Apotex's submission of Apotex's ANDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product before the expiration of the '168 patent was an act of infringement of the '168 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

131. On information and belief, Apotex will engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distribution, and/or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product immediately and imminently upon approval of its ANDA.

132. The manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product would directly and/or indirectly infringe claims 1–4 of the '168 patent.

133. On information and belief, the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product in accordance with, and as directed by, its proposed product labeling would directly and/or indirectly infringe claims 1–4 of the '168 patent.

134. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '168 patent when Apotex's ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval. Apotex's activities will be done with knowledge of the '168 patent and specific intent to infringe that patent.

135. On information and belief, Apotex knows that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '168 patent, that

Apotex's ANDA Product is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, and that Apotex's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Apotex plans and intends to, and will, contribute to infringement of the '168 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of Apotex's ANDA.

136. Notwithstanding Apotex's knowledge of the claims of the '168 patent, Apotex has continued to assert its intent to manufacture, offer for sale, sell, distribute, and/or import Apotex's ANDA Product with its product labeling following FDA approval of Apotex's ANDA prior to the expiration of the '168 patent.

137. The foregoing actions by Apotex constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '168 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '168 patent; and contribution to the infringement by others of the '168 patent.

138. On information and belief, Apotex has acted with full knowledge of the '168 patent and without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringement of the '168 patent; active inducement of infringement of the '168 patent; and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '168 patent.

139. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably damaged by infringement of the '168 patent.

140. The Court should declare that the commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale or importation of Apotex's ANDA Product with its proposed labeling, or any other Apotex drug product that is covered by or whose use is covered by the '168 patent, will infringe, induce the infringement of, and contribute to the infringement by others of the '168 patent, and that the claims of the '168 patent are not invalid.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Pfizer requests the following relief:

(a) A judgment that each of the patents-in-suit has been infringed under 35 U.S.C.
§ 271(e)(2) by Apotex's submission to the FDA of Apotex's ANDA;

(b) A judgment ordering that the effective date of any FDA approval of commercial manufacture, use, or sale of Apotex's ANDA Products, or any other drug product that infringes or the use of which infringes one or more of the patents-in-suit, be not earlier than the latest of the expiration dates of said patents, inclusive of any extension(s) and additional period(s) of exclusivity;

(c) A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Apotex, and all persons acting in concert with Apotex, from the commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Apotex's ANDA Products, or any other drug product covered by or whose use is covered by one or more of the patents-in-suit, prior to the expiration of said patents, inclusive of any extension(s) and additional period(s) of exclusivity;

(d) A judgment declaring that the commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale or importation of Apotex's ANDA Products, or any other drug product which is covered by or whose use is covered by one-or-more of the patents-in-suit, prior to the expiration of said patents, will infringe, induce the infringement of, and contribute to the infringement by others of, said patents;

(e) A declaration that this is an exceptional case and an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

(f) Costs and expenses in this action; and

(g) Such further and other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014) Megan E. Dellinger (#5739) 1201 North Market Street P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 (302) 658-9200 jblumenfeld@mnat.com mdellinger@mnat.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

OF COUNSEL:

David I. Berl Christopher J. Mandernach Michael Xun Liu WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 (202) 434-5000

April 25, 2019