IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

RONDEVOO TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company)
Plaintiff,) Case No
v.)
AVALANCHE TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware Corporation,) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)
Defendant.	<i>)</i>

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Rondevoo Technologies, LLC ("Plaintiff") brings this complaint against Avalanche
Technology, Inc. ("Defendant"). As its complaint against Defendant, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action under 35 U.S.C. § 271 for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,330,369 ("the '369 Patent").

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Rondevoo Technologes, LLC, is a California limited liability company having a principal place of business at 35 Hugus Alley, Suite 210, Pasadena CA 91103.
- 3. Defendant Avalanche Technology, Inc. is a Delaware company having a principal place of business at 3450 West Warren Avenue, Fremont, CA 94538, and having a registered office at Corporation Service Company, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because it arises under United States Patent law.
 - 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, *inter alia*, it resides

in the State of Delaware; regularly conducts business in the State of Delaware; and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in the State of Delaware including by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling Accused Products within the State of Delaware and this district.

6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b). Defendant is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because, *inter alia*, Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement including making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling Accused Products in this district, and/or importing Accused Products into this district.

FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 7,330,369 ("the '369 Patent") entitled "NANO-Electronic Memory Array," which was duly and legally issued on February 12th, 2008 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO").
 - 8. A copy of the '369 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.
 - 9. The claims of the '369 Patent are valid and enforceable.

COUNT I: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 ('369 PATENT)

- 10. Plaintiffs hereby incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 9 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 11. Claim 1 of the '369 Patent covers "a memory device comprising an array of memory cells disposed in rows and columns and constructed over a substrate, each memory cell comprising a first signal electrode, a second signal electrode, and a single molecule magnetic nano-layer disposed in the intersecting region between the first signal electrode and the second signal electrode, a plurality of word lines each connecting the first signal electrodes of a row of memory cells, and a plurality of bit lines each connecting the second signal electrodes of memory cells."
 - 12. Defendant manufactures, imports into the United States, offers for sale, and/or sells

memory devices, which infringe at least Claim 1 of the '369 Patent (hereafter "Accused Product(s)").

- 13. Defendant's Accused Product(s) include, without limitation Avalanche's discrete MRAM devices.
- 14. A claim chart comparing Claim 1 of the '369 Patent to the Accused Product(s) is attached as Exhibit B.
 - 15. The Accused Product(s) includes an MRAM device. See Exhibit B, p. 1.
- 16. The Accused Product(s) includes memory cells, which each have two metal electrodes with a single molecule layer of MgO, disposed in rows and columns. See Exhibit B, p. 2.
- 17. The Accused Product(s) includes wordlines that connect the first metal electrodes and bitlines that connect the second metal electrodes. See Exhibit B, p. 3.
- 18. Each one of the elements included in the Infringing System, itemized in paragraphs 15
 17 above, is an element in Claim 1 of the '369 Patent.
- 19. Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendant's ongoing infringement of the '369 Patent.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '369 Patent, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendant as follows:

- A. In favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '369 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
- B. Requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '369 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, but not less than a reasonable royalty; and
 - C. For such other and further relief, as may be just and equitable.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues and causes of action triable to a jury.

Dated: June 13, 2019

Of Counsel:

Todd Y. Brandt BRANDT LAW FIRM 222 N. Fredonia Street Longview, Texas 75606 Telephone: (903) 212-3130 Facsimile: (903) 753-6761

tbrandt@thebrandtlawfirm.com

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ George Pazuniak

George Pazuniak (DE Bar 478) O'Kelly Ernst & Joyce, LLC 901 N. Market St. Suite 1000 Wilmington, DE 19801

Tel: 302-478-4230

Email: GP@del-iplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff