

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CXT SYSTEMS, INC.,	§	Case No.
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
v.	§	
	§	
C.C. FILSON CO. and FILSON CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff CXT Systems, Inc. (“CXT” or “Plaintiff”), for its Complaint against Defendants Filson Corporation, and C.C. Filson Co., (collectively “Filson” or “Defendants”), alleges as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. CXT is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 100 West Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670.

2. Upon information and belief, Filson Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1741 1st Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 78134. Defendant Filson Corporation may be served with process through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

3. Upon information and belief, C.C. Filson Co. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1741 1st Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 78134. Defendant C.C. Filson Co. may be served with

process through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

4. On information and belief, Defendants maintain regular and established places of business within this Judicial District at stores including at least 7700 Windrose Avenue, #150, Plano, TX 75024. Upon information and belief, Defendant employs individuals in this Judicial District involved in the sales and marketing of its products.

### **JURISDICTION**

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. Defendants regularly conduct business and have committed acts of patent infringement and/or have induced acts of patent infringement by others in this Judicial District and/or have contributed to patent infringement by others in this Judicial District, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States.

7. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because, among other things, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District, have a regular and established place of business in this Judicial District, have purposely transacted business involving the accused products in this Judicial District, including sales to one or more customers in Texas, and certain of the acts complained of herein, including acts of patent infringement, occurred in this Judicial District.

8. Defendants are subject to this Court's jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute due at least to their substantial business in this State and Judicial

District, including (a) at least part of their past infringing activities, (b) regularly doing or soliciting business in Texas, and/or (c) engaging in persistent conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to customers in Texas.

**PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

9. On December 10, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 6,493,703 (the “’703 Patent”) entitled “System and Method for Implementing Intelligent Online Community Message Board.” A true and correct copy of the ’703 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. On May 27, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 6,571,234 (the “’234 Patent”) entitled “System and Method for Managing Online Message Bboard.” A true and correct copy of the ’234 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.

11. On September 1, 2015, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. RE45,661 (the “’661 Patent”) entitled “Online Content Tabulating System and Method.” Notably, the ’661 Patent was reissued after the Supreme Court’s *Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International*, 573 U.S. 208, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014) decision. A true and correct copy of the ’661 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.

12. On March 21, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,016,875 (the “’875 Patent”) entitled “Single Sign-On for Access to a Central Data Repository.” A true and correct copy of the ’875 Patent is attached as Exhibit D.

13. On August 14, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,257,581 (the “’581 Patent”) entitled “Storage, Management and

Distribution of Consumer Information.” A true and correct copy of the ’581 Patent is attached as Exhibit E.

14. On September 4, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,260,806 (the “’806 Patent”) entitled “Storage, Management and Distribution of Consumer Information.” A true and correct copy of the ’806 Patent is attached as Exhibit F.

15. CXT is the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title and interest to and in the ’703 Patent, ’234 Patent, ’661 Patent, ’875 Patent, ’581 Patent, and ’806 Patent and (together, the “Patents-in-Suit”), and holds the exclusive right to take all actions necessary to enforce its rights to the Patents-in-Suit, including the filing of this patent infringement lawsuit. CXT also has the right to recover all damages for past, present, and future infringement of the Patents-in-Suit and to seek injunctive relief as appropriate under the law.

16. The Patents-in-Suit are presumed to be valid under 35 U.S.C. § 282 and while many have tried to invalidate them, none have succeeded. In a recent action styled *CXT Systems, Inc. v. Academy, Ltd., d/b/a Academy Sports + Outdoors*, Case No. 2:18-cv-00171-RWS-RSP (Lead Case) (“*Academy Litigation*”), eight different sets of defendants filed or joined in 12(b)(6) motions alleging lack of eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101. A Report and Recommendation stated “The Court concludes that the claimed invention [of the ’703, ’234 and ’661 Patents] is not directed to an abstract idea under step 1 as it is directed to a specific improvement in the capabilities of computing devices.” *Academy Litigation*, Dkt. No. 128 at \*5. It further stated that “Claim 1 [of the ’875 Patent] provides an inventive concept that transforms the nature of the claim into a patent-eligible application.” *Id.* at \*13. Ultimately, the Court adopted the Report and Recommendation and denied all the 12(b)(6) motions. *Academy*

*Litigation*, Dkt. No. 137. Recognizing the validity of these patents, industry leaders have instead chosen to license them.

17. The inventions covered by the '703 Patent, '234 Patent and '661 Patent were invented by Timothy Knight and Nicholas Gross (collectively, the "Knight Patents"). E-commerce websites and computer systems supporting those websites (collectively, the "Accused Infrastructures") employ methods covered by the Knight Patents in order to improve the shopping experience of their buyers. The Knight Patents generally cover systems and methods relating to optimizing the usability of online message boards such as those found at e-commerce websites. In one embodiment, a user may search for specific customer reviews for a product and then perform an action such as indicating that a review was "helpful." Systems implementing the inventions covered by the Knight Patents achieve significant benefits and improvements in their operation and performance. As one example, users of the system can more easily navigate a website to find the most meaningful information, such as helpful negative reviews. By finding the most relevant information in a speedy manner, efficient usage of the seller's system, including from the perspective of both processing and communication resources, is achieved. Additionally, when a buyer focuses his review of a message board on the most targeted information, more efficient usage of the buyer's computer system is achieved. This includes efficiencies in terms of processing, communication, power and display resources. Further, in the case where the buyer's computer is a handheld device, which typically has limited resources, the improvements in performance due to the inventions covered by the Knight Patents are determinative as to whether many buyers will use the system.

18. The Accused Infrastructures employ methods covered by the Knight Patents in order to improve the shopping experience of their buyers. For example, the Accused

Infrastructures processes a user command associated with one or more electronic message items taken from a set of electronic message items, where the user command is used to locate an author of a message item originating from a particular author.

19. The inventions covered by the '875 Patent, '581 Patent and '806 Patent were invented by Nick Steele, Stan Hawkins, Joe Maranville and Andrew Bradnan (collectively, the "Steele Patents"). The Steele Patents generally cover systems and methods relating to optimizing the online shopping experience. For example, in one embodiment, an authenticated user may access and control relevant account information such as shipping address or credit card information. In another embodiment, a user need only authenticate himself once in order to repeatedly access consumer information associated with different websites. In a third embodiment, an application is executed at the client side which manages the request/response process for the client side. Systems implementing the inventions covered by the Steele Patents achieve significant benefits and improvements in their operation and performance. By allowing a user to store and repeatedly access relevant account information, more efficient usage of the buyer's system is achieved. This includes efficiencies from the perspective of processing resources as the buyer does not need not to repeatedly spend time entering in information such as a shipping address. Additionally, by allowing the buyer's system to manage the request/response process through an application the request/response process can be more properly geared to the buyer's system including its processing load and network latency. Further, in the case where the buyer's computer is a handheld device, which typically has limited resources, the improvements in performance due to the inventions covered by the Steele Patents are determinative as to whether many buyers will use the system.

20. The Accused Infrastructures employ methods covered by the Steele Patents in order to improve the shopping experience of their buyers. The Accused Infrastructures practice a method comprising retrieving selected consumer information elements from the information stored within an online account by filtering data from the online account with a database management system, and transmitting the selected consumer information elements, over a distributed electronic network, to the network device.

21. On information and belief, Defendants are in the business of selling products through its website available at <https://www.filson.com/> (the “Filson Website”) and have been since at least as early as 2013. On information and belief, the Filson Website is supported by a backend computer system. Together, the current and previous versions of the Filson Website and their backend computer systems are instances of the Accused Infrastructures. On information and belief, the Accused Infrastructures are hosted within the United States.

22. CXT has at all times complied with the marking provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287 with respect to the Patents-in-Suit. On information and belief, prior assignees and licensees have also complied with the marking provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287.

**COUNT I**  
**(Infringement of the '703 Patent)**

23. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in their entireties.

24. CXT has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '703 Patent.

25. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '703 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United

States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '703 Patent. Upon information and belief, these products include the Accused Infrastructures that practice the methods and systems covered by the '703 Patent. These products infringe at least claim 16 of the '703 Patent.

26. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures comprises an electronic message board system used by a community of users and operates in part of an online server.

27. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures stores message data on the online server and constructs searchable collections of said message data, said searchable collections being based on a set of predefined query parameters, so that at least some of said message data is accessible to at least some of the community of users. For example, message data can comprise reviews and ratings for products sold on the Accused Infrastructures and predefined query parameters can comprise those reviews that ranked four stars.

28. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures handles user queries to said message data by interacting with a web browser program executing at a user computer system remote from the online server, said queries being based on said predefined query parameters. For example, user queries may request all four-star reviews for a particular product.


29. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures configures an integrated interface for a user to formulate queries, said integrated interface including: i) a first display region for providing a visible display of a message list of at least some of any message entries retrieved in response to said queries, said list including at least



some identifying parameters for each of said message entries; and ii) a second display region for providing a visible display of at least some substantive information for a user-selected one of said message entries, said substantive information being simultaneously visible with said message list displayed in said first display region wherein said integrated interface is configured so that the user can perform searching, listing and reviewing operations associated with said message entries simultaneously within a single window of said integrated interface, said reviewing operations including a presentation to the user of all substantive information for at least one of said message entries. For example, as shown in Figure 1, the interface displays the four-star reviews in response to a query, allows the user to search, list, and review those messages, or to perform a different query and provides substantive information and identifying information for the reviews shown.

[WRITE A REVIEW](#) ▶

---



**- 38 REVIEWS -**

★★★★★ (33)	<div style="background-color: #888; width: 80%;"></div>
★★★★☆ (3)	<div style="background-color: #888; width: 10%;"></div>
★★★☆☆ (0)	
★★☆☆☆ (1)	
★☆☆☆☆ (1)	

[SHOW ALL REVIEWS](#)

---

*Travis B. (Verified Buyer)*

★★★★☆

**UPLANDER BOOTS**

The usual high quality of Filson products is in these boots. As a forester and upland bird hunter I am going to put these to the test. Have only for them a little and they seem to be breaking in well so far. I will update this fall when I get a better feel for how they are going to hold up.

*Was This Review Helpful?* 👍 0 🗨️ 0

*06/21/16*

---

*Thomas (Verified Buyer)*

★★★★☆

**GREAT BOOT**

I'm currently in year five of owning a pair of these. I originally bought them while living in Northern California. The season had a big year of rain and I needed a solid boot that would be able to handle the dirt, mud, and grime. After waxing them, they were perfectly waterproof. I then moved to Montana where they came in handy. They were able to ...[READ MORE](#)

*Was This Review Helpful?* 👍 4 🗨️ 1

*01/21/16*

*(Figure 1 - Interface showing the four-star reviews for a selected product.)*

30. Defendants have indirectly infringed and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '703 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology such as the Accused Infrastructure that practice the systems and methods covered by the '703 Patent.

31. Defendants, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '703 Patent, knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '703 Patent by providing these Accused Infrastructure to end users for use in an infringing manner.

32. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '703 Patent, while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

33. CXT has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '703 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

34. CXT has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '703 Patent for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT II**  
**(Infringement of the '234 Patent)**

35. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in their entireties.

36. CXT has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '234 Patent.


37. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '234 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '234 Patent. Upon information and belief, these products include the Accused Infrastructures that practice the

methods and systems covered by the '234 Patent. These products infringe at least claim 21 of the '234 Patent.

38. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures practices a method comprising handling message item postings and queries made to an electronic message board system comprising storing message items so that they are searchable by users accessing the message board system based on a plurality of predefined information categories. For example, the predefined information categories of the messages can comprise products and filter categories, as shown in Figure 2.

[WRITE A REVIEW](#) ▶

---



- 38 REVIEWS -

★★★★★ (33)	<div style="width: 80%; height: 10px; background-color: #ccc;"></div>
★★★★☆ (3)	<div style="width: 10%; height: 10px; background-color: #ccc;"></div>
★★★☆☆ (0)	<div style="width: 0%; height: 10px; background-color: #ccc;"></div>
★★☆☆☆ (1)	<div style="width: 0%; height: 10px; background-color: #ccc;"></div>
★☆☆☆☆ (1)	<div style="width: 0%; height: 10px; background-color: #ccc;"></div>

---

David R. *(Verified Buyer)*

★★★★★

**GREAT BOOTS**

First off, they run large..I went down a half size and they still accommodate thick socks easily. These are quality boots..in the same category as Whites or Gokeys in my opinion. Excellent material and craftsmanship.  
Be prepared to break them in. These are unlined and the stitching on the heel tore my feet up...invest in some moleskin and  
[...READ MORE](#)

Was This Review Helpful? 👍 2 👎 0

04/05/19

---

Jayne K. *(Verified Buyer)*

★★★★★

**VERY FAVORITE BOOTS!!**

This is my sons second pair but his first brand new. The first pair I bought used when he was a freshman in high school. He was still growing and I didn't want to spend \$400 to be outgrown. He actually stayed the same shoe size and got 5 more years out of those boots!! He still has them but they are his " back up" pair. He needed new ones for his  
[...READ MORE](#)

04/02/19

*(Figure 2 - Page with messages relating to reviews and filter categories.)*

39. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures practices a method comprising configuring a user interface for said users when such users access the message board system such that said plurality of predefined information categories are set up as filter selection items within said interface.


40. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures receives a user query received from said user interface based on at least one of said filter selection items.

41. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures locates a set of electronic message items on the message board system corresponding to said user query.

42. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures transmits a set of electronic message items to said user so that they can be perceived simultaneously with said filter selection items within said interface by said user. For example, a user may desire to see only five-star reviews, as shown in Figure 3.

[WRITE A REVIEW](#) ▶

---



- 38 REVIEWS -

★★★★★ (33)	
★★★★☆ (3)	
★★★☆☆ (0)	
★★☆☆☆ (1)	
★☆☆☆☆ (1)	

[SHOW ALL REVIEWS](#)

---

David R. *(Verified Buyer)*

★★★★★

**GREAT BOOTS**

First off, they run large..I went down a half size and they still accommodate thick socks easily. These are quality boots..in the same category as Whites or Gokeys in my opinion. Excellent material and craftsmanship. Be prepared to break them in. These are unlined and the stitching on the heel tore my feet up...invest in some moleskin and [...READ MORE](#)

Was This Review Helpful? 👍 2 👎 0

04/05/19

---

Jayne K. *(Verified Buyer)*

★★★★★

**VERY FAVORITE BOOTS!!**

This is my sons second pair but his first brand new. The first pair I bought used when he was a freshman in high school. He was still growing and I didn't want to spend \$400 to be outgrown. He actually stayed the same shoe size and got 5 more years out of those boots!! He still has them but they are his " back up" pair. He needed new ones for his

04/02/19

*(Figure 3 - Page with messages filtered to display five-star reviews.)*

43. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures processes a user command associated with one or more of said electronic message items taken from said set of electronic message items, which user command is used to locate an author of said message items and/or additional message items originating from said author. For example, a user may designate the review by the first message author to be helpful, as shown in Figure 3.

44. Defendants have indirectly infringed and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '234 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology such as the Accused Infrastructures that practice the systems and methods covered by the '234 Patent.

45. Defendants, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '234 Patent knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '234 Patent by providing these Accused Infrastructure to end users for use in an infringing manner.

46. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '234 Patent, while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

47. CXT has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '234 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

48. CXT has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '234 Patent for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT III**  
**(Infringement of the '661 Patent)**

49. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in their entireties.

50. CXT has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '661 Patent.



51. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '661 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '661 Patent. Upon information and belief, these products include the Accused Infrastructures that practice the methods and systems covered by the '661 Patent. These products infringe at least claim 37 of the '661 Patent.

52. On information and belief, one or more versions of the Accused Infrastructures implements a method comprising storing message items of a message board system, wherein the message items are searchable by access to the message board system based on a plurality of predefined information categories.

53. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures configures an interface for the access to the message board system with the plurality of predefined information categories as filter selection items within the interface.

54. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures receives a query via the interface and at least one of the filter selection items.

55. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures provides at least one of the message items of the message board system corresponding to the received query for simultaneous perception with the filter selection items via the interface.

56. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures processes a command associated with at least one of the message items of the at least one of the message items of the message board system corresponding to the received query, which command is associated with at least one of an author of the message items and at least one of the

message items on the message board system originating from the author. For example, as shown in Figure 3, the command may be to indicate that the review is helpful.

57. Defendants have indirectly infringed and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '661 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology such as the Accused Infrastructure that practice the systems and methods covered by the '661 Patent.

58. Defendants, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '661 Patent knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '661 Patent by providing these Accused Infrastructure to end users for use in an infringing manner.

59. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '661 Patent, while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

60. CXT has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '661 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

61. CXT has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '661 Patent for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT IV**  
**(Infringement of the '875 Patent)**

62. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in their entireties.

63. CXT has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '875 Patent.

64. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '875 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '875 Patent. Upon information and belief, these products include the Accused Infrastructures that practice the methods and systems covered by the '875 Patent. These products infringe at least claim 1 of the '875 Patent.

65. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures implements a method for providing access to an information account stored in a central data repository that is accessible via a distributed network and is coupled to a database management system the information account containing consumer information elements that are changed by the consumer.

66. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures receives, over the distributed electronic network, a first request from a network device for access to the information account and consumer authentication information in response to the consumer inputting the consumer authentication information while interacting with a first web-site.

67. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures, in response to the request, authenticates the consumer with the database management system based on the consumer authentication information, thereby providing the consumer with access to the information account stored in the central data repository, a first consumer information element of the information account comprising one or more name fields to identify the consumer, a second

consumer information element of the information account comprising one or more geographic address fields associated with the consumer.

68. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures, in response to the first, second, and subsequent requests for access to the information account stored in the central data repository, retrieves one or more consumer information element from the information account by filtering data from the information account with the database management system based on an identification of a web-site being accessed by the consumer.

69. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures sends the retrieved consumer information elements over the distributed electronic network.

70. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures parses the retrieved consumer information elements.

71. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures auto-populates input fields of a displayed web page file of the web-site being accessed by the consumer with the consumer information elements, as shown in Figure 4.

**MY ACCOUNT**

- Account Dashboard
- Account Information
- » **Address Book**
- My Orders
- Wishlist
- Newsletter Subscriptions
- Gift Card
- My Events

**EDIT ADDRESS**

**CONTACT INFORMATION**

FIRST NAME ▾  
Joe

MIDDLE NAME/INITIAL

LAST NAME ▾  
Customer

COMPANY

TELEPHONE ▾  
212-999-9999

**ADDRESS**

ADDRESS TYPE  
 BUSINESS  RESIDENTIAL

STREET ADDRESS ▾  
123 Main Street

STREET ADDRESS 2

*(Figure 4 -User account with certain information autopopulated.)*

72. Defendants have indirectly infringed and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '875 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology such as the Accused Infrastructure that practice the systems and methods covered by the '875 Patent.

73. Defendants, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '875 Patent knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally

induce, direct infringement of the '875 Patent by providing these Accused Infrastructure to end users for use in an infringing manner.

74. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '875 Patent, while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

75. CXT has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '875 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

76. CXT has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '875 Patent for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT V**  
**(Infringement of the '581 Patent)**

77. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in their entireties.

78. CXT has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '581 Patent.

79. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '581 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '581 Patent. Upon information and belief, these products include the Accused Infrastructures that practice the methods and systems covered by the '581 Patent. These products infringe at least claim 1 of the '581 Patent.

80. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures implements a method for storing, managing and distributing consumer information via a distributed electronic network.

81. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures stores an information account in a central data repository accessible via the distributed electronic network, where the information account comprising a plurality of consumer information elements associated with a consumer and being subject to the consumer's control and management.

82. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures receives with a database management system, a request over the distributed electronic network from a network device for one or more selected consumer information elements, the request including consumer authentication information and being made by the network device responsive to an input command supplied by the consumer.

83. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures authenticates the consumer based on the authentication information, retrieves the selected consumer information elements from the information account by filtering data from the information account with the database management system, and transmits the selected consumer information elements, over the distributed electronic network, to the network device.

84. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures autopopulates the selected consumer information elements into at least one input field of a web page file. For example, after a user has authenticated himself, he has access to his account where certain customer information elements are autopopulated, as shown in Figure 4.

85. Defendants have indirectly infringed and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '581 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology such as the Accused Infrastructure that practice the systems and methods covered by the '581 Patent.

86. Defendants, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '581 Patent knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '581 Patent by providing these Accused Infrastructure to end users for use in an infringing manner.

87. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '581 Patent, while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

88. CXT has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '581 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

89. CXT has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '581 Patent for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT VI**  
**(Infringement of the '806 Patent)**

90. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in their entireties.

91. CXT has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '806 Patent.



92. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '806 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '806 Patent. Upon information and belief, these products include the Accused Infrastructures that practice the methods and systems covered by the '806 Patent. These products infringe at least claim 1 of the '806 Patent.

93. On information and belief, at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures comprises computer-readable storage medium having stored thereon computer-executable instructions for storing, managing, and distributing consumer information via a distributed electronic network, by causing a computing device to perform operations.

94. On information and belief, the executable instructions stored on at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures determine one or more consumer information elements for fields of a web page, the one or more consumer information elements associated with an information account and in a data storage accessible via the distributed electronic network, the information account comprising a plurality of consumer information elements associated with a consumer and being subject to the consumer's control and management.

95. On information and belief, the executable instructions stored on at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures cause a browser to display a web page file that has been retrieved from a vendor server, the web page file including an instruction that causes the browser to request transmission of a client-side application having at least a temporary portion.

96. On information and belief, the executable instructions stored on at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures execute at a network device an application configured to manage a request/response process for the network device.

97. On information and belief, the executable instructions stored on at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures transmit over the distributed electronic network from the network device a request for the determined one or more consumer information elements, the request including consumer authentication information and being made by the network device responsive to an input command supplied by the consumer.

98. On information and belief, the executable instructions stored on at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures receives at the network device the one or more consumer information elements filtered from the information account.

99. On information and belief, the executable instructions stored on at least one version of the Accused Infrastructures autopopulates the filtered one or more consumer information elements into corresponding fields.

100. For example, after a user has authenticated himself he has access to his account where certain customer information elements are autopopulated, as shown in Figure 4.

101. Defendants have indirectly infringed and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '806 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States products that include infringing technology such as the Accused Infrastructure that practice the systems and methods covered by the '806 Patent.

102. Defendants, with knowledge that these products, or the use thereof, infringe the '806 Patent knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally

induce, direct infringement of the '806 Patent by providing these Accused Infrastructure to end users for use in an infringing manner.

103. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '806 Patent, while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

104. CXT has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '806 Patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

105. CXT has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '806 Patent for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all issues so triable.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, CXT prays for relief against Defendants as follows:

- a. Entry of judgment declaring that Defendants has directly and/or indirectly infringed one or more claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit;
- b. An order awarding damages sufficient to compensate CXT for Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs;
- c. Entry of judgment declaring that this case is exceptional and awarding CXT its costs and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- d. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 10, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Alfred R. Fabricant

Alfred R. Fabricant

NY Bar No. 2219392

Email: afabricant@brownrudnick.com

Peter Lambrianakos

NY Bar No. 2894392

Email: plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com

Vincent J. Rubino, III

NY Bar No. 4557435

Email: vrubino@brownrudnick.com

Shahar Harel

NY Bar No. 4573192

Email: sharel@brownrudnick.com

**BROWN RUDNICK LLP**

7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

Telephone: (212) 209-4800

Facsimile: (212) 209-4801

Justin Kurt Truelove

Texas Bar No. 24013653

Email: kurt@truelovelawfirm.com

**TRUELOVE LAW FIRM, PLLC**

100 West Houston

Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 938-8321

Facsimile: (903) 215-8510

***ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
CXT SYSTEMS, INC.***