	.1		
1 2 3 4 5 6	Kyri S. Tsircou (SBN 209905) Konrad L. Trope (SBN 133214) Tsircou Intellectual Property Law PC 515 S. Flower St. Floor 36 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (323) 660-9916 Facsimile: (323) 660-9917 Email: kyri@tsircoulaw.com Email: kyri@tsircoulaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff Gold Crest, LLC,		
7 8	a California Limited Liability Company  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	WESTERN DIVISION		
11			
12	GOLD CREST, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,	) CASE No. 2:19-cv-06986-DDP (RAOx)	
13	Plaintiff,	First Amended COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,	
14	VS.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FOR:	
15	GLOBE ELECTRIC COMPANY (U.S.A.), INC., a Canadian Corporation; and DOES 1-10,	) 1. Design Patent Infringement Under 35	
16	Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive;	U.S.C. § 271 for Design Patent No. US D769,512; and	
17	Defendants.	2. Design Patent Infringement Under 35 U.S.C. § 271 for Design Patent No. US	
18		D787,735 3. Unfair Competition Under 15 U.S.C.	
19		§1125	
20		j [JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]	
21	Plaintiff Gold Crest, LLC ("Plaintiff", or "Gold Crest") for its claims against		
22	Defendant GLOBE ELECTRIC COMPANY (U.S.A.), INC. a Canadian Corporation		
23	("Defendant" or "Globe") respectfully alleges as follows:		
24	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
25	1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of		
26	the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq.		
27	2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331		
28	and 1338.		

4

8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business within the state of California. Defendant conducts business at 990 East 233<sup>rd</sup> Street, Carson, California, 90745.
- 4. This action arises out of wrongful acts, including advertising, offering for sale, selling and distributing products by Defendant within this judicial district. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 because the claims asserted arise in this district.

#### THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Gold Crest, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 650 Ward Drive, Santa Barbara, CA 93111. Gold Crest, LLC is the owner of Design Patent No. US D769,512 ("'512 Design Patent"), and Design Patent No. US D787,735 ("'735 Design Patent"). A copy of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Design Patent D769,512, is Exhibit "1". A copy of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Design Patent D787,735, is attached hereto as Exhibit "2".
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges, that 6. Defendant GLOBE ELECTRIC COMPANY (U.S.A.), IN. is a Canadian Corporation with a California place of business at 990 East 233<sup>rd</sup> Street, Carson, California, 90745. Defendant is a seller of lamps, and lighting products, including the infringing products complained of herein.
- Plaintiff is unaware of the names and true capacities of Defendants, 7. whether individual, corporate and/or partnership entities, named herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues them by their fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint when their true names and capacities are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that said Defendant and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are in some manner responsible for the wrongs alleged herein, and that at all times referenced each was the agent and servant of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said agency and

employment.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges, that at all relevant times herein, Defendant and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, knew or reasonably should have known of the acts and behavior alleged herein and the damages caused thereby, and by their inaction ratified and encouraged such acts and behavior. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, have a non-delegable duty to prevent or cause such acts and the behavior described herein, which duty Defendant and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, failed and/or refused to perform.

#### **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### A. Background

- 9. Gold Crest is a well-known and respected company in the lighting and home goods field. Gold Crest is well known for its high quality products, and is sought out as the supplier for a number of high-end hospitality chains and corporations.
- 10. Gold Crest filed the D769,512 design patent on September 8, 2015, and was legally registered by the USPTO on October 16, 2016. Additionally, Gold Crest filed the D787,735 design patent on October 14, 2016, and was legally registered by the USPTO on May 23, 2017. The patent has been in force since that time and continues to be in force.
- 11. As a result of Gold Crest's substantial advertising and promotional efforts, as well as the high quality of the products associated with the '512 Design Patent '735 Design Patent, this distinctive design has earned valuable and residual goodwill and reputation for Gold Crest being the sole source of said goods in the United States.

## B. <u>Defendant's Infringing Conduct</u>

12. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe on the '512 Design Patent, and the '735 Design Patent, or a colorable imitation thereof by using, selling and/or offering to sell in the United States, and/or importing into the United States,

infringing products as identified in this Complaint.

- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiff was made aware that Defendant has been selling lamps under the name "Lumesty Phoenix Black LED Integrated Desk Lamp" ("Infringing Product").
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has been providing the infringing product to a number of third party retailers, including but not limited to, Home Depot, Walmart, Overstock and Wayfair.
- 15. Below is a comparison between the infringing product being offered by Defendant, and Plaintiff's '512 Design Patent

Design Pat. No. D769,512	Infringing Product

16. Below is a comparison between the infringing product being offered by Defendant, and Plaintiff's '735 Design Patent:

1

2

3

26

27

28

5		
4	Design Pat. No. D787,735	Infringing Product
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		<
10		
11		
12 13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	<u>///</u> ==== \	
24		
25		

17. Defendant was made aware of their infringing activity in April 2019 with through a cease and desist letter sent to Defendant. The receipt of this letter was confirmed by defendant on April 23, 2019.

- 4
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 23

- 24 25
- 26 27
- 28

- 18. An ordinary observer familiar with the prior art would be deceived into thinking that the design of the infringing products was the same as the '512 Design Patent, and the '735 Design Patent.
  - 19. Defendant's actions have been without license or authority of Gold Crest.
  - 20. Defendant's actions have been taken knowingly and willingly.
- Defendant has been made aware of their infringing conduct since April 21. 22, 2019, and after having initially responded, have continued their infringing conduct all the way up and until the present. As such this conduct was knowing and willful.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# [Infringement of '512 Design Patent Under 35 U.S.C. § 271 **Against All Defendants**]

- 22. Plaintiff realleges, adopts and incorporates by reference, each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '512 Design Patent by manufacturing, distributing, promoting, using, selling and/or offering to sell in the United States, and/or importing into the United States infringing products as identified in this Complaint, which embody the design covered by the '512 Design Patent. Defendant's actions constitute infringement of the '512 Design Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 and 35 U.S.C. § 289.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant's 24. acts of infringement were and are willful and deliberate.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, 25. through their agents, employees and servants, have, knowingly, intentionally and willfully directly infringed, engaged in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induced the infringement of the '512 Design Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing products into the United

- 26. Defendants' acts of infringement of the '512 Design Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Plaintiff Gold Crest. Defendants had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the '512 Design Patent, and their actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the '512 Design Patent.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '512 Design Patent, Defendants have derived and received gains, profits, and advantages in an amount not presently known to Plaintiff Gold Crest.
- 28. Accordingly, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to Defendants' total profits from Defendants' infringement of the '512 Design Patent.
- 29. In addition, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to damages for Defendant's infringing acts and treble damages together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court.
- 30. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for the necessity of bring this action.
- 31. Defendants, and each of them, in performing the conduct complained of herein, acted willfully and with intent to cause injury to Plaintiff Gold Crest.

  Defendants, and each of them, are therefore guilty of malice and oppression in conscious disregard of Plaintiff Gold Crest's rights thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages or exemplary damages or enhanced damages to the extent allowed by law in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in such conduct.
- 32. Defendants have engaged in, continue to engage in, and unless restrained, will continue to engage in the wrongful acts described herein. As a result, Plaintiff will suffer great and irreparable injury, for which damages would not afford adequate relief, in that said damages would not adequately compensate for the injury to Gold Crest's business operations, reputation, good will, and customer base. Consequently, Defendants' conduct, if allowed to continue, would inevitably result in the destruction

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

28

of Plaintiff Gold Crest. Accordingly, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against all Defendants for their misconduct.

#### **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

# [Infringement of '735 Design Patent Under 35 U.S.C. § 271 Against All Defendants]

- 33. Plaintiff realleges, adopts and incorporates by reference, each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 32, inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein
- 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '735 Design Patent by manufacturing, distributing, promoting, using, selling and/or offering to sell in the United States, and/or importing into the United States infringing products as identified in this Complaint, which embody the design covered by the '735 Design Patent. Defendant's actions constitute infringement of the '735 Design Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 and 35 U.S.C. § 289.
- 35. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, through their agents, employees and servants, have, knowingly, intentionally and willfully directly infringed, engaged in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induced the infringement of the '512 Design Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing products into the United States that were covered by the claims of the '512 Design Patent.
- 36. Defendants' acts of infringement of the '512 Design Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Plaintiff Gold Crest. Defendants had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the '512 Design Patent, and their actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the '512 Design Patent.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '512 Design Patent, Defendants have derived and received gains, profits, and advantages in an amount not presently known to Plaintiff Gold Crest.

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5 6
- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 38. Accordingly, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to Defendants' total profits from Defendants' infringement of the '512 Design Patent.
- 39. In addition, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to damages for Defendant's infringing acts and treble damages together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court.
- Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to reasonable 40. attorneys' fees and costs for the necessity of bring this action.
- Defendants, and each of them, in performing the conduct complained of 41. herein, acted willfully and with intent to cause injury to Plaintiff Gold Crest. Defendants, and each of them, are therefore guilty of malice and oppression in conscious disregard of Plaintiff Gold Crest's rights thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages or exemplary damages or enhanced damages to the extent allowed by law in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in such conduct.
- 42. Defendants have engaged in, continue to engage in, and unless restrained, will continue to engage in the wrongful acts described herein. As a result, Plaintiff will suffer great and irreparable injury, for which damages would not afford adequate relief, in that said damages would not adequately compensate for the injury to Gold Crest's business operations, reputation, good will, and customer base. Consequently, Defendants' conduct, if allowed to continue, would inevitably result in the destruction of Plaintiff Gold Crest. Accordingly, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against all Defendants for their misconduct.

#### **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

# [Unfair Competition Under 15 U.S.C. §1125

# **Against All Defendants**]

43. Plaintiff realleges, adopts and incorporates by reference, each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 42, inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 44. Defendants' conduct as described above constitutes illegal passing off, and misappropriation of the trademarks, trade names and/or trade dress of Plaintiff in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 45. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff Gold Crest has been irreparably harmed and will continued to be irreparably harmed. Plaintiff is entitled to the remedies provided for in 15 U.S.C. §1116 et seq., including but not limited to, damages, treble damages, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees.
- Defendants, and each of them, in performing the conduct complained of 46. herein, acted willfully and with intent to cause injury to Plaintiff Gold Crest. Defendants, and each of them, are therefore guilty of malice and oppression in conscious disregard of Plaintiff Gold Crest's rights thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages or exemplary damages or enhanced damages to the extent allowed by law in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in such conduct.
- Defendants have engaged in, continue to engage in, and unless restrained, 47. will continue to engage in the wrongful acts described herein. As a result, Plaintiff will suffer great and irreparable injury, for which damages would not afford adequate relief, in that said damages would not adequately compensate for the injury to Gold Crest's business operations, reputation, good will, and customer base. Consequently, Defendants' conduct, if allowed to continue, would inevitably result in the destruction of Plaintiff Gold Crest. Accordingly, Plaintiff Gold Crest is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against all Defendants for their misconduct.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court, upon final hearing of this matter, grant the following relief against Defendant:

#### On the First Claim for Relief:

- A judgment that Defendant has infringed the asserted '512 Design Patent; 1.
- 2. An order a judgment permanently enjoining Defendant and its officers,

4

7

9 10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

directors, agents, servants, employees, affiliates, attorneys, and all others acting in privity or in concert with them, and their parents, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors and assigns, from further acts of infringement of the asserted design patent;

- A judgment awarding Plaintiff all damages adequate to compensate for 3. Defendant's infringement of the asserted patents, and particularly Defendant's total profits pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289;
- A judgment awarding Plaintiff all damages, costs, and interest, including 4. treble damages, based on any infringement found to be willful, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with prejudgment interest;
  - An accounting of Defendant's profits; 5.
- 6. A judgment declaring this case to be exceptional and awarding Plaintiff their reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
  - 7. For injunctive relief until trial is completed.

#### On the Second Claim for Relief:

- For general and special damages to be proven at the time of trial to the 1. extent allowed by law;
  - For attorneys' fees and costs to the extent allowed by law; 2.
- 3. For punitive damages or exemplary damages or enhanced damages or treble damages to the extent allowed by law; and
  - For injunctive relief until trial is completed. 4.

Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## On the Third Claim for Relief:

- For general and special damages to be proven at the time of trial to the 1. extent allowed by law;
  - 2. For attorneys' fees and costs to the extent allowed by law;
- 3. For punitive damages or exemplary damages or enhanced damages or treble damages to the extent allowed by law; and

For injunctive relief until trial is completed. 4. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this litigation. Dated: October 22, 2019 Tsircou Intellectual Property Law Kyriacos S. Tsircou Konrad L. Trope Counsel for Plaintiff Gold Crest, LLC