IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK BUFFALO DIVISION

Commtech IP LLC,	Case No
Plaintiff,	Patent Case
V.	Jury Trial Demanded
Vocal Technologies Ltd.,	
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Commtech IP LLC ("Commtech"), through its attorneys, complains of Vocal Technologies Ltd. ("Vocal Technologies"), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Commtech IP LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 815 Brazos St, Austin, TX 78701.
- 2. Defendant Vocal Technologies Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New York that maintains its principal place of business at 520 Lee Entrance, Suite 211, Amherst, NY 14228.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District, and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, has an established place of business in this District, and is incorporated in this District's state. In addition, Commtech has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

7. Commtech is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 6,577,715 (the "'715 Patent"); (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Commtech possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

The '715 Patent

- 8. The '715 Patent is entitled "Modem apparatus, communication control apparatus, communication terminal apparatus, and communication control method," and issued 6/10/2003. The application leading to the '715 Patent was filed on 3/10/2000. A true and correct copy of the '715 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 9. The '715 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '715 PATENT

10. Commtech incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

- or more claims of the '715 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least Vocal Technologies's Fax-Modem Solution (among the "Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products") that infringe at least exemplary claims 1 of the '715 Patent (the "Exemplary '715 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '715 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 12. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '715 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 13. The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 14. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '715 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '715 Patent. Thus, on information and belief, Defendant is contributing to and/or inducing the infringement of the '715 Patent.
- 15. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '715 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '715 Patent.

- 16. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '715 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '715 Patent. Moreover, the Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products are not a staple article of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.
- 17. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '715 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products practice the technology claimed by the '715 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Vocal Technologies Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '715 Patent Claims.
- 18. Commtech therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.
- 19. Commtech is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

JURY DEMAND

20. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Commtech respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Commtech respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '715 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '715 Patent;

Case 1:19-cv-01446 Document 1 Filed 10/30/19 Page 5 of 5

C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;

D. A judgment that awards Commtech all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284

for Defendant's past infringement, and any continuing or future infringement of the

Patent-in-Suit, up until the date such judgment is entered, including pre- or post-

judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and,

if necessary, to adequately compensate Commtech for Defendant's infringement,

an accounting:

i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285

and that Commtech be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant

that it incurs in prosecuting this action;

ii. that Commtech be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting

this action; and

iii. that Commtech be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court

deems just and proper.

Dated: October 30, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff

Isaac Rabicoff

Rabicoff Law LLC

73 W Monroe St

Chicago, IL 60603

(773) 669-4590

(173) 003 1330

isaac@rabilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Commtech IP LLC

5