IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Theta Chip LLC,	Case No. 2:19-CV-14144
Plaintiff,	Patent Case
V.	Jury Trial Demanded
Sakar International, Inc.,	
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Theta Chip LLC ("Theta Chip"), through its attorneys, complains of Sakar International, Inc. ("Sakar"), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Theta Chip LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 6009 W. Parker Rd, Ste 149-1004, Plano, TX 75093-8121.

2. Defendant Sakar International, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New York that maintains its principal place of business at 195 Carter Dr, Edison, NJ 08817.

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

1

Case 2:19-cv-14144 Document 1 Filed 06/23/19 Page 2 of 5 PageID: 2

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and has an established place of business in this District. In addition, Theta Chip has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

7. Theta Chip is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 6,937,356 (the "'356 Patent"); (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Theta Chip possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

The '356 Patent

8. The '356 Patent is entitled "Digital imaging system," and issued 8/30/2005. The application leading to the '356 Patent was filed on 9/3/1998. A true and correct copy of the '356 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

9. The '356 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '356 PATENT

10. Theta Chip incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

11. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '356 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least Sakar's Vivicam S124 Camera (the "Exemplary

2

Case 2:19-cv-14144 Document 1 Filed 06/23/19 Page 3 of 5 PageID: 3

Sakar Products") that infringe at least exemplary claims 10-11, 13, 17-18 of the '356 Patent (the "Exemplary '356 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalence. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '356 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

12. The filing of this Complaint constitutes notice and actual knowledge in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

13. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '356 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Sakar Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '356 Patent. Thus, on information and belief, Defendant is contributing to and/or inducing the infringement of the '356 Patent.

14. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '356 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalence, by selling Exemplary Sakar Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '356 Patent.

15. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '356 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalence, by selling Exemplary Sakar Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '356 Patent.

3

Case 2:19-cv-14144 Document 1 Filed 06/23/19 Page 4 of 5 PageID: 4

16. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '356 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Sakar Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Sakar Products practice the technology claimed by the '356 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Sakar Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '356 Patent Claims.

17. Theta Chip therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.

18. Theta Chip is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

JURY DEMAND

19. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Theta Chip respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Theta Chip respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '356 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '356 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards Theta Chip all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement, and any continuing or future infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, up until the date such judgment is entered, including pre- or postjudgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary, to adequately compensate Theta Chip for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:

Case 2:19-cv-14144 Document 1 Filed 06/23/19 Page 5 of 5 PageID: 5

- that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285
 and that Theta Chip be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against
 Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
- that Theta Chip be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
- iii. that Theta Chip be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 23, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

/s/Stamatios Stamoulis Stamatios Stamoulis (No. 4606) 800 N. West Street, Third Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 999-1540 Facsimile: (302) 762-1688 stamoulis@swdelaw.com

Isaac Rabicoff (*Pro Hac Vice admission pending*) **Rabicoff Law LLC** 73 W Monroe St Chicago, IL 60603 (773) 669-4590 isaac@rabilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Theta Chip LLC