	Case 8:19-cv-01688	Document 1	Filed 09/04/19	Page 1 of 12	Page ID #:1
1 2 3 4 5 6	Stephen M. Lobbin (SBN 181195) sml@smlavvocati.com Austin J. Richardson (SBN 319807) ajr@smlavvocati.com SML Avvocati P.C. 969 Hilgard Avenue, Suite 1012 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Tel: 949.636.1391 Attorneys for Plaintiff				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11					
12	ROTHSCHILD I CONFIRMATIO	DIGITAL N, LLC,	Civi	il Action No	
13	Р	laintiff,			
14	v.			MPLAINT F RINGEMEN	OR PATENT
15	WOLF MOBILE ECONZ WIREL	, INC. D/B/A		MAND EOD	JURY TRIAL
16			DE	MAND FUK	JUKY IKIAL
17	D	efendant.			
18					
19					
20	Plaintiff, Rothschild Digital Confirmation, LLC. ("Rothschild" or "Plaintiff"),				
21	through its undersigned attorneys, sues Defendant, Wolf Mobile, Inc. d/b/a Ecomz				
22	Wireless ("Econz"), and alleges:				
23	NATURE OF THE ACTION				
24	1. This is an action for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,456,872, ("the '872				
25	patent"), arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States				
26	Code, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281. This action relates to the unauthorized making,				
27	using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing of unauthorized products that infringe				
28	the claims of the '872 patent. As set forth herein, Plaintiff brings this action to enjoin				

Defendant from infringing the '872 patent and to recover all damages associated with
 the infringement of the '872 patent, including attorneys' fees and costs.

3 <u>PARTIES</u>
4 2. Rothschild is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the
5 State of Texas, with its principal place of business at 1400 Preston Road, Suite 400,
6 Plano, TX 75093-5189.

7 3. Upon information and belief, Wolf Mobile, Inc. is a corporation organized
8 and existing under the laws of the State of California, having a principal place of
9 business and its headquarters at 202 Fashion Lane, Suite #221, Tustin, California
10 92780.

11

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§
100, et seq, and this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under
28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§
1391, and 1400(b).

16 5. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over
17 Econz, because, inter alia, Econz has a principal place of business in this district.

18 6. Upon information and belief, Econz regularly and continuously transacts 19 business within the State of California, including availing itself of the privilege of conducting business in the State of California by developing, manufacturing, 20 marketing, and/or selling its Econz products there for use by California citizens. Upon 21 information and belief, Econz derives substantial revenue from its sales including 22 residents in the State of California. For instance, Econz offers its products for sale 23 24 online to customers, including customers in California. See https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. 25

26 7. Upon information and belief, Econz will directly and/or through its
27 employees or agents, and/or its customers, uses products, as defined below, that
28 contain each and every element of at least one claim of the '872 patent with the

knowledge and/or understanding that such products are used or will be used in this
 District. https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. For example, Defendant offers the
 accused product to customers in California through its website. See id. Upon
 information and belief, Defendant has engaged in substantial and not isolated activity
 within the district. For these reasons, exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant is proper
 and will not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

8. Regarding at least some of its activities, Defendant solicits business from
and markets its products to consumers within California by providing a product that
verifies an assignment of a user, as described in the '872 patent.

9. In addition to Defendant's continuously and systematically conducting
 business in California, the causes of action against Defendant are connected (but not
 limited) to Defendant's purposeful acts committed in the State of California including
 Defendant's use of a locational image verification device for verifying an assignment
 of a user, as described in the '872 Patent.

15 10. Defendant is a company that has a regular and established presence in the
16 district and makes and uses a product that us locational image verification device for
17 verifying an assignment of a user.

18 11. Defendant's product includes a user verification module for verifying an
19 identity of a user of the device, which upon verification of the user, the user
20 verification module enables operation of the device and provides an assignment to the
21 user.

12. Defendant's product includes a capture module for capturing an image
relating to the assignment and creating a digital image file wherein the user verification
verifies the identity of the user of the device at a time of the image capture.

25 13. Defendant's product includes a locational information module for
26 determining a location of the device when capturing the image.

27 14. Defendant's product includes a date and time module for determining a28 date and time of the image capture.

Case 8:19-cv-01688 Document 1 Filed 09/04/19 Page 4 of 12 Page ID #:4

1 15. Defendant's product includes a processing module for associating the
 2 assignment, the user identity, location information and the time and date to the digital
 3 image file.

4 16. Defendant's product includes an encryption module for encrypting the
5 digital image file and associated information (e.g., data encryption) upon image
6 capture.

7 17. For the reasons set forth above, venue is proper in this judicial district
8 under both 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of infringement
9 and has a regular and established place of business in this district.

10

BACKGROUND

11 18. On November 25, 2008, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
12 ("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '872 patent, entitled "Device and method for
13 embedding and retrieving information in digital images" after a full and fair
14 examination. See Exhibit 1.

15 19. Rothschild is presently the owner of the patent, having received all right,
16 title and interest in and to the '872 patent from the previous assignee of record.
17 Therefore, Rothschild, as the owner of the entire right, title and interest in the '872
18 patent, possesses the right to sue for infringement of the '872 patent to recover past
19 and present damages, as well as seek an injunction or reasonable royalties against
20 future infringement.

21

THE '872 PATENT

22 20. The '872 patent contains a total of thirty-nine (39) claims: two (2)
23 independent claims and thirty-seven (37) dependent claims.

24 21. The '872 patent claims locational image devices and methods for
25 verifying an assignment of a user.

26 22. Defendant commercializes, inter alia, locational image software for
27 devices that include each and every element and/or performs each and every step of at
28 least one claim of the '872 patent

1

23. The '872 patent will expire no earlier than September 16, 2026.

2 The '872 patent discloses and claims, in part, "Devices, systems and 24. methods for capturing, storing, allowing user input, receiving internal input, 3 processing, transmitting, scanning, and displaying digital images is provided. Digital 4 photography has gained a substantial share of the worldwide photographic market." 5 See Exhibit 1 at Col. 2, lines 10-13. The invention disclosed and claimed in the '872 6 patent solved at least one technical issue associated with the art of digital image and 7 data processing. See Exhibit 1 at Col. 1, lines 48-58. For example, the invention 8 9 increased the ease of use and efficiency of organizing images and associating vital information with a collection of images. As such, the invention disclosed and claimed 10 in the '872 patent represents a technical solution to a problem associated with digital 11 image capture. The '872 Patent further discloses that the invention is a combination of 12 13 elements to securely embed information into images to improve organization, processing, and control of images. Id. at Col. 3, lines 18-27 and Col. 2, lines 24-40. 14

15

INFRINGEMENT BY ECONZ AND ITS CUSTOMERS

16 25. The accused products include, but are not limited to, Econz's Timecard product ("the Accused Products"). Econz instructs its customers to install the Accused 17 Product on mobile devices and use the Accused Product in accordance with the 18 19 invention described and claimed in the '872. This constitutes direct infringement by Econz's customers and indirect infringement by Econz. See Exhibit 1. 20

21 26. When customers install the Accused Product on a mobile device as instructed by Econz, the mobile device with the Accused Product has all of the 22 elements recited in claim 1 of the '872 patent. https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. 23 24 Specifically, the Accused Product comprises a locational image verification device for verifying an assignment of a user (e.g., the consumer). 25

https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. 26

27 When customers install the Accused Product on a mobile device as 27. instructed by Econz, the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a user 28

verification module (e.g., the Accused Product on the mobile device) for verifying an
 identity of a user of the device (e.g., mobile application checks the entered login
 credentials of the user and verify an individual).

4 https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. Furthermore, the Accused Product allows for,
5 upon verification of the user, the user verification module enables operation of the
6 device and provides an assignment to the user. https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

7 28. When customers install the Accused Product on a mobile device as instructed by Econz, the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a capture 8 9 module (e.g., the camera on the mobile device) for capturing an image relating to the assignment (e.g., an image of the field using mobile device camera and sync the data 10 with server) and creating a digital image file (e.g., the digital image file of the photo); 11 12 wherein the user verification module (e.g., the Accused Product on the mobile device) 13 verifies the identity of the user (e.g., upon successful login attempt, the user can capture an image of the assignment) of the device at a time of the image capture. 14 https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. In addition, upon information and belief, the 15 Accused Product on the mobile device performs the step of determining an identity of 16 the user at a time of the image capture (e.g., after successful login, the user can capture 17 18 an image of the assignment). Id.

19 29. When customers install the Accused Product on a mobile device as
20 instructed by Econz, the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a locational
21 information module (e.g., using the GPS signal to capture a real time location of the
22 mobile device) for determining a location of the device when capturing the image.
23 https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

30. Furthermore, the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a date
and time module (e.g., the date and time module of the mobile device enabled with the
Accused Product) for determining a date and time of the image capture (e.g., the
Accused Product associates the job time spent with the field data collected by the
user). https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

31. When customers install the Accused Product on a mobile device as
 instructed by Econz, the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a processing
 module (e.g., the processor of the mobile device) for associating the assignment, the
 user identity, location information and the time and date to the digital image file (e.g.,
 collecting the field information from the user and linking the captured photos, real time
 location date and a time spent on the field to complete assignments).
 https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

8 32. When customers install the Accused Product on a mobile device as
9 instructed by Econz, the mobile device with the Accused Product includes an
10 encryption module (e.g., encryption module for storing and protecting the collected
11 field information data in the database) for encrypting the digital image file and
12 associated information (e.g., data encryption) upon image capture.

13 https://www.econz.com/privacy.html.

14 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant has tested the Accused Product
15 on mobile devices and has thus infringed claim 1 of the '872 patent during at least
16 testing of the Accused Product. During testing, the Accused Product comprises a
17 locational image verification device (e.g., a mobile device installed with the Accused
18 Device is capable of capturing a photo and enabled with location services) for
19 verifying an assignment of a user (e.g., the user/field technician testing the Accused
20 Product). https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

34. Upon information and belief, Econz has tested the Accused Product on a
mobile device such that the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a user
verification module (e.g., the Accused Product on the mobile device) for verifying an
identity of a user of the device (e.g., mobile application checks the entered login
credentials of the user and verify an individual).

26 https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

27 35. Upon information and belief, Econz has tested the Accused Product on a
28 mobile device such that the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a capture

1 module (e.g., the camera on the mobile device) for capturing an image relating to the 2 assignment and creating a digital image file (e.g., an image of the field using mobile device camera and sync the data with server); wherein the user verification module 3 (e.g., the Accused Product on the mobile device) verifies the identity of the user (e.g. 4 upon successful login attempt, the user can capture an image of the assignment) of the 5 device at a time of the image capture https://www.econz.com/timecard.html. In 6 7 addition, upon information and belief, the Accused Product on the mobile device performs the step of determining an identity of the user at a time of the image capture 8 9 (e.g., after successful login, the user can capture an image of the assignment). Id.

10 36. Upon information and belief, Econz has tested the Accused Product on a
mobile device such that the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a
locational information module (e.g., using the GPS signal to capture a real time
location of the mobile device) for determining a location of the device when capturing
the image (e.g., the Accused Product associates the job time spent with the field data
collected by the user). https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

16 37. Upon information and belief, Econz has tested the Accused Product on a
mobile device such that the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a date
and time module (e.g., the date and time module of the mobile device enabled with the
Accused Product) for determining a date and time of the image capture (e.g., the
Accused Product associates the job time spent with the field data collected by the
user). https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

38. Upon information and belief, Econz has tested the Accused Product on a
mobile device such that the mobile device with the Accused Product includes a
processing module (e.g., the processor of the mobile device) for associating the
assignment, the user identity, location information and the time and date to the digital
image file (e.g., collecting the field information from the user and linking the captured
photos, real time location date and a time spent on the field to complete assignments).
https://www.econz.com/timecard.html.

Upon information and belief, Econz has tested the Accused Product on a 1 39. 2 mobile device such that the mobile device with the Accused Product includes an 3 encryption module (e.g., encryption module for storing and protecting the collected field information data in the database) for encrypting the digital image file and 4 associated information (e.g., data encryption) upon image capture. 5 https://www.econz.com/privacy.html. 6 As shown in paragraphs 25-39 above, the Accused Product as installed on 7 40. a mobile device contains all of the elements of at least claim 1 of the '872 patent. 8 9 Defendant's Accused Product is enabled by invention described and claimed in the '872 patent. 10 11 <u>COUNT I</u> (DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '872 PATENT BY ECONZ) 12 13 Each of the preceding paragraphs 1 through 40 are realleged and 41. incorporated as if fully set forth. 14 In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant is now, and has been directly 15 42. infringing the '872 patent. 16 Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the '872 patent, or will 17 43. have knowledge of infringement of the '872 patent upon the service of this Complaint. 18 19 Defendant's infringement of the '872 patent will thus be knowing and intentional at least upon the service of this Complaint. 20 Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least 21 44. claim 1 of the '872 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing 22

the Accused Product with a mobile device without authority in the United States.
Defendant does not have a license or authorization to use any product covered by the
claims of the '872 patent.

26 45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's direct infringement of the
27 '872 patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.

28

46. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured
 Rothschild and is thus liable for direct infringement of the '872 patent, pursuant to 35
 U.S.C. § 271(a).

4 47. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '872 patent, Rothschild has
5 suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount
6 adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement, together with interests and
7 costs.

8 48. If infringement of the '872 patent by Econz is not enjoined, Rothschild
9 will suffer substantial and irreparable harm now and in the future for which there is no
10 adequate remedy at law.

11

12

(INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '872 PATENT BY ECONZ)

COUNT II

13 49. Each of the preceding paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and14 incorporated as if fully set forth.

15 50. In violation of, at least, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, Defendant is now, and has been
16 indirectly infringing the '872 patent.

17 51. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe at least claim 1 of the '872 patent by actively inducing and/or contributing to its 18 19 respective customers, users, and/or licensees to directly infringe by using the Accused Product in a manner that infringes the '872 patent. Defendant engaged or will have 20 engaged in such inducement and/or contributory infringement having knowledge of the 21 '872 patent. Defendant also knew or should have known that its action would induce 22 direct infringement by others and intended that its actions would induce direct 23 24 infringement by others. For example, Defendant sells, offers to sell and advertises the Accused Product through websites or digital distribution platforms that are available in 25 the State of California, specifically intending that its customers use it on mobile 26 devices. 27

52. Furthermore, Defendant's customers' use of the Accused Product is
 facilitated by the use of the device and method described and claimed in the '872
 patent. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's indirect infringement by
 inducement of the '872 patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.

5 53. Neither Defendant nor its customers, licensees, and users have license or
6 authorization to the '872 patent.

7 54. As a result of Defendant's indirect infringement and its customers' direct
8 infringement of the '872 patent, Rothschild has suffered monetary damages and is
9 entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's
10 past infringement, together with interests and costs.

11 55. If Defendant's direct infringement and its customers' direct infringement
12 of the '872 patent is not enjoined, Rothschild will suffer substantial and irreparable
13 harm now and in the future for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

14

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Rothschild respectfully requests that this Court grant thefollowing relief:

That Defendant be adjudged to have infringed the '872 patent directly,
 literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents;

19 2. That Defendant's customers be adjudged to have infringed the '872 patent
20 directly, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and that Defendant thus has
21 indirectly infringed the '872 patent;

3. An order permanently enjoining Econz, its affiliates, subsidiaries, and
each of its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those acting in privity or
concert with it, from making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, or
importing into the United States, the Accused Product, any component of the Accused
Product that constitutes a material part of the claimed invention, or any product that
infringes the '872 patent until after the expiration date of the '872 patent, including any

28

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

extensions and/or additional periods of exclusivity to which Rothschild is, or becomes,
 entitled;

3 4. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284 sufficient to compensate Rothschild for the Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or 4 5 future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement, including compensatory damages; 6 An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs 7 5. against Defendant, together with an award of such interest, expert fees, and costs 8 9 incurred during this litigation, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284; That Defendant's infringement after service of this Complaint is 10 6. intentional and knowing infringement and the assessment of three times the damages 11 found for infringement after service of this Complaint, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 12 13 §284; 14 7. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including Rothschild's attorneys' fees incurred during this litigation pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285; 15 16 and 17 Such further relief as this Court deems proper and just, including but not 8. limited to any appropriate relief under Title 35. 18 19 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b)(1) and (c), and L.R. 38-1, Plaintiff hereby 20 demands a jury trial on all the issues in this action so triable of right by a jury. 21 22 23 Dated: September 4, 2019 Respectfully submitted, 24 SML Avvocati P.C. 25

<u>/s/ Stephen M. Lobbin</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff

12

26

27